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**Scientific Knowledge on the Subject:**

Long and short-term exposure to fine PM (PM$_{2.5}$) is associated with asthma morbidity, but little is known about the long-term effects of coarse PM (PM$_{10-2.5}$) on asthma prevalence or morbidity.

**What This Study Adds to the Field:**

This study found that coarse PM exposure was associated with higher asthma prevalence and morbidity among U.S. children enrolled in Medicaid, and that this association was independent of fine PM exposure. This finding suggests that long-term limits on coarse PM exposure be reconsidered.
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Abstract:

**Rationale:** Short and long-term fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) pollution is associated with asthma development and morbidity, but there is little data on the effects of long-term exposure to coarse PM (PM$_{10-2.5}$) on respiratory health.

**Objectives:** To understand the relationship between long-term fine and coarse PM exposure and asthma prevalence and morbidity among children.

**Methods:** A semi-parametric regression model that incorporated PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ monitor data and geographic characteristics was developed to predict two-year average PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10-2.5}$ exposure during the period 2009-2010 at the zip-code tabulation area level. Data from 7,810,025 children aged 5-20 years enrolled in Medicaid from 2009-2010 were used in a log-linear regression model with predicted PM levels to estimate the association between PM exposure and asthma prevalence and morbidity, adjusting for race/ethnicity, sex, age, area-level urbanicity, poverty, education, and unmeasured spatial confounding.

**Measurements and Main Results:** Exposure to coarse PM was associated with increased asthma diagnosis prevalence (RR for 1µg/m$^3$ increase in coarse PM level: 1.006, 95%CI: 1.001-1.011), hospitalizations (RR: 1.023, 95%CI: 1.003-1.042), and emergency department (ED) visits (RR: 1.017, 95%CI: 1.001-1.033) when adjusting for fine PM. Fine PM exposure was more strongly associated with increased asthma prevalence and morbidity than coarse PM. The estimates remained elevated across different levels of spatial confounding adjustment.
Conclusions: Among children enrolled in Medicaid, exposure to higher average coarse PM levels is associated with increased asthma prevalence and morbidity. These results suggest the need for direct monitoring of coarse PM and reconsideration of limits on long-term average coarse PM pollution levels.
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Introduction

Asthma affects more than 7 million U.S. children, and is responsible for more than 3,000 deaths, 400,000 hospitalizations and 1.8 million emergency department (ED) visits per year in the U.S.\textsuperscript{1} Particulate matter (PM) air pollution has been shown repeatedly to have significant short and long-term effects on both the development of asthma and asthma morbidity\textsuperscript{2}. To-date, most of the research has focused on fine particles (PM\textsubscript{2.5}), for which epidemiologic studies have now provided enough evidence for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to determine that both long- and short-term exposure are likely to be causally related to negative respiratory health outcomes\textsuperscript{3}.

In contrast, the coarse fraction of PM (PM\textsubscript{10-2.5}) is generally thought to be less harmful than fine PM, both because of the particle size, which limits penetration deep into the lungs, and because the sources of coarse PM are thought to be less harmful\textsuperscript{4}. However, coarse PM can deposit in the upper airways involved in obstructive lung diseases such as asthma and COPD, and there is emerging evidence that short-term coarse PM exposure may be associated with cardiovascular and respiratory morbidity\textsuperscript{5-7}. Little is known about long-term effects of coarse PM on respiratory health\textsuperscript{2}.

One of the reasons for the relative lack of data about the health effects of coarse PM is the paucity of monitor locations that measure PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} simultaneously. Concentrations of coarse PM are not directly measured, but instead are calculated by subtracting the concentrations of directly measured PM\textsubscript{2.5} from PM\textsubscript{10} at collocated monitors. Because fewer than half of the monitoring locations
measure both PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$, studies that rely on observed coarse PM data from co-located monitors are limited in geographic scope.

Here we estimate long-term average fine and coarse PM concentrations using an exposure prediction model based on monitor observations and geographic data. We apply these predictions to health care utilization data from children enrolled in Medicaid across the U.S. during 2009-2010 to assess the relationship between long-term exposure to PM and asthma morbidity and prevalence.

