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**At a glance commentary**

**Scientific Knowledge on the Subject:**

Although previous cross-sectional and case-control studies has suggested an association between household air pollution (HAP) from solid fuel use and excess risk of COPD, the magnitude of the risk estimates varied greatly across different studies, with several recent larger studies reporting null associations. There is only
limited evidence about the effects on respiratory diseases other than COPD in adults, with the only two published cohort studies on HAP reporting inconclusive findings on respiratory deaths and acute lower respiratory infections in adults. There is also a lack of information from large-scale population-based cohort studies on the respiratory health impact of switching from solid to clean fuels or use of ventilation in adults, both of which have been associated with significantly lower exposure.

What This Study Adds to the Field:

In this cohort study of 280,000 never-smoking Chinese adults, long-term solid fuel use for cooking was associated with significant excess risks of hospitalization and death from both acute and chronic respiratory diseases, including chronic lower respiratory disease and acute lower respiratory tract infection. The excess risk was greater among persistent wood than coal users, but smaller among those who switched from solid to clean fuels or used ventilated cookstoves with solid fuels. An association between solid fuel use and COPD admissions and death was found, but was far weaker than estimates from meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies for airflow obstruction. This study also provides suggestive evidence that improved ventilation or switching to clean fuels may alleviate the excess respiratory risks associated with solid fuel use.

This article has an online data supplement, which is accessible from this issue’s table of content online at www.atsjournals.org
ABSTRACT

Rationale: Little evidence from large-scale cohort study exists about the relationship of solid fuel use with hospitalization and mortality from major respiratory diseases.

Objectives: To examine the associations of solid fuel use and risks of acute and chronic respiratory diseases.

Methods: A cohort study of 277,838 Chinese never-smokers with no prior major chronic diseases at baseline. During 9-years follow-up, 19,823 first hospitalization episodes or deaths from major respiratory diseases, including 10,553 chronic lower respiratory disease (CLRD), 4,398 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and 7,324 acute lower respiratory infection (ALRI) were recorded. Cox regression yielded adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) for disease risks associated with self-reported primary cooking fuel use.

Measurements and main results: Overall 91% of participants reported regular cooking, with 52% using solid fuels. Compared with clean fuels users, solid fuel users had adjusted HR of 1.36 (95%CI 1.32-1.40) for major respiratory diseases, whereas those who switched from solid to clean fuels had weaker HR (1.14, 1.10-1.17). The HRs were higher in wood (1.37, 1.33-1.41) than coal users (1.22, 1.15-1.29) and in those with prolonged use (≥40 years: 1.54, 1.48-1.60; <20 years: 1.32, 1.26-1.39), but lower among those who used ventilated than non-ventilated cookstoves (1.22, 1.19-1.25 versus 1.29, 1.24-1.35). For CLRD, COPD and ALRI, the HRs associated with solid fuel use were 1.47 (1.41-1.52), 1.10 (1.03-1.18) and 1.16 (1.09-1.23), respectively.
Conclusions: Among Chinese adults, solid fuel use for cooking was associated with higher risks of major respiratory disease admissions and death and switching to clean fuels or use of ventilated cookstoves had lower risk than those who were not.

Abstract word count: 259
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INTRODUCTION

Household air pollution (HAP), arising mainly from domestic burning of solid fuels (e.g. coal and biomass) for cooking, is a leading cause of premature death and disease burden worldwide.\(^1\) Currently, over 2.7 billion individuals, mainly those from rural areas in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), are regularly exposed to high levels of HAP.\(^2\)

