



**ATS 2016**  
*Where today's science  
meets tomorrow's care™*

**International Conference May 13 - May 18**  
**San Francisco**

**FOR RELEASE**

**Embargoed until Wednesday, May 18, 1:30 p.m. PDT**

**FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT:**

Dacia Morris

dmorris@thoracic.org

ATS Office: 212-315-8620 (until May 11)

Cell Phone: 917-561-6545

Session: D107 Tuberculosis Infection and Disease: Epidemiology and Diagnosis

Wednesday, May 18, 2016, 1:30 p.m.

Location: Room 3014 (West Building, Level 3)

**New Easy-To-Use TB Test Achieves Accuracy Comparable to IGRAs  
in Phase III Trials**

ATS 2016, SAN FRANCISCO – A new skin test for tuberculosis infection has proven safe, easy to administer and accurate in two Phase III clinical trials, according to research presented at the ATS 2016 International Conference.

The test, known as C-Tb, combines the “field friendliness of the PPD-based tuberculin skin test with the high specificity of interferon gamma release assays, or IGRAs,” said lead researcher Morten Ruhwald, MD, PhD, head of human immunology at Statens Serum Institut in Denmark, which developed the test.

The new test measures the body’s immune response to two TB antigens, EAST-6 and CFP10. The test is administered like a tuberculin skin test (TST), and results are interpreted by measuring the size of the skin induration two or three days later.

The TST is the most commonly used test to detect TB infection, with about 50 million tests worldwide each year, according to the World Health Organization. The test is easy to administer but produces too many false positives to achieve the WHO’s goal of reducing TB deaths by 95 percent and new cases by 90 percent by 2035, Dr. Ruhwald said.

Introduced a decade ago, IGRAs have high specificity, producing few false positives, but require blood samples and complicated lab work, which has limited their widespread use. There is also a dramatic price difference between the two diagnostic tests. According to Dr. Ruhwald, a TST costs about \$2; an IGRA is 20 to 40 times more expensive depending on setting.

In the first clinical trial, Dr. Ruhwald and colleagues conducted a double-blinded study of C-Tb in 979 participants enrolled at 13 clinical trial sites in Spain. Participants, all adults, ranged in TB status from presumed uninfected through intermediate and high risk of latent TB to active disease. Researchers found:

- Both C-Tb and the IGRA had a specificity of 97 percent.
- C-Tb was highly concordant to IGRA in 95 percent of study participants.
- The specificity of C-Tb, unlike the TST, was not impacted by the BCG vaccine, the partially effective vaccine that many residents in the developing world receive. TST specificity in this group was only 62 percent.
- The sensitivity of C-Tb was comparable to the IGRA in confirmed TB cases (77 percent vs. 81 percent), indicating similar abilities to detect infection.

In the second double-blinded trial, researchers were primarily concerned with testing how accurate C-Tb was in HIV-positive patients and in young children—populations in which the accuracy of the TST and the IGRA is known to be compromised. The study, conducted in South Africa, enrolled 1,090 participants, including 299 patients with HIV and 402 children as young as 28 days.

Researchers found:

- Among participants with HIV, the ability of all three tests to detect TB infection was diminished, though the C-Tb appeared more robust in HIV-infected participants with low CD4 T cell counts.
- Among children under 5, C-Tb was comparable with the other two tests in identifying those infected.

Dr. Ruhwald said another advantage of C-Tb is that the measurement of infection, a 5mm or larger induration, is universal across patients with different risk factors, including HIV infection. With TST, the size of the induration is often adjusted to increase its accuracy in measuring infection in different patient populations.

Regulatory approval for C-Tb is currently being sought and Statens Serum Institute is actively seeking a commercial partner for marketing of C-Tb. The cost of a C-Tb test is to be determined; however, it is expected to be significantly less than an IGRA.

**Contact for study:** Morten Ruhwald, MD, PhD, [moru@ssi.dk](mailto:moru@ssi.dk)

###

Abstract 9953

**Diagnostic Accuracy of the Novel C-Tb Skin Test for Latent M.tuberculosis Infection; Results from Two Phase III Clinical Trials**

M. Ruhwald<sup>1</sup>, J.A. Cayla<sup>2</sup>, H. Aggerbaek<sup>1</sup>, K. Dheda<sup>3</sup>, P. Andersen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Statens Serum Institut - Copenhagen/DK, <sup>2</sup>Public Health Agency of Barcelona - Barcelona/ES, <sup>3</sup>UCT Lung Institute, Lung Infection & Immunity Unit - Cape Town/ZA

## **Rationale**

Renewed emphasis on the detection and preventive treatment of *M. tuberculosis*-infected individuals at risk is required to reach the goals of the WHO post-2015 global tuberculosis strategy.

Statens Serum Institut has developed a novel specific skin test, C-Tb, based on the antigens ESAT-6 and CFP10. C-Tb combines the field friendliness of the PPD-based Tuberculin Skin Test (TST), with the high specificity of the interferon gamma release assays (IGRA).

This presentation outlines the results from two recently completed phase III trials (TESEC-05 and -06). The results have not previously been published or presented.

## **Methods**

The TESEC-06 trial included 979 participants from 13 clinical trial sites in Catalonia, Galicia and Basque Country (Spain) with various risk profiles of *M. tuberculosis* infection. The TESEC-05 trial included 1090 participants with symptoms of TB and 100 endemic controls from Cape Town (South Africa).

In both trials C-Tb and TST were administered in a double-blinded fashion to one or the other forearm. Skin indurations were read 2 to 3 days later. A reading  $\geq 5$ mm was considered positive for the TST and C-Tb (cut off preset in phase II). Blood for IGRA testing (Quantiferon, QFT-GIT) was drawn prior to skin testing.

## **Results**

The safety profile of C-Tb was acceptable and not different from TST.

Test specificity was assessed in 212 presumed unexposed Spanish controls. Here, C-Tb had comparable specificity to QFT-GIT (both 97%,  $p=1.0$ , and there was no impact of BCG vaccination). By contrast, previous BCG vaccination subverted TST specificity [62% (67/108) in BCG vaccinated compared to 95% (99/104) in BCG unvaccinated persons; ( $p<0.001$ )]. Sensitivity of C-Tb and QFT-GIT was comparable in patients with confirmed TB [77% (235/307) vs 81% (250/307);  $p=0.08$ ].

In contacts, mirroring the findings with QFT-GIT, there was a strong trend in increasing C-Tb test positivity with *M. tuberculosis* exposure (figure 1). The impact of age and HIV infection on C-Tb, TST and QFT reactivity was assessed in 1090 individuals with symptoms suspect of TB disease, analysis is ongoing and will be included in the presentation.

## **Discussion**

These phase III trial results demonstrate that C-Tb is safe, has comparable diagnostic performance to QFT-GIT and addresses the problem of false positive TST results in BCG vaccinated persons.

The field-friendliness and high specificity offered by the C-Tb test could allow for improved targeted treatment of *M. tuberculosis*-infected persons in also in resource-constrained settings, where IGRAs are too complicated to implement.