**Methods**

**Participants**

Subjects were children aged 5 to 20 year old enrolled in Medicaid in the United States between 2009 and 2010. As previously described, the data were obtained from the Research Data Assistance Center (University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn.). Medicaid data were collected and aggregated on the state level and then processed by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid into the Medicaid Analytic Extract (MAX). Use of the data was approved by the Johns Hopkins School of Medicine Institutional Review Board.

Children were only included if they were enrolled for the full 24-month period. Six states were excluded from the analysis because of concerns about utilization data quality: Maine, which had incomplete utilization data, and Pennsylvania, Ohio, Idaho, Arkansas and Kansas, which all had rates of asthma care utilization which were either abnormally low and inconsistent with other sources of data (Pennsylvania, Arkansas and Ohio) or had large inconsistencies in asthma...
care utilization between 2009 and 2010 (Idaho and Kansas). Further examination showed that most of the abnormally low rate of asthma care utilization reported in Pennsylvania was due to very low rates of asthma care utilization in the Pittsburgh and Philadelphia areas (0.6% and 0.3% prevalence from utilization data, respectively), which is not consistent with external data on asthma prevalence in this area (15% and 18% self-reported prevalence, from one source\(^\text{13}\)). Alaska and Hawaii were excluded because of the difficulty in predicting PM in the non-contiguous states. Because prior investigations, including our own of this Medicaid data, have shown that race/ethnicity is strongly associated with asthma prevalence and morbidity\(^8,14\), 8 states were excluded because greater than 10% of subjects had missing data for race/ethnicity. These states were: Colorado, Iowa, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

**PM Data**

Twenty-four hour average measurements of PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) for the period January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2010 were obtained from the EPA Air Quality System (AQS) database (US Environmental Protection Agency 2016). We restricted to monitors using Federal Reference Methods. For both PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\), the annual average concentration was computed for locations with at least 28 observations and gaps of no more than 30 days between measurements. A long-term concentration at each PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) site for the period 2009-2010 was created by averaging together the 2009 and 2010 annual averages, using the value for a single year when one year was missing.
Exposure Prediction

We developed a semi-parametric regression model to predict long-term average PM concentrations across the entire contiguous United States. We built separate models for PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ and used the difference of predictions to compute PM$_{10-2.5}$. By building separate models for each fraction, we can incorporate monitoring locations that only measure one type of PM. The mean component of the prediction models comprised penalized spatial splines and principal component analysis (PCA) scores derived from geographic variables. Generalized additive models (GAMs) of this form, and related approaches such as land-use regression and universal kriging, have been used throughout the literature to predict long-term average air pollution concentrations for epidemiological analyses$^{15-19}$.

Four types of publicly-available geographic data were incorporated in the models. Population density at the county and zip-code tabulation area (ZCTA) level was obtained from Census 2010 data$^{20}$. Primary and secondary road network data were also obtained from the 2010 Census$^{21}$. Satellite measurements of impervious surface, which can indicate anthropogenic development, were obtained from the National Land Cover Database$^{22}$. Data on point source emissions were obtained from the 2008 National Emission Inventory$^{23}$. Within circular buffers of varying radii, we computed the sum of road lengths, the sum of PM$_{2.5}$ emissions, the sum of PM$_{10}$ emissions, and the percent of impervious surface. PCA was then performed on these buffer measures and log-transformed county- and ZCTA-level population density to obtain a set of 5 PCA scores. This procedure allows information from
multiple buffers for each covariate to be included, without requiring a manual variable selection procedure.

The PCA scores were combined with thin-plate regression splines (TPRS)\textsuperscript{24} as the mean component of the GAM. The model was fit via the mgcv package in R, and the coefficients for the TPRS were penalized using a generalized cross-validation criterion\textsuperscript{25}. The number of PCA scores (from between 1 and 5) and degrees of freedom (df) for the splines prior to penalization (from 25 to 400) were selected via ten-fold cross-validation (CV). The performance of CV models was assessed via mean-squared error-based $R^2$ (CV $R^2$), which incorporates precision and bias\textsuperscript{26,27}.

Predictions from the fitted models were made at 10 randomly selected locations within each ZCTA. The average of the PM$_{2.5}$ predictions was taken as the ZCTA-level predicted exposure value. The average of the difference between the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ predictions was taken as the ZCTA-level PM$_{10-2.5}$ predicted exposure.