Despite the biological plausibility (due to its resemblance to smoking), that solid fuel use is associated with higher risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in adults does not have a strong evidence base, as conclusions drawn from previous meta-analyses of studies with relatively small sample sizes were limited by high levels of heterogeneity and publication bias.\(^3-6\) In contrast, three out of the four more recent, larger studies have found no evidence of a significant association with airflow obstruction.\(^7-10\) There has also been little reliable evidence on the relationship between HAP and hospitalization or death from COPD, which is relevant to the understanding of the public health burden in LMICs like China, where COPD is often diagnosed based on symptoms (chronic bronchitis) or radiological evidence (emphysema) rather than airflow obstruction as spirometry is not routinely performed.\(^8, 11\) Few studies have investigated the effects of HAP on respiratory diseases other than COPD such as acute lower respiratory infection (ALRI) in adults.\(^12, 13\) We report findings on the use of solid fuels for cooking and its association with hospitalization and death from acute and chronic respiratory diseases in about 280,000 never-smoking Chinese adults from the China Kadoorie Biobank (CKB) study.

METHODS
Study design

Detailed methods of the CKB study have been described previously. Between 2004 and 2008, 512,000 adults aged 30-79 years were recruited from ten areas across China (Figure E1) and undertook a computer-assisted interview and physical measurements (including spirometry) by trained health workers following standardized procedures. The laptop-based questionnaire incorporated stringent logic and error checks to avoid coding errors, and the quality of data collection was closely monitored, with regular feedback and further training provided to health workers. Spirometry was performed according to the American Thoracic Society guidelines as described previously, but no bronchodilator was administered. Approval was obtained from the ethical review committee of the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (Beijing, China) and the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee, University of Oxford (UK). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Assessment of solid fuel use

At baseline, each participant was asked to recall, for up to their three most-recent residences, how many years they had lived there, cooking frequency (no cooking facility/ never/ rarely, monthly, weekly, daily), and ownership of ventilated cookstoves. Participants who cooked at least monthly, in each of their respective residences, were asked about the primary fuel type used (electricity, gas, coal, wood or charcoal, other unspecified). If two or more fuel types were used at a residence, the one used most frequently and for the longest duration was recorded. Clean fuels included electricity or gas, whilst solid fuels comprised coal or wood (including charcoal because of their compositional and emission similarities). Participants
cooking weekly or daily were considered as cooking regularly (90% of whom cooked
daily at baseline), and their HAP exposure at each residence was classified
according to the primary fuel type. Long-term exposure was assessed by grouping
participants who used the same primary fuel type throughout their three residences
and those who had switched from solid to clean fuels before baseline separately.
Long-term solid fuel users were further categorized into three groups (always coal,
always wood, a mixture of coal and wood), along with the estimated duration of
continuous exposure to solid fuels for cooking during the recall period (<20, 20-39,
≥40 years). To explore the potential impact of ventilated cookstove use, a three-
category composite exposure was derived (clean fuels, solid fuels with ventilated
cookstoves, and solid fuels without ventilated cookstoves). Further details on
exposure assessment are available online (Supplementary methods 1).

Follow-up for mortality and morbidity
All participants were followed-up through electronic linkage, using unique personal
identification numbers, to established death and morbidity registries and to a
nationwide health insurance system (~99% coverage in the study areas), which
provided coded fatal and non-fatal events (International Classification of Diseases,
10th Revision; ICD-10).(15) The endpoints investigated in this study include the first
hospitalization event (during the follow-up period) or death of major respiratory
diseases (including chronic lower respiratory disease [CLRD; ICD-10 J40-J47, where
J41-J44 were considered as COPD], acute lower respiratory infection [ALRI; J12-J18,
J20-J22], acute upper respiratory infection [AURI; J00-J06], other upper respiratory
disease [J30-J39]) and death from any respiratory diseases (excluding those due to
external agents: J00-J47, J80-J94, J96-J99). Participants without the above events
were censored upon death, loss to follow-up, or January 1 2016. To verify the validity
of COPD diagnoses, a random sample of ~1000 COPD cases (~10%) between 2004 and 2013 was adjudicated by respiratory physicians independently.(18) Only 14% of the COPD cases had pre-bronchodilator spirometry performed. However, most (85%) COPD diagnoses were considered to be adequately supported by different sources of evidence based on clinical symptoms, risk exposure, radiological examinations, or spirometry in accordance to the existing clinical guidelines.(18)

