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Scientific Knowledge on the Subject: Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) patients can be classified into different symptom-based subtypes. This study describes how a clinical subtype characterized primarily by excessive sleepiness has increased prevalence of adverse cardiovascular outcomes and is at a higher risk of incident cardiovascular events in the Sleep Heart Health Study.

What This Study Adds to the Field: This study provides evidence that clinical symptoms are informative to identify subtypes of moderate-severe OSA patients. In addition, these symptom-based subtypes can inform the risk of prevalent and incident
adverse cardiovascular consequences. These results suggest that OSA symptom subtypes represent true underlying disease characteristics with clinical relevance.
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Abstract

Rationale: Symptom subtypes have been described in clinical and population samples of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) patients. It is unclear whether these subtypes have different cardiovascular consequences.

Objectives: This study aimed to characterize OSA symptom subtypes and assess their association with prevalent and incident cardiovascular disease in the Sleep Heart Health Study.

Methods: Data from 1,207 OSA patients (apnea-hypopnea index [AHI]≥15 events/hour) were used to evaluate the existence of symptom subtypes using latent class analysis. Associations between subtypes and prevalence of overall cardiovascular disease (CVD), as well as its components (coronary heart disease [CHD]), heart failure [HF] and stroke) were assessed using logistic regression. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and Cox proportional hazards models were used to evaluate whether subtypes were associated with incident events, including cardiovascular mortality.

Measurements and Main Results: Four symptom subtypes were identified (Disturbed Sleep [12.2%], Minimally Symptomatic [32.6%], Excessively Sleepy [16.7%], and Moderately Sleepy [38.5%]), similar to prior studies. In adjusted models, while no significant associations with prevalent CVD were found, the Excessively Sleepy subtype was associated with over 3-fold increased risk of prevalent HF compared to each of the other subtypes. Symptom subtype was also associated with incident CVD (p<0.001), CHD (p=0.015) and HF (p=0.018), with the Excessively Sleepy again demonstrating increased risk (hazard ratios of 1.7-2.4) compared to other subtypes. When compared to individuals without OSA (AHI<5), significantly increased risk for prevalent and incident
cardiovascular events were observed mostly for patients in the *Excessively Sleepy* subtype.

**Conclusions:** OSA symptom subtypes are reproducible and associated with cardiovascular risk, providing important evidence of their clinical relevance.
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Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a common, chronic condition associated with multiple adverse outcomes (1), with increased prevalence concomitant with increasing obesity rates (2). Currently, OSA severity is primarily characterized by the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI), i.e. the number of cessations (apneas) or reductions (hypopnea) in breathing per hour of sleep (3). Using the AHI, mild sleep apnea is defined as between 5-15 events/hour, moderate OSA as between 15-30 events/hour, and severe disease as AHI ≥30 events/hour. However, these severity definitions are somewhat arbitrary, as they are based on consensus rather than using data about specific clinical outcomes (3). Moreover, this characterization only captures one aspect of disease heterogeneity among patients (4).

To better characterize individual OSA patients, recent studies have been undertaken to evaluate disease subtypes (4–8). In particular, our group has focused on the identification, replication and validation of subtypes based on clinical symptoms at diagnosis among patients with moderate-severe OSA within both clinical (5, 6) and population-based samples (7). Through these efforts, we have consistently identified three primary subtypes characterized by (a) disturbed sleep (i.e., insomnia) symptoms, (b) a relative lack of traditional OSA symptoms, or (c) marked excessive daytime sleepiness. Beyond these, analyses in the Sleep Apnea Global Interdisciplinary Consortium (SAGIC), a worldwide ethnically diverse sample of OSA patients from sleep clinics, identified two additional subtypes characterized by either upper airway symptoms or moderate sleepiness (6). Ultimately, the consistency of these results provides strong
evidence that clinical symptom subtypes represent true underlying disease characteristics.

To understand the clinical relevance of OSA symptom subtypes, it is crucial to verify their association with relevant outcomes. Towards this end, recent work within the Icelandic Sleep Apnea Cohort (ISAC) found that symptom subtypes benefit in different ways with regard to symptom changes after two years of treatment with Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) (9). Currently, however, it is unknown whether these symptom subtypes have different long-term health consequences, particularly with respect to cardiovascular disease.

To address this question, the present study utilizes data from the Sleep Heart Health Study (SHHS). This highly successful community-based study has established the association between sleep apnea and a number of different cardiovascular outcomes (10–21). Using this resource, we first leverage information on baseline symptoms to determine whether the previously described clinical subtypes exist in OSA patients from the SHHS. After validating the existence of similar subtypes, we next assess whether different subtypes are associated with prevalence of cardiovascular diseases at baseline and risk of incident cardiovascular outcomes during the follow-up period, when compared both to other subtypes and to individuals without OSA (AHI<5).

Methods

Study Participants
The SHHS is a multi-center prospective community-based cohort study of participants aged >40 years from ongoing epidemiological studies, assessing the cardiovascular consequences of OSA (22, 23); see online data supplement for details. Participants had a baseline examination in 1995-1998 and the median period of observation was 11.8 years. Data on 5,804 individuals were available through the National Sleep Research Resource (24, 25). To assess symptom subtypes, 1,207 (21%) individuals with moderate-severe OSA (AHI≥15) and questionnaire data were included in clustering analysis. Individuals with mild OSA (5≤AHI<15) were excluded given the goal of evaluating the impact of symptom subtypes currently defined exclusively in moderate-severe OSA; this restriction also assures significant disease burden within the study sample. To understand the cardiovascular risk among OSA subtypes compared to individuals without OSA, we included data from 2,830 (49%) individuals in SHHS with AHI<5.

**Cardiovascular outcomes and covariates**

Our primary outcome was cardiovascular disease (CVD), defined as ≥1 event of coronary heart disease (CHD), heart failure (HF), stroke (13, 26–28) or cardiovascular mortality (incident analysis only). Individual components were evaluated separately as secondary outcomes. CHD was defined as ≥1 event of myocardial infarction or coronary revascularization procedure (14). Stroke was defined according to previously reported protocols (15). Cardiovascular mortality included death from CHD, sudden death, or stroke (19). Prevalent or incident disease was defined as the occurrence of ≥1 event before baseline or between baseline and the end of the follow-up, respectively. Time to
incident events was calculated based on the first occurrence after baseline; participants with no incident events were censored at their last follow-up. Figure E1 represents the number of individuals with each outcome, including the overlap of individuals with multiple outcomes. Covariates included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), AHI, presence of diabetes (29) and hypertension (30), high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, total cholesterol, triglycerides, smoking status, alcohol usage, race, ethnicity and lipid lowering medication use.