**Outcome**

Asthma hospitalizations were defined as hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of an asthma-related condition (ICD International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision diagnosis (ICD-9) code of an asthma-related condition (\textsuperscript{[493.x]}, Supplemental Table S1). Emergency department (ED) visits were defined as outpatient visits occurring in hospital-based EDs with a primary or secondary diagnosis code of an asthma-related condition. Prevalent diagnosed asthma was defined as having at least one asthma related outpatient visit (defined as an
outpatient visit with a primary or secondary diagnosis code of an asthma-related condition), ED visit or hospitalization during the 24-month period.

Analysis

To account for area-level confounding due to socio-economic status and other factors, we obtained data on county-level urbanicity and ZCTA-level poverty and education. Urbanicity was quantified using the six-level scale developed by the National Center for Health Statistics, which ranges from “large central metropolitan” counties to rural, “non-core” counties. The percent of families below the poverty level and the percent of adults with highest education level of high school or below was obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau. To allow for possible non-linear relationships, we represented poverty and education in the models using natural splines with four degrees of freedom.

We estimated associations between long-term PM exposure and asthma prevalence and morbidity using generalized estimating equations with a logarithmic link function and clustering within ZCTA. For the prevalence analysis, the number of asthmatics was the outcome variable and an offset was included for the total number of children enrolled in Medicaid. Separate morbidity models were fit for hospital admissions and ED visits, with the number of events included as the outcome variable and number of person-months at risk, equivalent to 24 months times the number of enrollees, included via offset. We fit models that included additive terms for both fractions of PM, as well as models that included each fraction separately. The models were adjusted for the individual variables age category (5-8
years, 9-11, 12-14, 15-17, 18-20), sex (male or female), and race/ethnicity (Asian, black, Hispanic, white, or other) and the area-level variables of urbanicity, poverty and its interaction with urbanicity, education, and state. The models further included an unpenalized TPRS with 15 degrees of freedom to account for unmeasured, large-scale spatial differences across the country.

Sensitivity analyses

We considered the sensitivity to the spatial confounding adjustment by fitting models without spatial splines and with TPRS with 100 degrees of freedom, which approximately accounts for medium-scale spatial differences within states. Additionally, we explored restricting the cohort to persons 11 years of age or younger and examined including adjustment for estimated county-level adult smoking prevalence.

Results

Exposure assessment

There were 860 PM$_{2.5}$ monitors and 581 PM$_{10}$ monitors with data for the 2009-2010 period that met inclusion criteria, corresponding to 834 and 518 distinct ZCTAs, respectively. The mean long-term average concentration at monitor locations was 9.4 µg/m$^3$ and 18.7 µg/m$^3$ for PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$, respectively. The models with the best CV performance included 4 PCA scores and 350 df TPRS for PM$_{2.5}$ and 4 PCA scores and 250 df TPRS for PM$_{10}$. The corresponding CV R$^2$ values
were 0.75 (root mean squared error [RMSE] 1.13 µg/m³) and 0.51 (RMSE 4.85 µg/m³), respectively. Scatterplots of CV predictions and monitor observations are provided in Supplemental Material Figure S1. Prediction model accuracy was generally better in the eastern United States (Supplemental Material Figure S2).

Maps of the predicted values of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$, and the derived PM$_{10-2.5}$, aggregated by county for presentation, are shown in Figure 1. The correlation between PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ predictions was similar to correlation between observations at collocated monitors (Supplemental Material Table S2). The mean (SD) predicted ZCTA-average concentration across all 48 contiguous states was 8.44 µg/m³ (2.01) for PM$_{2.5}$ and 6.87 µg/m³ (2.89) for PM$_{10-2.5}$.

Characteristics of the cohort

7,810,025 subjects were included in the analysis. Demographics of included subjects are in Table 1. The overall prevalence of asthma was estimated to be 12.8%. On average, there were 2 hospitalizations and 32 emergency department visits per 1000 person years, and these rates were higher among children ages 5 to 11 (Supplemental Material Table S2). As can be seen from Figure 2, there is substantial variation in asthma prevalence and morbidity throughout the U.S.