**Statistical analysis**

Our analyses were restricted to never-smokers (n = 317,614), defined as those that had either never smoked or had smoked <100 cigarettes or equivalent during their lifetime. We excluded participants with unreliable recall information on residence duration (n = 1,573) and those with self-reported doctor-diagnosed major chronic diseases (chronic bronchitis, emphysema, tuberculosis, asthma, any cancer, stroke, transient ischemic attack or coronary heart disease) prior to the baseline survey (n = 26,095). Participants who used other unspecified fuels at any residence (n = 2,527), those who switched from clean to solid fuels (n = 655), or had cooked previously but stopped at baseline (n = 8,926) were also excluded, leaving 277,838 participants in the final study population.

Direct standardization yielded age, sex, and study-area-adjusted percentages or means of baseline characteristics for long-term cooking fuel exposure categories. We used Cox regression to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for first hospitalization or death from respiratory disease in association with long-term solid fuel use for cooking (referred to as risk of respiratory disease in the subsequent text), stratifying for age-at-risk (five-year intervals), sex, and study area (10 areas), and adjusted for education (no formal school, primary school, middle
school, high school/college/university), household income (<10,000, 10,000-19,999, 20,000-34,999, ≥35,000), occupation (agricultural worker, factory worker, non-manual worker, others), alcohol consumption (never/rarely, occasional, ex-drinker or reduced-intake, weekly regular), body mass index (BMI; continuous), environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) exposure (<1 day/week, 1-5 days/week, daily or almost every day), cookstove ventilation (all stoves, some stoves, none), primary heating fuel exposure (always clean fuels, solid to clean fuel, always solid fuels, others), and length of recall period (continuous), where appropriate. Fuller details of the selection process used for confounders for adjustment are provided online (Supplementary methods 2). The proportional hazard assumption was confirmed to be upheld by using standard methods.(19) For exposure measures with more than two categories, a group-specific CI of HR was calculated from the variance of the log hazard in each category (including the reference category) as described previously(16, 20) and more details are provided online (Supplementary methods 3). The cumulative probability of being hospitalized or dying from each specific cause during follow-up are presented using Kaplan-Meier plots.

We conducted subgroup analyses by baseline characteristics (birth year, age, sex, education, ETS, alcohol consumption, BMI, leg length, years of having a refrigerator at home [the latter two are proxies for the early life environment]). We carried out further sensitivity analyses to reduce the potential impact of reverse causation and residual confounding by excluding i) participants with <20 years of recall period (“frequent movers”, n = 26,742), ii) participants with poor self-reported health at baseline (n = 26,551), iii) participants who cooked weekly at baseline (n = 25,466), and iv) individuals with spirometry-defined airflow obstruction (n = 15,879) or chronic respiratory symptoms (n = 4,842) at baseline, respectively. Details of the
assessment and definitions of airflow obstruction and chronic respiratory symptoms are available online (Supplementary methods 4). All analyses were conducted using SAS software version 9.3.

RESULTS

Among the 277,838 never-smoking participants, the mean (SD) age was 50.3 (10.3) years and 91% were female. The mean total duration of the three most-recent residences was 39.7 (14.5) years, with 91% participants having had at least 20 years of residence covered. Among 91% who reported regular cooking during the recall period, 52% used solid fuel throughout. Compared to long-term clean fuel users, solid fuel users were older, less educated, had lower income, more likely to live in rural areas, to report poor general health status, and were less likely to use ventilated cookstoves. There was no major difference in exposure to ETS or BMI between the two groups (Table 1).