**Statistical analysis**

A latent class analysis (LCA) was performed among moderate-severe OSA patients (AHI≥15) using 14 symptom questions plus the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) (31), reflecting questions similar to prior publications on symptom clusters (5–7, 9) (see Table E1). The number of clusters with the lowest Bayesian Information Criterion value was considered optimal and evaluated for clinical interpretations and follow-up analyses. Associations between OSA subtypes and prevalent outcomes were assessed using logistic regression. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and Cox proportional hazards models were used to evaluate associations with incident outcomes, excluding participants with the corresponding disease at baseline. We performed sensitivity analyses excluding (a) individuals with central apnea index ≥2.5 events/hour (Table E3) or (b) individuals with any prevalent CVD (Table E4). Associations were evaluated unadjusted (see Table E5) and adjusted for covariates described above. We also evaluated associations between subtypes and either incident or recurrent events, including all available individuals and adjusting for prevalent disease.
Overall CVD was considered our primary outcome, with statistical significance based on a p<0.05. In secondary analyses, we evaluated each component separately, with statistical significance based on Bonferroni-corrected thresholds of p<0.0167 for 3 prevalent outcomes (CHD, HF or stroke) and p<0.0125 for 4 incident/recurrent outcomes (CHD, HF, stroke or cardiovascular mortality). Results with uncorrected p<0.05 were considered nominal evidence of an association.

Results

Sample characteristics

A total of 1,207 subjects with moderate-severe OSA (AHI≥15) and available symptom questionnaire data at baseline, as well as 2,830 subjects with AHI<5, were included from the SHHS in the present study. Individuals with OSA had a mean (SD) age of 66.0 (10.5) years, BMI of 30.4 (5.7) kg/m² and a majority were men (67.3%). Participants had severe OSA on average, with an AHI of 30.7 (16.9) events/hour. The average ESS score was 8.7 (4.7). Individuals without OSA were younger (60.9 [11.3] years, p<0.0001), had lower BMI (26.8 [4.5] kg/m², p<0.0001) and a lower proportion of men (35.4%, p<0.0001) compared with individuals with OSA (Table E2).

A total of 1,048 (86.8%) individuals with OSA and 2,448 (86.5%) individuals without OSA had follow-up information on incident CVD, CHD, HF and stroke. Of these 3,496 individuals, 3,089 (88.4%), 3,205 (91.7%), 3,411 (97.6%), and 3,396 (97.1%) did not have prevalent disease at baseline, respectively. Among individuals with OSA, there were a total of 227 (26.3%), 170 (18.7%), 145 (14.5%), 60 (6.0%) and 91 (8.7%) incident cases of CVD, CHD, HF, stroke, and cardiovascular mortality over the follow-up period,
respectively. Incident events were significantly less common \((p\leq 0.002)\) among individuals without OSA, with 392 (17.6%) incident cases of CVD, 266 (11.6%) of CHD, 203 (8.4%) of HF, 86 (3.6%) of stroke, and 137 (5.6%) of death from CVD (Table E2).

Similar OSA symptom subtypes in moderate-severe OSA patients in the SHHS

Clustering analysis identified 4 optimal clinical subtypes based on symptoms in individuals with moderate-severe OSA (Figure E2). Figure 1 shows the relative proportion of each symptom, and average ESS scores, across the symptom subtypes. Based on the distribution of observed symptoms, the subtypes were labeled as Disturbed Sleep \((N=147, 12.2\%)\), Minimally Symptomatic \((N=394, 32.6\%)\), Excessively Sleepy \((N=201, 16.7\%)\), and Moderately Sleepy \((N=465, 38.5\%)\). These definitions are similar to those found in our previous studies \((5–7)\), thereby demonstrating the existence of symptom subtypes within OSA subjects from the SHHS.

Table 1 summarizes the clinical characteristics of these symptom subtypes. The Excessively Sleepy subtype was significantly younger and had higher BMI and AHI compared to the other subtypes. Moreover, the Disturbed Sleep subtype had a higher proportion of women and lower AHI when compared to the Moderately Sleepy subtype. Although statistically significant, these differences are relatively small from a clinical standpoint, underscoring the fact that patients with clinically similar disease severity and demographic characteristics present with distinct OSA subtypes.

Symptom subtypes are associated with differences in prevalent cardiovascular outcomes among moderate-severe OSA patients
We investigated whether the different symptom subtypes were associated with prevalent CVD and its components (CHD, HF and stroke) in individuals with OSA, controlling for conventional cardiovascular risk factors. We found significant associations (Table 1) between symptom subtypes and prevalent HF (p=0.010), with a higher proportion of cases with HF at baseline in the Excessively Sleepy subtype compared to both the Minimally Symptomatic (p=0.020) and Moderately Sleepy (p=0.010).

Results of the logistic regression models are shown in Table 2. In adjusted models, no significant associations with CVD were found. Among secondary outcomes, we observed an association between symptom subtype and prevalent HF (p=0.015), which was significant at a Bonferroni-corrected threshold (p<0.0167). In between group comparisons (Table 2), the Excessively Sleepy subtype was associated with increased risk of prevalent HF compared to the Minimally Symptomatic (OR [95% CI] = 3.07 [1.26, 7.46]; p=0.013), Disturbed Sleep (OR [95% CI] = 3.67 [1.03, 13.1]; p=0.045) and Moderately Sleepy (OR [95% CI] = 3.62 [1.56, 8.41]; p=0.003) subtypes. Thus, results indicate that symptom subtypes are independent predictors of prevalent HF among patients with moderate-severe OSA.

Given the known relationship between HF and central sleep apnea (32), we also performed a sensitivity analysis excluding individuals presenting with a central apnea index ≥2.5 events/hour. Results were similar, with potentially stronger effects of the association between the Excessively Sleepy subtype and prevalent HF based on OR estimates (see Table E3).
Symptom subtypes are independent predictors of future cardiovascular events in patients with moderate-severe OSA

We next assessed whether the symptom subtypes were predictive of future occurrence of cardiovascular events, including cardiovascular mortality, among moderate-severe OSA patients. No differences in follow-up time were found among the four symptom subtypes (p=0.170, Table 1). Results from unadjusted Kaplan-Meier survival analyses (Figure 2) showed suggestive differences in survival curves among symptom subtypes for CVD (p=0.066), with the Excessively Sleepy subtype demonstrating worse survival than other symptom subtypes.