Associations between predicted PM and asthma diagnosis prevalence

An average increase of 1µg/m³ predicted PM$_{2.5}$ was associated with a 2.3% increase in the prevalence of diagnosed asthma (RR: 1.023, 95% CI: 1.014-1.031, p<0.001), while an increase of 1ug/m3 predicted PM$_{10-2.5}$ was associated with a 1.1% increase in asthma prevalence (RR: 1.011, 95% CI: 1.007-1.015, p<0.001).
These relationships were robust to inclusion of the other pollutant in the model; the adjusted relative rates were 1.018 for PM$_{2.5}$ (95%CI: 1.008-1.027, p<0.001) and 1.006 for PM$_{10-2.5}$ (95% CI: 1.001-1.011, p=0.01). (Figure 3)

**Associations between predicted PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10-2.5}$ and asthma morbidity**

An average increase of 1 µg/m$^3$ predicted PM$_{2.5}$ was associated with a 7.2% increase in asthma hospitalizations (RR: 1.072, 95% CI: 1.042-1.102, p<0.001) and a 4.2% increase in asthma ED visits (RR: 1.042, 95% CI: 1.019-1.066, p<0.001) (Figure 3). An average increase of 1 µg/m$^3$ PM$_{10-2.5}$ was associated with a 3.6% increase in asthma hospitalizations (RR: 1.036, 95% CI: 1.018-1.053, p<0.001) and 2.6% increase in ED visits (RR: 1.026, 95% CI: 1.015-1.038, p<0.001).

In a model that included both PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10-2.5}$, associations between asthma morbidity and both fractions of PM were somewhat attenuated, but remained statistically significant. After adjustment, PM$_{2.5}$ was associated with a RR of 1.048 (95% CI: 1.016-1.081, p=0.003) for hospitalizations and 1.030 (95% CI: 1.001-1.060, p=0.040) for ED visits, and PM$_{10-2.5}$ with a RR of 1.023 (95% CI: 1.003-1.042, p=0.02) for hospitalizations and 1.017 (95% CI: 1.001-1.033, p=0.040) for ED visits. (Figure 3)

**Sensitivity analyses**

Associations between both pollutants and asthma morbidity and prevalence were sensitive to the scale of spatial adjustment (Supplemental Material, Table S4), but remained positive for all measures and ranges of spatial adjustment.
When we restricted to those age 11 years and younger, all observed associations between both pollutants and asthma morbidity and prevalence were stronger (Supplemental Material, Table S5).

When county-level smoking prevalence was included, the estimated associations were slightly stronger (Supplemental Material, Table S6).

Discussion

In this analysis of children across the U.S. enrolled in Medicaid, we found that it is not only fine PM (PM$_{2.5}$), but also coarse PM (PM$_{10-2.5}$) that is associated with long-term effects on asthma diagnosis prevalence and morbidity. For each 1 µg/m$^3$ increase in average coarse PM there was a 0.6% increase in asthma prevalence, 2.3% more asthma hospitalizations and 1.7% more ED visits. These associations are adjusted for exposure to fine PM and suggest that there is an effect of coarse PM on asthma-related outcomes that is independent of fine PM.

Our findings fill a key gap in the evidence that long-term coarse PM pollution negatively affects respiratory health in children. The most recent provisional Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) by the EPA in found that there was insufficient evidence to conclude that coarse PM exposure causes negative health effects, and the 2012 rule making did not include specific limits on coarse PM, but only provided daily PM$_{10}$ limits$^{3,32}$. However, recent data, including our findings here, suggest that coarse PM may have both short and long-term effects on human health, with potentially stronger effects on respiratory health$^{32}$. Short term effects have been demonstrated in several time series studies in a variety of communities that have
linked daily changes in coarse PM to mortality, hospitalizations, cardiac events and asthma admissions. Evidence for long-term effects is much sparser. The few studies published on chronic coarse PM exposure and cardiovascular disease or mortality have failed to find an association. In contrast, long-term coarse PM exposure was associated with decreased lung function and increased bronchitic symptoms in Southern Californian children and with bronchitis in children in four Chinese cities. This study expands those findings in a national-level analysis of long-term coarse PM, finding associations with both prevalent asthma and asthma morbidity.

The composition of coarse PM and fine PM are distinct, reflecting different pollution sources. Fine PM is typically generated by combustion or through reactions in the atmosphere, while coarse PM is commonly formed by grinding and resuspension of solid materials, and thus includes crustal elements and organic debris from soil in rural areas, as well as heavy metals and roadway-derived particles (e.g. from brake wear) in urban areas. Roadway and crustal sources impact coarse PM composition in most areas, although the precise elemental profile can vary between cities. Differences in composition could cause regional heterogeneity in the association between PM and asthma morbidity.