During 2.6 million person-years of follow-up (mean 9.1 [1.4] years), 19,823 first hospitalization events and deaths from major respiratory diseases were recorded, including 10,553 CLRD, 4,398 COPD, 7,324 ALRI, and 3,011 AURI. Figure 1 presents the Kaplan-Meier probability of hospitalization or death from each cause-specific outcome across the three main exposure categories (always clean, solid to clean, always solid). Compared with long-term clean fuel use, long-term solid fuel use for cooking was associated with higher risks of several major respiratory diseases, with adjusted HRs of 1.36 (group-specific 95% CI 1.32-1.40; rate difference [RD] 291/ 100,000 person-years) for all major respiratory diseases, 1.47 (1.41-1.52; 248) for CLRD, 1.10 (1.03-1.18; 30) for COPD, 1.16 (1.09-1.23; -16) for ALRI, 1.59 (1.48-1.71; 86) for AURI, 1.56 (1.40-1.73; 35) for other upper respiratory disease,
and 1.56 (1.28-1.89; 21) for respiratory death. The HRs were significantly weaker in participants who switched from solid to clean fuels than those who used solid fuels persistently (for major respiratory disease: 1.14 [1.10-1.17] versus 1.36 [1.32-1.40]) (Table 2). For major respiratory diseases, the corresponding HR was similar in men and women (1.46 [1.30-1.63] versus 1.37 [1.32-1.41]), and across a range of baseline characteristics (Table E1).

Compared with participants who had always used clean fuels for cooking, the risk of major respiratory diseases increased with duration of persistent solid fuel use, with HRs of 1.32 (1.26-1.39; RD 232/ 100,000 person-years), 1.41 (1.37-1.45; 304), and 1.54 (1.48-1.60; 293) in those who used solid fuels for <20, 20-39, and ≥40 years, respectively (P_{trend}: <0.0001). Similar relationships were observed for each specific respiratory disease (P_{trend}: ≤0.003 for all comparisons) (Figure 2). Amongst long-term solid fuel users for cooking, those who used wood had higher HRs for major respiratory diseases than those who used coal (1.37 [1.33-1.41] versus 1.22 [1.15-1.29]), and those who switched between wood and coal had an intermediate risk (1.25 [1.19-1.31]). Similar patterns of association were observed for CLRD, COPD, ALRI, and respiratory death but not for other respiratory disease outcomes (Figure 3). Excess risk of major respiratory diseases amongst the solid fuel users with ventilated cookstoves were significantly lower compared to those who used unventilated cookstoves (1.22 [1.19-1.25] versus 1.29 [1.24-1.35]). Similar associations were observed for CLRD, AURI, other upper respiratory disease, and respiratory death (Figure 4).

The strength of observed associations between solid fuel use for cooking and most respiratory diseases did not change substantially after excluding frequent movers, participants with poor self-reported health, those who cooked weekly, or
those who had signs of airflow obstruction or chronic respiratory symptoms at baseline (Table E2).

DISCUSSION

In this large study of 280,000 never-smoking Chinese adults who had no known prior history of major chronic diseases at baseline, long-term use of solid fuels for cooking was associated with significant elevated risks of hospitalization or death from both acute and chronic respiratory diseases, with consistent results in men and women and across a range of population subgroups. The excess risks appeared to be greater among those who used wood compared to coal. Switching from solid to clean fuels or use of ventilated cookstoves was associated with relatively smaller excess risks.

Most previous epidemiological studies on solid fuel use and respiratory diseases focused on COPD in adults, with the majority of them being cross-sectional or case-control studies examining airflow obstruction as the outcome. Earlier pooled-analyses of these studies, often with small sample sizes, reported large excess risks (summary odds ratios from 1.94 to 2.80), but strong evidence of publication bias (p <0.007) and high levels of heterogeneity (I² = 85%) has been found. Four larger and more recent population-based cross-sectional studies involving 13,000 to 67,000 participants, including two conducted in China, reported much weaker associations (from no association to ~40% excess risk) with airflow obstruction. In contrast, the present study of 280,000 Chinese never-smokers found that long-term use of solid fuel for cooking was associated with about 10% excess risk of COPD hospitalization or death. The cohort design of this study enabled us to take account of the influence of reverse causation, by excluding those
with prior history of major respiratory diseases, signs of airflow obstruction, or chronic respiratory symptoms, and by examining prospectively recorded hospitalizations or deaths. Furthermore, our analyses were restricted to never-smokers, so the residual confounding from smoking, a leading cause of COPD, should be minimized.