Results from adjusted Cox proportional hazards are summarized in Figure 3 and Table 2, and demonstrate significant associations between symptom subtypes and CVD (p=0.0001). Individuals in the Excessively Sleepy subtype were at increased risk of new onset CVD when compared to each of the three other symptom subtypes (Table 2). In particular, the Excessively Sleepy subtype demonstrated hazard ratios (95% CI) of 2.28 (1.53 3.40), when compared to the Minimally Symptomatic subtype (p=0.0001), 2.37 (1.40, 4.01), compared to the Disturbed Sleep (p=0.0013), and 2.23 (1.52, 3.27), compared to the Moderately Sleepy (p<0.0001).

When evaluating components of CVD, nominally significant associations between symptom subtypes and incident CHD (p=0.015) and HF (p=0.018) were found, although results did not reach statistical significance based on a Bonferroni-corrected threshold (p<0.0125). Individuals in the Excessively Sleepy subtype were at increased risk of all new onset outcomes when compared to each of the three other symptom subtypes (Table 2). In particular, the Excessively Sleepy subtype demonstrated hazard ratios (95% CI) for
CHD and HF of 1.85 (1.17, 2.93) and 2.22 (1.34, 3.68), when compared to the Minimally Symptomatic subtype (all p<0.009), 1.99 (1.07, 3.72) and 2.04 (1.04, 4.02), compared to the Disturbed Sleep (all p<0.038), and 1.99 (1.28, 3.10) and 1.71 (1.08, 2.72) compared to the Moderately Sleepy (all p<0.023).

We also evaluated the associations between OSA symptom subtypes and risk of either incident or recurrent cardiovascular events, including individuals with the corresponding cardiovascular outcome at baseline (Table 2). In adjusted analyses, we found a significant association between symptom subtype and incidence or recurrence of CVD (p=0.016). The Excessively Sleepy subtype had a greater risk for incident or recurrent CVD compared to each of the other subtypes, with hazard ratios (95% CI) of 1.50 (1.08, 2.09; p=0.016) compared to the Minimally Symptomatic, 1.81 (1.15, 2.85; p=0.011) compared to the Disturbed Sleep, and 1.59 (1.16, 2.18; p=0.004) compared to the Moderately Sleepy. Thus, the Excessively Sleepy subtype is at increased risk for incident or recurrent CVD, independent of other cardiovascular risk factors.

For incidence or recurrence of individual components, we also found a nominal association between symptom subtype and incidence or recurrence of stroke (p=0.043), although it did not reach significance at a Bonferroni-corrected threshold (p<0.0125). When assessing between subtype associations, interestingly, the Disturbed Sleep subtype had evidence for decreased risk compared to the Minimally Symptomatic (HR [95% CI] = 0.26 [0.08, 0.81]; p=0.020), Excessively Sleepy (HR [95% CI] = 0.23 [0.06, 0.84]; p=0.026) and Moderately Sleepy (HR [95% CI] = 0.19 [0.06, 0.60]; p=0.005) subtypes.
Sensitivity analyses performed excluding individuals with central apnea index ≥2.5 \textit{(Table E3)} or with any prevalent CVD \textit{(Table E4)} showed similar, and at times stronger, results based on hazard ratios; thus, results in the full population appear robust.

\textit{Excessively Sleepy subtype is associated with increased prevalence and incidence of new cardiovascular outcomes compared to individuals without OSA}

Having demonstrated that symptom subtype is associated with differential risk for cardiovascular outcomes among patients with moderate-severe OSA, we evaluated whether specific subtypes were associated with increased cardiovascular risk relative to individuals without OSA (AHI<5). In adjusted analyses, we found that the \textit{Excessively Sleepy} was the only subtype at increased risk for prevalent CVD (OR [95% CI] = 2.00 [1.21, 3.31]; \(p=0.007\)) when compared to individuals without OSA. Analyses of secondary outcomes found that the \textit{Excessively Sleepy} was also the only subtype at increased risk for prevalent HF (OR [95% CI] = 4.64 [2.17, 9.92]; \(p=0.0001\)). No other subtypes demonstrated increased risk of prevalent disease relative to individuals with AHI<5 \textit{(Table E6)}.

Unadjusted Kaplan-Meier analyses among OSA symptom subtypes and individuals without OSA are shown in \textbf{Figure 4}. Significant differences in log-rank tests comparing all curves were observed for CVD (\(p<0.0001\)), as well as individual components of CHD (\(p<0.0001\)), HF (\(p<0.0001\)), stroke (\(p=0.011\)) and cardiovascular mortality (\(p=0.003\)). Pairwise comparisons in survival curves between each subtype and controls are also represented in \textbf{Figure 4}. For the primary outcome of CVD incidence, as well as CHD and HF, the \textit{Excessively Sleepy} subtype demonstrated the worst survival,
while individuals without OSA showed the best. For stroke and cardiovascular mortality, the *Minimally Symptomatic* and the *Moderately Sleepy* subtypes demonstrated worst survival compared to individuals without OSA.

Results from adjusted Cox proportional hazards survival models comparing symptom clusters to individuals without OSA are summarized in Figure 5 and Table E6. We found significant associations in the comparisons between symptom subtypes and individuals without OSA for incident CVD (p=0.004). Results demonstrated significantly greater risk for incident CVD (HR [95% CI] = 2.01 [1.25, 3.23]; p=0.004) in the *Excessively Sleepy* subtype compared to individuals without OSA. We also found nominal associations between symptom subtypes and individuals without OSA for incident HF (p=0.046), although this was not significant after Bonferroni correction (p<0.0125). Results again suggest greater risk for incident HF (HR [95% CI] = 1.71 [1.00, 2.92]; p=0.048) in the *Excessively Sleepy* subtype compared to individuals without OSA.