The biologic rationale for negative pulmonary effects of coarse PM is strong. Notwithstanding compositional differences, controlled exposure of healthy adult volunteers to coarse PM leads to systemic and pulmonary inflammation similar in magnitude to that of fine PM and may lead to skewing of the immune system that predisposes to allergy.
That our findings were stronger for children 11 years of age and younger might be expected, as it is at younger ages that asthma develops. In addition, younger children may be more susceptible to outdoor air-pollution, both for biologic reasons and because they spend more time outdoors. Finally, because younger children have had less time to change residences than older children, exposure over the two years studied may correlate more closely with lifetime exposure in younger than older children.

Our finding that long-term higher average fine PM exposure was associated with asthma prevalence and morbidity is consistent with a large body of literature showing long-term respiratory effects of fine PM exposure in children. Data from regional and national studies have shown higher rates of asthma diagnosis, asthma symptoms, respiratory infections, and hay fever with higher fine PM exposure. Even more importantly, when fine PM concentrations have dropped, respiratory symptoms and infections in children have also decreased. Although less novel than the coarse PM analysis, our findings emphasize that despite overall decreases in fine PM over the past decades with the Clean Air Act, we still see respiratory morbidity attributed to fine PM exposure among children.

In general, the asthma diagnosis prevalence found here is somewhat higher than reported in national surveys (for example, the estimate of asthma prevalence in 2010 by the CDC based on self-report was 9.4% compared to our prevalence of 12.8%). This is not surprising, both because asthma prevalence is higher in low-income children, such as those enrolled in Medicaid, and because not all families who have received a visit diagnosis of asthma may consider their child to have
asthma. Demographic risk factors for asthma, including black race/ethnicity and male sex are consistent between the Medicaid population and national surveys, as detailed in previous analyses of similar data.

One challenge of estimating health effects of long-term exposure to coarse PM is the limited amount of monitoring data available. Because federal monitors do not directly assess PM10-2.5, measurement of this fraction requires collocated PM2.5 and PM10 monitors. Such monitors are rare, as the majority of PM monitoring locations only measure one of PM2.5 and PM10. Exposure prediction models based on monitoring data are widely used to estimate long-term PM exposures for air pollution epidemiology cohorts. Such models allow estimation of health effects of pollutants in areas where there is not direct monitoring of both pollutants simultaneously. The accuracy of our prediction models (CV R² of 0.75 and 0.51 for PM2.5 and PM10, respectively) is similar to spatial accuracy reported for exposure prediction models in other studies across the United States, which ranged from 0.62 to 0.88 for PM2.5 and 0.55 to 0.69 for PM10. PM10, due to its greater mass, has shorter residence times in the air than PM2.5. This makes it more spatially heterogeneous than PM2.5 and more difficult to predict. Predictive accuracy for PM10 is also impacted by the decline in the number of operational PM10 monitors since the widespread PM2.5 monitoring began in 1999, which is likely why the prediction accuracy of our PM10 model is somewhat less than reported in earlier studies.

Limitations to our analysis include the inherent limitations of using claims data to measure disease activity. Access to health care, health behaviors such as compliance with medications, and individual health choices can all influence
whether an asthma exacerbation leads to an ED visit or hospitalization, although one of the benefits of using Medicaid data is that all subjects should be able to access all types of care. Miscoding or missing data could add bias; we excluded a number of states where important data was missing (such as for race) or where utilization data showed very improbable rates of asthma utilization, but we cannot exclude the possibility that other data was flawed. We were unable to adjust for individual level economic status, household tobacco exposure or other individual level factors that could confound the relationship between pollution exposure and asthma, although we did adjust for race and zip-code level education and poverty, and did sensitivity analyses adjusting for county-level tobacco exposure. Our results are limited by the assumption of a linear exposure-response relationship, although in sensitivity analyses we did not find evidence that the linearity assumption was violated. Furthermore, while non-linearity of exposure-response is of great interest across large exposure ranges, the limited range of exposures in the current data make it difficult to detect. The prediction of exposures introduces correlated measurement error in point estimates\textsuperscript{71,72}, and calculation of coarse PM as the difference of PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} predictions can introduce additional measurement error\textsuperscript{73}. However, for linear health models with a single pollutant, analytic measurement error corrections have identified relatively small amounts of bias\textsuperscript{15,74}. Differences in the spatial distribution of monitors and cohort subjects has been shown to introduce bias in some settings\textsuperscript{72,75}, but its overall impact is unclear\textsuperscript{76,77}. Finally, our analysis may not be generalizable to non-low income children, but our focus on this population may
be strength, as there is evidence that low-income children are particularly vulnerable to the effects of pollution\textsuperscript{2}.