Many previous studies on COPD, including a previous cross-sectional analysis of CKB, (10) examined spirometry-defined airflow obstruction, the hallmark of COPD, as the outcome. In the present study we focused on hospitalization and death as there has been little information on the risk of respiratory hospitalizations and deaths associated with long-term HAP. Indeed, the low utility of spirometry for diagnosing COPD in China (7-10%) (8, 23) means many asymptomatic and mild airflow obstruction cases not requiring medical attention were less likely to have been identified, diagnosed, and captured in our records as COPD. Under-diagnosis of COPD is disproportionately higher in rural China, (8) where solid fuel use is more prominent. The higher likelihood of undiagnosed cases in the exposed group means that the observed risks for COPD may well be diluted. In this regard, we observed a stronger association between long-term solid fuel use for cooking and CLRD (HR=1.47 [95% CI 1.41-1.52]), which included all COPD cases plus mostly unspecified bronchitis (ICD-10 J40; n=7,471). It is possible that many of these unspecified bronchitis cases (but not acute bronchitis as included within ALRI) could be mild, early stages COPD or acute exacerbations of pre-existing, but previously undetected COPD, given that spirometry is rarely used for diagnosis in China. Nevertheless, this may also suggest that solid fuel use is more strongly associated with chronic bronchitis (or mucus hypersecretion in general) than with emphysema or other COPD phenotypes, which has been suggested in previous studies. (6, 9, 24)
For non-COPD respiratory diseases, previous evidence has been more limited. Two small cohort studies on respiratory death (with 155 cases) and ALRI (with 229 participants, no case numbers were given) reported inconclusive findings. (25, 26) A recent systematic review of eight relevant studies on ALRI, most of which involved less than 1,000 disease events, found no consistent evidence. Our study included much larger numbers of events than all previous studies combined (about 7,300 ALRI; 3,000 AURI). We found strong evidence that long-term solid fuel use is associated with significantly elevated risk of hospitalizations or deaths from ALRI and AURI in adults. This highlights the potential need of considering adult ALRI when assessing the disease burden related to HAP exposure. It is worth noting that ALRI and AURI are acute recurring conditions. The observed associations reflect an overall shorter time to the first documented infection during the follow-up in solid fuel users, which may indirectly imply a higher rate of recurrent infection among them. Future analysis focusing on recurrent events (including acute exacerbations of COPD) should be able to clarify this.

Most previous studies on COPD have examined biomass (mostly wood) only, while we analyzed both coal and wood (combined as “solid fuels” and separately), the latter of which has been linked to higher levels of particulate pollution and possibly higher risk of COPD. (6, 12) Consistently, the risks of CLRD, COPD, and ALRI in our study were higher amongst those that persistently used wood compared with those using coal. However, an earlier cross-sectional analysis of CKB on the prevalence of airflow obstruction found seemingly protective effects of wood burning (OR = 0.91 [95% CI 0.86-0.98]) and a deleterious effect of coal use (1.10 [1.02-1.20]) at baseline in women. (10) The two studies differ importantly by the disease outcome examined (prevalence of spirometry-detected airflow obstruction(10) versus rate of
clinical episodes of COPD), as well as inclusion criteria, exposure classification, and analysis strategy. In the current study participants with any prior chronic diseases were excluded. We classified individuals who cooked weekly or daily as regular users of fuels (clean or solid), whereas the previous analysis included also less frequent (monthly) cooks (who were more likely to be men, factory workers, and clean fuel users compared to the more frequent cooks). Furthermore, the current study has additionally adjusted for other important confounders that were not taken into account in the previous study (e.g. ETS, occupation, BMI). For upper respiratory disease, the excess risks appeared to be broadly similar in the long-term wood and coal users for reasons that are not fully understood. It is possible that the etiology or mechanisms between chronic respiratory disease and respiratory infections in relation to air pollutants generated by burning of different fuel types may differ. Further investigation including direct measurement of HAP and characterization of smoke constituents are planned and should help to clarify our findings.