When examining incident or recurrent events, we observed significant associations with incident or recurrent CVD (p=0.017). We found a significantly increased risk of incident or recurrent CVD (HR [95% CI] = 1.69 [1.10, 2.57]; p=0.016) in individuals of the *Excessively Sleepy* subtype. Despite the low incidence rate of stroke (Table E2), we observed nominal associations with incident or recurrent stroke (p=0.045), but results did not achieve significance after Bonferroni-correction (p<0.0125). An increased risk of incident or recurrent stroke (HR [95% CI] = 1.78 [1.10, 2.88]; p=0.019) was suggested in individuals of the *Moderately Sleepy* subtype when compared to individuals without OSA (Table E6).
Discussion

This study provides further evidence of the existence of clinical symptom subtypes of OSA described within a number of previous studies, encompassing both clinical and population-based samples (5–7). Beyond this, we provide new evidence on their clinical relevance, demonstrating that clinical subtypes are associated with differential risk for prevalent and incident cardiovascular disease among moderate-severe OSA patients. In particular, the Excessively Sleepy subtype has consistently increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease at baseline and a higher risk of incident or recurrent cardiovascular events compared to the other symptom subtypes. Analyses compared to patients without OSA demonstrate that the significant increased overall cardiovascular risk related to OSA is driven by patients with the Excessively Sleepy subtype. Altogether, our results provide important insights into the clinical impact of OSA symptom subtypes and the importance of considering them in clinical care and when performing clinical trials of the cardiovascular benefits of OSA treatment.

A number of studies to date provide convincing evidence that similar symptom-based subtypes of moderate-severe OSA are found within patients of different ethnicities, identified either from sleep clinics or in the population (5–7). The three original subtypes of disturbed sleep, minimally symptomatic, and excessive sleepiness are observed in all studies, including the present analysis. Similar to recent analyses in the SAGIC cohort (6), the current study found an additional subgroup defined primarily by moderate sleepiness when we looked at the optimal cluster solution. Thus, results are consistent with prior studies.
Although similar symptom subtypes were found, there are differences in subtype frequency across samples, reflecting known differences in symptom burden. A prior population-based cohort study in Iceland found a >15% prevalence of moderate-severe OSA (based on sleep studies), but a much lower symptom burden compared to patients who present to sleep centers (33). Supporting this observation, a higher percentage of asymptomatic patients was found in a population-based Korean cohort (55.7%) (7) than in clinical cohorts from ISAC (24.7%) (5) and SAGIC (40.4%) (6). Similarly, we found a higher percentage of the subtypes with lower symptom burden (i.e., Minimally Symptomatic and Moderately Sleepy, 71.1% combined) in the SHHS.

The SHHS has made important contributions to our understanding of OSA-related cardiovascular risk (10–21). The present results add to these contributions, indicating that the increased cardiovascular risk among OSA patients is mainly driven by the Excessively Sleepy subtype. The concept that subjects with excessive sleepiness have increased cardiovascular risk is not new. Kapur et al. showed that the odds of hypertension at higher AHI were greater in excessively sleepy participants based on the ESS in the SHHS (34). Moreover, Lindberg et al. showed that those with snoring and self-reported excessive daytime sleepiness had higher rates of hypertension and diabetes than those without excessive daytime sleepiness (35). Also, excessive daytime sleepiness was associated with higher risk of major adverse cardiac events following a myocardial infarction among participants identified to have moderate to severe sleep-disordered breathing (36). In contrast, a recent investigation in the SHHS did not find a combined effect of moderate-severe OSA and excessive daytime sleepiness based on an ESS≥11 on incident CVD, CHD or stroke, when compared to individuals with AHI<15 and ESS<11 (10). Our present
study supports the concept that ESS alone may be insufficient to characterize the excessive sleepiness phenotype within moderate-severe OSA patients at increased cardiovascular risk. While patients in our sample with the *Excessively Sleepy* subtype, based on reporting multiple symptoms related to excessive sleepiness (including a high mean ESS of 13.7), were at increased risk, those in the *Moderately Sleepy* subtype (mean ESS of 10.6) were not. Thus, a more comprehensive symptom profile characterization appears necessary. Relatedly, when we used the available questionnaires to categorize a subset of individuals without OSA into subgroups with similar clinical symptom profiles as in apneics, we observed no differences in cardiovascular risk among subgroups (data not shown). This indicates that the increased relative risk observed within the *Excessively Sleepy* subtype may be specific to those with moderate-severe OSA. Thus, the excessive sleepiness phenotype may be a surrogate marker of underlying cardiovascular risk pathways influenced by OSA, rather than an independent risk factor in the absence of elevated AHI.

The prevalence of excessive daytime sleepiness has also been associated with increased mortality in individuals with OSA, in both the Cardiovascular Health Study (37) and research from our group in older adults (38). However, in the current study, we did not find significant associations between the *Excessively Sleepy* subtype and cardiovascular mortality. This is possibly due to the limited number of cardiovascular mortality events among individuals with OSA in our study (N=90). Nevertheless, a recent study, also in the SHHS, found that short respiratory event duration, rather than AHI, independently predicted all-cause mortality in both men and woman (39). This suggests that other definitions of OSA severity, not based on the AHI, might be more specific to inform
associations with mortality. The effect of specific OSA symptom subtypes in patients with shorter respiratory event duration remains to be investigated.

Complementary to our findings on the *Excessively Sleepy* subtype, our results suggest that the *Disturbed Sleep* subtype could be at reduced risk for incident or recurrent stroke when compared to other subtypes. In a previous study in the SHHS, a significantly increased risk of stroke with increasing quartiles of obstructive AHI was found among men, but not women (15). Also, women with higher arousal indexes had reduced incidence of stroke (15). In the present study, the *Disturbed Sleep* subtype had the highest proportion of women, which may help explain this result. While intriguing, the associations with stroke should be interpreted with some caution, given the relatively low incidence (60 events among individuals with OSA), which results in wide 95% confidence intervals, and, thus, less reliable effect estimates.

The present study highlights the importance of considering different symptom-based OSA subtypes when designing future studies assessing the cardiovascular benefits of CPAP treatment. For example, the RICCADSA study, a randomized trial in individuals with severe OSA who were not excessively sleepy, found no cardiovascular benefit of CPAP (40, 41). A much larger study in patients with known cardiovascular disease, the SAVE trial, also found no difference in the rates of future cardiovascular events between patients randomized to CPAP or no treatment for OSA (42). The SAVE trial found the same negative results within subgroups with different degrees of daytime sleepiness. However, due to ethical concerns associated with not treating sleepy patients as a result of increased crash risk (43), subjects with high ESS scores (>15) were excluded. Most clustering studies (5–7) show an average ESS of nearly 15 within the *Excessively Sleepy*
subtype and in the present study, the average ESS in this subtype was 13.7 and 39.3% of patients had ESS≥15. Thus, many of the patients at greatest OSA-related cardiovascular risk may have been excluded from previous randomized trials. Given their higher risk of OSA-related cardiovascular events, excluding excessively sleepy patients from randomized trials will limit the ability to detect beneficial treatment effects, and may be one explanation for previous negative studies.