Our findings were sensitive to the extent of spatial adjustment. The long-range spatial adjustment chosen for our primary analysis can account for unmeasured differences that occur on a spatial scale similar to the differences between states, but in a smooth manner not restricted by state boundaries. Our choice of adjustment scale also preserves smaller-scale variation in the PM exposure, which provides informative contrasts between ZCTAs that allow us to estimate the association of interest. The finer scale adjustment in the sensitivity analysis effectively removes a large proportion of the spatial variability in the exposure surfaces. For predicted Coarse PM, which was more spatially smooth than predicted PM\textsubscript{2.5} (Figure 1), this reduces power and results in attenuated point estimates. In contrast, predicted PM\textsubscript{2.5} had smaller scale contrasts that were not removed by the greater adjustment and the estimates were larger in the sensitivity analysis. Nonetheless, the estimates for exposure to both fractions of PM were in the same direction for all levels of adjustment. Further research should consider the application of automated procedures for selecting the extent of spatial confounding adjustment. Algorithms such as minimizing quasi-likelihood information criteria\textsuperscript{78}, which target the modeled outcome, may introduce additional bias and are not necessarily appropriate\textsuperscript{79,80}.

The sensitivity of results to adjustment for unmeasured spatial confounding and additional pollutants merits further investigation in future research. This includes considering other pollutants, such as ozone, that have been associated with
asthma morbidity. Ozone in particular can present challenges for the exposure modeling framework since there is limited year-round measurements. Additional avenues of further inquiry are possible non-linearity of the exposure-response relationship and spatial heterogeneity of the effect due to compositional differences in coarse PM.

Conclusion:

Among children enrolled in Medicaid in the U.S. between 2009 and 2010, we found that long-term exposure to coarse PM was independently associated with higher rates of prevalent asthma, asthma hospitalizations and asthma ED visits. This first ever analysis of the long-term effects of coarse PM on asthma in a nation-wide sample of U.S. children provides evidence supporting the harmful effects of coarse PM on respiratory health. Our results suggest that direct monitoring of coarse PM may need to be implemented and that long-term coarse PM standards should be reconsidered.
Table 1. Demographics of study cohort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Full Cohort (n=7,810,025)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8 years</td>
<td>2,154,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-11 years</td>
<td>1,944,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-14 years</td>
<td>1,724,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-17 years</td>
<td>1,567,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-20 years</td>
<td>419,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3,821,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3,988,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>198,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>2,292,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>1,771,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>2,589,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>958,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urbanicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large central metro</td>
<td>2,973,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large fringe metro</td>
<td>1,280,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium metro</td>
<td>1,583,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small metro</td>
<td>679,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micropolitan</td>
<td>721,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-core</td>
<td>572,503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prevalent Asthma</strong></td>
<td>996,843</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Summary of Asthma Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Rate per 1000 person-years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Admissions</td>
<td>31,122</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED Visits</td>
<td>492,730</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Legends:

Figure 1. Predicted average PM$_{2.5}$ (1A), PM$_{10}$ (1B) and PM$_{10-2.5}$ (1C) for the period 2009-2010 across the contiguous United States. Dots represent monitor locations.

Figure 2. Asthma prevalence (2A), Emergency Department (ED) visits (2B) and Hospitalizations (2C) by county among children enrolled in Medicaid in the U.S. Data smoothed to account for variation in the number of Medicaid enrollees.

Figure 3. Estimated ratios of asthma prevalence and rates of asthma morbidity associated with a 1 µg/m$^3$ difference in PM$_{2.5}$ or PM$_{10-2.5}$. Single pollutant: not adjusted for PM$_{2.5}$ or PM$_{10-2.5}$, respectively. Adjusted for Other Pollutant: model including both PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10-2.5}$. 
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