Ultimately, the increased cardiovascular risk among the Excessively Sleepy subtype in our study suggests that future trials of the cardiovascular benefit of CPAP should not exclude subjects with excessive sleepiness. Rather, studies should focus on these patients, who are likely to show the largest benefit. There are, however, both practical and ethical concerns regarding randomization of excessively sleepy individuals to receive no specific OSA treatment, given the impact of sleepiness on both quality of life and motor vehicle crash risk (43). A possible alternative is to employ a pragmatic design using techniques such as propensity score matching to allow causal inferences within the context of observational studies that include measures of CPAP treatment adherence (44). Although this type of design can effectively overcome the ethical concerns of randomization, it is not without its own challenges, as it requires a robust set of relevant covariates for matching, and assumes that these covariates adequately explain the known association of adherence with cardiovascular outcomes that is independent of the effects of treatment per se (45–47).

The finding of increased cardiovascular risk among only certain OSA symptom subtypes complements a recent study on ‘physiological subtypes’ (4). In particular, Zinchuk et al have identified seven subgroups based on standard physiological data from
the overnight sleep study (4). Only two of the subtypes, one with mild OSA but a high rate of period limb movements in sleep (“PLMS”) and one with severe OSA (“hypopnea and hypoxia”), had evidence of cardiovascular benefits from CPAP (4). Notably, the “hypopnea and hypoxia” subtype had significant OSA and the highest average ESS (4), and thus may share underlying pathways to cardiovascular disease with the Excessively Sleepy subtype described here. Understanding the physiological basis for the different clinical symptom subtypes remains an area for future investigation.

Our study has limitations. Analyses included individuals with AHI≥15, and thus results may not generalize to individuals with less severe disease. This AHI threshold was chosen since prior research in symptom subtypes has focused exclusively on moderate-severe OSA; it also assures significant disease-related burden within the population being studied. The existence and cardiovascular relevance of symptom subtypes in mild OSA should be addressed in future investigations. Similarly, the SHHS is a relatively older-aged cohort, and thus results may not generalize to younger individuals, in whom the OSA-related cardiovascular risk might be greater (14). Adjustment for more refined covariate measurements, such as fat distribution and other cardiovascular risk factors (e.g. diet, exercise), would have provided more robust estimates of underlying risk. Given differences in the inclusion/exclusion criteria and in outcome adjudication methods of the SHHS parent cohorts, it is plausible to expect cohort effects on the reported associations. However, in primary analyses assessing the relationship between sleep apnea and incident CHD and HF, no significant cohort effects were found (14). Moreover, the inclusion of race and ethnicity as covariates is expected to indirectly account for site differences, as noted in a previous publication (14). The lack of accurate CPAP therapy data is a limitation. However,
only ~2% of the SHHS sample reported CPAP treatment (14, 15), limiting its potential to influence results. Moreover, recent analyses suggest a marginally increased rate of PAP adherence among the *Excessively Sleepy* subtype, which is likely to bias our results towards the null hypothesis (9).

Strengths of this study include the large sample with data on symptoms and long-term follow-up on cardiovascular events, the application of robust statistical methods to identify symptom subtypes, and adjustment for many established cardiovascular risk factors. Results have identified several future directions, some of which have been discussed above. Beyond these, associations between subtypes and cardiovascular outcomes should be replicated within independent samples, potentially leveraging resources available through electronic health records. To improve their clinical utility, it will be essential to develop an efficient tool for accurately classifying new patients into their respective subtype, as has recently been done in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (48). While this analysis is best performed across multiple samples, particularly in patients presenting to sleep clinics, our results (see Figure 1) suggest that complaints of feeling sleepy during the day, not feeling rested upon waking up, often feeling physically tired and a high ESS score will likely distinguish patients with the *Excessively Sleepy* subtype.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that different symptom subtypes of OSA previously described in multiple cohorts (5–7) are also found in the SHHS. We show for the first time that these subtypes have different cardiovascular outcomes, demonstrating their clinical relevance. Specifically, patients with the *Excessively Sleepy* subtype are at increased risk of CVD compared not only to patients without OSA, but also relative to other patients with similar AHI in other subtypes. This concept should be introduced into routine
clinical practice, by developing appropriate and validated clinical support tools and training clinicians in identifying the subtype at increased risk. At the most basic level, clinicians should recognize that patients with reports of multiple sleepiness-related symptoms and a very high ESS score are more likely to have cardiovascular consequences due to their OSA. The notion of OSA as a heterogeneous disorder is firmly established and should lead to new insights into the ways in which specific patients benefit from treatment, improving efficiency of clinical trials and facilitating personalized medicine approaches.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1:** Symptom profile of the identified obstructive sleep apnea symptom subtypes in the Sleep Heart Health Study. The relative differences in symptom burden among subtypes are shown by the color scale, which represents the standardized (z-score) symptom proportion or mean Epworth Sleepiness Scale across groups. Brighter red indicates higher relative symptom burden.

**Figure 2:** Unadjusted Kaplan-Meier survival curves indicating the time to incidence of cardiovascular disease (CVD), coronary heart disease (CHD), heart failure (HF), stroke and death from CVD grouped by obstructive sleep apnea symptom subtype. The log-rank test was used to compare the survival distribution across subtypes. There were suggestive differences in CVD and trending differences in CHD survival curves among symptom subtypes.

**Figure 3:** Results of the Cox proportional hazards (Cox PH) regression models to evaluate the association between obstructive sleep apnea symptom subtypes and incident cardiovascular disease (CVD), coronary heart disease (CHD), heart failure (HF), stroke and death from CVD. The sample consisted of individuals without the corresponding outcome at the Sleep Heart Health Study baseline visit. Adjusted models included age, sex, body mass index, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, HDL, total cholesterol, triglycerides, apnea-hypopnea index, alcohol use, smoking status, race, ethnicity and use of lipid lowering medication as covariates. Pairwise comparisons are
performed using each subtype as the reference group. The hazard ratio (HR) represented in the x-axis is shown in the log scale. More detailed results are presented in Table 2. The *Excessively Sleepy* is the only subtype at increased risk for incident CVD, CHD and HF.

**Figure 4:** Unadjusted Kaplan-Meier survival curves indicating the time to incidence of cardiovascular disease (CVD), coronary heart disease (CHD), heart failure (HF), stroke and death from CVD grouped by obstructive sleep apnea symptom subtype, including the sample of individuals without obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) in the Sleep Heart Health Study. Results of pairwise log-rank test tests between each subtype and individuals without OSA are shown below each curve. There were significant differences in survival curves for incident CVD, CHD and HF, when symptom subtypes were compared to individuals without OSA. In all cases, the *Excessively Sleepy* subtype demonstrated the worst survival. For stroke and cardiovascular mortality, the *Minimally Symptomatic* and the *Moderately Sleepy* subtypes demonstrated worst survival compared to individuals without OSA.

**Figure 5:** Results of the adjusted Cox proportional hazards (Cox PH) regression models to evaluate the association between each obstructive sleep apnea symptom subtype and incident cardiovascular disease (CVD), coronary heart disease (CHD), heart failure (HF), stroke and death from CVD compared to individuals without obstructive sleep apnea (no OSA). The sample consisted of individuals without the corresponding outcome at the Sleep Heart Health Study baseline visit. Models were adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, HDL, total cholesterol, triglycerides, alcohol use,
smoking status, race, ethnicity and use of lipid lowering medication as covariates. Individuals without obstructive sleep apnea (No OSA) were used as the reference group. The hazard ratio (HR) represented in the x-axis is shown in the log scale. More detailed results are presented in Table E6, in the online data supplement. The *Excessively Sleepy* subtype is at increased risk for incident CVD, CHD and HF when compared to individuals without OSA.
Table 1: Sample characteristics according to obstructive sleep apnea symptom subtype.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Disturbed Sleep (N=147)</th>
<th>Minimally Symptomatic (N=394)</th>
<th>Excessively Sleepy (N=201)</th>
<th>Moderately Sleepy (N=465)</th>
<th>p†,‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>67.5 (10.1)</td>
<td>66.3 (11.0)</td>
<td>63.2 (11.3)</td>
<td>66.3 (9.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001a,b,c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>80 (54.4)</td>
<td>259 (65.7)</td>
<td>130 (64.7)</td>
<td>343 (73.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>67 (45.6)</td>
<td>135 (34.3)</td>
<td>71 (35.3)</td>
<td>122 (26.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>29.7 (5.7)</td>
<td>29.9 (5.4)</td>
<td>32.2 (6.6)</td>
<td>30.2 (5.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001a,b,c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European American</td>
<td>127 (86.4)</td>
<td>339 (86.0)</td>
<td>170 (84.6)</td>
<td>403 (86.7)</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>13 (8.8)</td>
<td>33 (8.4)</td>
<td>21 (10.4)</td>
<td>42 (9.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7 (4.8)</td>
<td>22 (5.6)</td>
<td>10 (5.0)</td>
<td>20 (4.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>140 (95.2)</td>
<td>375 (95.2)</td>
<td>192 (95.5)</td>
<td>450 (96.8)</td>
<td>0.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>7 (4.8)</td>
<td>19 (4.8)</td>
<td>9 (4.5)</td>
<td>15 (3.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use, drinks/day</td>
<td>3.5 (10.0)</td>
<td>3.8 (7.9)</td>
<td>2.6 (5.3)</td>
<td>3.3 (6.3)</td>
<td>0.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>60 (41.1)</td>
<td>183 (46.7)</td>
<td>90 (45.0)</td>
<td>211 (45.5)</td>
<td>0.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>12 (8.2)</td>
<td>25 (6.4)</td>
<td>17 (8.5)</td>
<td>31 (6.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former</td>
<td>74 (50.7)</td>
<td>184 (46.9)</td>
<td>93 (46.5)</td>
<td>222 (47.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHI, events/h</td>
<td>26.8 (12.2)</td>
<td>28.7 (15.2)</td>
<td>36.0 (20.5)</td>
<td>31.3 (17.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001a,b,c,d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAI, events/h</td>
<td>9.9 (5-31)</td>
<td>10.2 (0-82.7)</td>
<td>11.4 (0-106)</td>
<td>10.7 (0-89.9)</td>
<td>0.002a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAI, events/h</td>
<td>0.2 (0-32.8)</td>
<td>0.3 (0-54.4)</td>
<td>0.2 (0-27.1)</td>
<td>0.3 (0-40.1)</td>
<td>0.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESS score</td>
<td>7.0 (3.6)</td>
<td>4.5 (2.2)</td>
<td>13.7 (4.3)</td>
<td>10.6 (3.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL, mg/dL</td>
<td>48.7 (14.8)</td>
<td>47.9 (15.5)</td>
<td>45.5 (13.9)</td>
<td>45.9 (14.1)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>209.9 (36.2)</td>
<td>208.2 (35.8)</td>
<td>207.9 (34.5)</td>
<td>206.2 (34.9)</td>
<td>0.714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mg/dL</td>
<td>172.1 (123.1)</td>
<td>159.3 (105.0)</td>
<td>172.6 (112.4)</td>
<td>161.3 (105.2)</td>
<td>0.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes, N (%)</td>
<td>16 (11.2)</td>
<td>45 (11.7)</td>
<td>26 (13.6)</td>
<td>44 (9.9)</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, N (%)</td>
<td>81 (55.1)</td>
<td>197 (50.0)</td>
<td>112 (55.7)</td>
<td>246 (52.9)</td>
<td>0.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent CVD, N (%)</td>
<td>22 (16.5)</td>
<td>55 (15.8)</td>
<td>36 (22.5)</td>
<td>73 (17.9)</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent CHD, N (%)</td>
<td>17 (12.8)</td>
<td>41 (11.8)</td>
<td>22 (13.7)</td>
<td>57 (14.0)</td>
<td>0.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent HF, N (%)</td>
<td>5 (3.8)</td>
<td>14 (4.0)</td>
<td>16 (10.0)</td>
<td>15 (3.7)</td>
<td>0.010a,c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent Stroke, N (%)</td>
<td>5 (3.8)</td>
<td>12 (3.4)</td>
<td>10 (6.2)</td>
<td>16 (3.9)</td>
<td>0.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up time, years</td>
<td>10.3 (3.7)</td>
<td>10.5 (3.5)</td>
<td>10.5 (3.8)</td>
<td>10.9 (3.1)</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD incidence rate</td>
<td>3.37 (2.47, 4.59)</td>
<td>3.48 (2.88, 4.20)</td>
<td>5.10 (4.01, 6.48)</td>
<td>3.37 (2.83, 4.01)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD incidence rate</td>
<td>2.11 (1.44, 3.10)</td>
<td>2.10 (1.66, 2.66)</td>
<td>3.15 (2.34, 4.23)</td>
<td>2.11 (1.71, 2.62)</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF incidence rate</td>
<td>1.33 (0.83, 2.14)</td>
<td>1.58 (1.21, 2.07)</td>
<td>2.66 (1.94, 3.64)</td>
<td>1.63 (1.28, 2.07)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke incidence rate</td>
<td>0.45 (0.20, 1.01)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.54, 1.14)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.30, 1.11)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.57, 1.12)</td>
<td>0.520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD mortality rate</td>
<td>0.66 (0.36, 1.23)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.59, 1.15)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.36, 1.07)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.46, 0.94)</td>
<td>0.839</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†p-value from ANOVA, chi-squared test or Poisson regression comparing variable across subtypes; ‡Significant differences in pairwise comparisons (p<0.05, Bonferroni adjusted); †Minimally Symptomatic vs. Excessively Sleepy; ‡Excessively Sleepy vs. Disturbed Sleep; ‡Excessively Sleepy vs. Moderately Sleepy; ‡Disturbed Sleep vs. Moderately Sleepy; ‡All pairwise comparisons. Quantitative variables are represented by mean (SD), except for OAI and CAI, that are represented by median (range). Incidence and mortality rates are represented per 100 person-years (95% CI). Abbreviations: N: sample size; SD: standard deviation; BMI: body mass index; AHI: apnea hypopnea index; OAI: obstructive apnea index; CAI: central apnea index; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; CVD: cardiovascular disease; CHD: coronary heart disease; HF: heart failure; CI: confidence interval.
Table 2: Summary of the results of the adjusted logistic regression and Cox proportional hazard models assessing the association between obstructive sleep apnea symptom subtypes and cardiovascular outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Pairwise Comparison</th>
<th>Prevalent† OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Incident‡ HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Incident + Recurrent§ HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular Disease</td>
<td>ES vs. MinS</td>
<td>1.62 (0.91, 2.91)</td>
<td>0.1032</td>
<td>2.28 (1.53, 3.40)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>1.50 (1.08, 2.09)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Adjusted</td>
<td>ES vs. DS</td>
<td>2.28 (1.05, 4.95)</td>
<td>0.0374</td>
<td>2.37 (1.40, 4.01)</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>1.81 (1.15, 2.85)</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison*</td>
<td>ES vs. ModS</td>
<td>1.75 (1.01, 3.04)</td>
<td>0.0475</td>
<td>2.23 (1.52, 3.27)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1.59 (1.16, 2.18)</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent p=0.141</td>
<td>ModS vs. MinS</td>
<td>0.93 (0.59, 1.46)</td>
<td>0.7498</td>
<td>1.02 (0.73, 1.43)</td>
<td>0.8949</td>
<td>0.94 (0.72, 1.23)</td>
<td>0.6652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident p=0.001</td>
<td>ModS vs. DS</td>
<td>1.30 (0.66, 2.58)</td>
<td>0.4462</td>
<td>1.06 (0.66, 1.71)</td>
<td>0.7989</td>
<td>1.13 (0.75, 1.70)</td>
<td>0.5443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident + Recurrent p=0.016</td>
<td>DS vs. MinS</td>
<td>0.71 (0.36, 1.43)</td>
<td>0.3386</td>
<td>0.96 (0.60, 1.55)</td>
<td>0.8723</td>
<td>0.83 (0.55, 1.25)</td>
<td>0.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary Heart Disease</td>
<td>ES vs. MinS</td>
<td>1.23 (0.62, 2.42)</td>
<td>0.5952</td>
<td>1.85 (1.17, 2.93)</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>1.54 (1.02, 2.32)</td>
<td>0.0383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Adjusted</td>
<td>ES vs. DS</td>
<td>1.51 (0.63, 3.62)</td>
<td>0.3568</td>
<td>1.99 (1.07, 3.72)</td>
<td>0.0302</td>
<td>1.84 (1.03, 3.37)</td>
<td>0.0385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison*</td>
<td>ES vs. ModS</td>
<td>1.09 (0.57, 2.06)</td>
<td>0.8022</td>
<td>1.99 (1.28, 3.10)</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>1.61 (1.09, 2.37)</td>
<td>0.0161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent p=0.784</td>
<td>ModS vs. MinS</td>
<td>1.13 (0.68, 1.88)</td>
<td>0.6394</td>
<td>0.93 (0.63, 1.37)</td>
<td>0.7089</td>
<td>0.96 (0.68, 1.35)</td>
<td>0.8088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident p=0.015</td>
<td>ModS vs. DS</td>
<td>1.39 (0.66, 2.93)</td>
<td>0.3865</td>
<td>1.00 (0.57, 1.77)</td>
<td>0.9959</td>
<td>1.14 (0.68, 1.93)</td>
<td>0.6169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident + Recurrent p=0.068</td>
<td>DS vs. MinS</td>
<td>0.81 (0.38, 1.75)</td>
<td>0.5956</td>
<td>0.93 (0.52, 1.64)</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.84 (0.49, 1.43)</td>
<td>0.5187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Failure</td>
<td>ES vs. MinS</td>
<td>3.07 (1.26, 7.46)</td>
<td>0.0134</td>
<td>2.22 (1.34, 3.68)</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>1.52 (0.95, 2.41)</td>
<td>0.0782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Adjusted</td>
<td>ES vs. DS</td>
<td>3.67 (1.03, 13.1)</td>
<td>0.0447</td>
<td>2.04 (1.04, 4.02)</td>
<td>0.0389</td>
<td>1.60 (0.86, 3.01)</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison*</td>
<td>ES vs. ModS</td>
<td>3.62 (1.56, 8.41)</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>1.71 (1.08, 2.72)</td>
<td>0.0228</td>
<td>1.43 (0.93, 2.21)</td>
<td>0.1035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent p=0.015</td>
<td>ModS vs. MinS</td>
<td>0.85 (0.37, 1.96)</td>
<td>0.6976</td>
<td>1.29 (0.85, 1.97)</td>
<td>0.2274</td>
<td>1.06 (0.72, 1.55)</td>
<td>0.7752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident p=0.018</td>
<td>ModS vs. DS</td>
<td>1.01 (0.30, 3.43)</td>
<td>0.9834</td>
<td>1.19 (0.65, 2.17)</td>
<td>0.5668</td>
<td>1.12 (0.64, 1.97)</td>
<td>0.6961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident + Recurrent p=0.279</td>
<td>DS vs. MinS</td>
<td>0.84 (0.24, 2.86)</td>
<td>0.7756</td>
<td>1.09 (0.58, 2.02)</td>
<td>0.7936</td>
<td>0.94 (0.53, 1.68)</td>
<td>0.8456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>ES vs. MinS</td>
<td>1.58 (0.58, 4.32)</td>
<td>0.3681</td>
<td>1.42 (0.63, 3.21)</td>
<td>0.4041</td>
<td>1.13 (0.51, 2.50)</td>
<td>0.7714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Adjusted</td>
<td>ES vs. DS</td>
<td>2.18 (0.51, 9.34)</td>
<td>0.2947</td>
<td>2.83 (0.81, 9.91)</td>
<td>0.1048</td>
<td>4.33 (1.19, 15.7)</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison*</td>
<td>ES vs. ModS</td>
<td>1.84 (0.70, 4.84)</td>
<td>0.2154</td>
<td>1.26 (0.58, 2.73)</td>
<td>0.5659</td>
<td>0.83 (0.38, 1.78)</td>
<td>0.6311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent p=0.601</td>
<td>ModS vs. MinS</td>
<td>0.86 (0.36, 2.04)</td>
<td>0.7325</td>
<td>1.13 (0.61, 2.09)</td>
<td>0.7026</td>
<td>1.36 (0.78, 2.35)</td>
<td>0.2759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident p=0.427</td>
<td>ModS vs. DS</td>
<td>1.18 (0.30, 4.61)</td>
<td>0.8089</td>
<td>2.25 (0.74, 6.88)</td>
<td>0.1551</td>
<td>5.22 (1.67, 16.4)</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident + Recurrent p=0.043</td>
<td>DS vs. MinS</td>
<td>0.73 (0.19, 2.84)</td>
<td>0.6472</td>
<td>0.50 (0.16, 1.57)</td>
<td>0.2359</td>
<td>0.26 (0.08, 0.81)</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular Mortality</td>
<td>ES vs. MinS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.91 (0.45, 1.81)</td>
<td>0.7786</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Adjusted</td>
<td>ES vs. DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.33 (0.52, 3.40)</td>
<td>0.5545</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison*</td>
<td>ES vs. ModS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.10 (0.56, 2.18)</td>
<td>0.7828</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident p=0.768</td>
<td>ModS vs. MinS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.82 (0.50, 1.36)</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ModS vs. DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.21 (0.54, 2.68)</td>
<td>0.6454</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DS vs. MinS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.68 (0.31, 1.51)</td>
<td>0.3451</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†p-values evaluating null hypothesis of no differences in risk among subtypes; ‡Logistic regression model adjusted for age, sex, BMI, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, HDL, total cholesterol, triglycerides, apnea-hypopnea index, alcohol use, smoking status, race, ethnicity and use of lipid lowering medication; §Cox proportional hazards regression model adjusted for age, sex, BMI, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, HDL, total cholesterol, triglycerides, apnea-hypopnea index, alcohol use, smoking status, race, ethnicity and use of lipid lowering medication, excluding individuals with the corresponding disease at baseline; ¶Cox proportional hazards regression model adjusted for age, sex, BMI, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, HDL, total cholesterol, triglycerides, apnea-hypopnea index, alcohol use, smoking status, race, ethnicity, use of lipid lowering medication and status of
corresponding disease at baseline. The reference category for all comparisons is always the second category presented in the column “Pairwise Comparison”. Results of the tests of proportional-hazards assumption are presented in online supplemental Table E7. Abbreviations: ES: Excessively Sleepy; MinS: Minimally Symptomatic; DS: Disturbed Sleepy; ModS: Moderately Sleepy; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; HR: hazard ratio.
Figure 1: Symptom profile of the identified obstructive sleep apnea symptom subtypes in the Sleep Heart Health Study. The relative differences in symptom burden among subtypes are shown by the color scale, which represents the standardized (z-score) symptom proportion or mean Epworth Sleepiness Scale across groups. Brighter red indicates higher relative symptom burden.
Figure 2: Unadjusted Kaplan-Meier survival curves indicating the time to incidence of cardiovascular disease (CVD), coronary heart disease (CHD), heart failure (HF), stroke and death from CVD grouped by obstructive sleep apnea symptom subtype. The log-rank test was used to compare the survival distribution across subtypes. There were suggestive differences in CVD and trending differences in CHD survival curves among symptom subtypes.
Figure 3: Results of the Cox proportional hazards (Cox PH) regression models to evaluate the association between obstructive sleep apnea symptom subtypes and incident cardiovascular disease (CVD), coronary heart disease (CHD), heart failure (HF), stroke and death from CVD. The sample consisted of individuals without the corresponding outcome at the Sleep Heart Health Study baseline visit. Adjusted models included age, sex, body mass index, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, HDL, total cholesterol, triglycerides, apnea-hypopnea index, alcohol use, smoking status, race, ethnicity and use of lipid lowering medication as covariates. Pairwise comparisons are performed using each subtype as the reference group. The hazard ratio (HR) represented in the x-axis is shown in the log scale. More detailed results are presented in Table 2. The Excessively Sleepy is the only subtype at increased risk for incident CVD, CHD and HF.
Figure 4: Unadjusted Kaplan-Meier survival curves indicating the time to incidence of cardiovascular disease (CVD), coronary heart disease (CHD), heart failure (HF), stroke and death from CVD grouped by obstructive sleep apnea symptom subtype, including the sample of individuals without obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) in the Sleep Heart Health Study. Results of pairwise log-rank test tests between each subtype and individuals without OSA are shown below each curve. There were significant differences in survival curves for incident CVD, CHD and HF, when symptom subtypes were compared to individuals without OSA. In all cases, the Excessively Sleepy subtype demonstrated the worst survival. For stroke and cardiovascular mortality, the Minimally Symptomatic and the Moderately Sleepy subtypes demonstrated worst survival compared to individuals without OSA.
Figure 5: Results of the adjusted Cox proportional hazards (Cox PH) regression models to evaluate the association between each obstructive sleep apnea symptom subtype and incident cardiovascular disease (CVD), coronary heart disease (CHD), heart failure (HF), stroke and death from CVD compared to individuals without obstructive sleep apnea (no OSA). The sample consisted of individuals without the corresponding outcome at the Sleep Heart Health Study baseline visit. Models were adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, HDL, total cholesterol, triglycerides, alcohol use, smoking status, race, ethnicity and use of lipid lowering medication as covariates. Individuals without obstructive sleep apnea (No OSA) were used as the reference group. The hazard ratio (HR) represented in the x-axis is shown in the log scale. More detailed results are presented in Table E6, in the online data supplement. The Excessively Sleepy subtype is at increased risk for incident CVD, CHD and HF when compared to individuals without OSA.