It has been reported in both observational and intervention studies that HAP exposure and acute respiratory symptoms in adults may be reduced through adequately maintained cookstove ventilation.(27) However, there has been no clear evidence on the long-term respiratory benefits of improved cookstove ventilation in adults.(27) A retrospective cohort study involving 42,000 Chinese adults reported significantly lower risks of pneumonia mortality (225 cases) and self-reported physician diagnosis of COPD (1,487 cases) in lifelong coal users for cooking who adopted ventilated cookstove compared to those who did not.(28, 29) In contrast, another cohort study of 600 Chinese adults (74 cases) found no significant effect of improved ventilation on the risk of airflow obstruction.(30) In our study, solid fuel users who used ventilated cookstoves had lower risks of CLRD and upper respiratory
diseases, but not ALRI, COPD or respiratory death, compared with those who used
unventilated cookstoves. This is in agreement with existing evidence that improved
ventilation generally may have more prominent benefits on mild, acute conditions but
not on more severe diseases such as COPD or ALRI, possibly because the HAP
levels after improvement remain substantially above the recommended threshold.\(^{(27,31)}\) The discrepancy in the results on CLRD and COPD, as discussed above, may be
related to the unspecified bronchitis (ICD-10: J40) which could be acute exacerbation
of early stages of COPD. Future large-scale randomized controlled trials with long
follow-up and appropriately designed interventions are needed to assess the effect of
using ventilated cookstoves on major respiratory conditions such as ALRI or COPD
in adults.

Compared to the long-term persistent solid fuel users, participants who had
switched their primary cooking fuel from solid to clean fuels prior to the baseline
survey had smaller excess risks of all respiratory diseases studied. Although limited,
there is consistent trial evidence that switching from solid to clean fuels is associated
with markedly greater HAP reduction than adopting improved ventilation.\(^{(32)}\) Our
findings offer supportive evidence that clean fuel adoption may be beneficial for the
prevention of acute and chronic respiratory conditions. While this might seem intuitive,
it highlights that the elevated risks associated with historical solid fuel use may still be
attenuated by switching to clean fuels later in life, a phenomenon similar to that of
smoking cessation.\(^{(16)}\) This should encourage greater efforts to facilitate universal
access to clean energy especially in LMICs, as promoted in the United Nations
Sustainable Development Goal Seven.\(^{(33)}\)

The key strengths of this study lie in the large number of never-smokers,
comprehensive investigation of prospectively documented hospitalization and death
of a range of respiratory diseases, and the high consistency of exposure-outcome
relationships across these diseases and across different population subgroups.
Moreover, two common limitations of previous research on this topic, namely reverse
causality and residual confounding from smoking, were carefully dealt with in this
study. However, our study has several limitations that need to be taken into
consideration. First, our outcome was based on linkages to hospitalization records
and death certificates. Misclassification due to misdiagnosis is possible, especially for
COPD due to the low utility of spirometry in China. Although we have excluded
participants with pre-existing chronic diseases, admissions for COPD were unlikely to
represent new onset “incident” cases as COPD has a prolonged development period
with risk factors that could trace back to pre-conception, meaning that it is difficult to
establish temporality accurately. Nevertheless, the aim of this study was to
investigate whether HAP may be associated with respiratory admissions and deaths,
rather than the development of incident cases. We have also excluded those with
signs of airflow obstruction at baseline or poor self-reported health in the sensitivity
analyses and the results persisted. Second, HAP exposure was estimated by self-
reports of the main type of fuel used as many other previous studies. It is possible
that historical or concurrent exposure to solid fuel emission from secondary or
neighborhood fuels could have elevated the background risks of clean fuel users, but
we lack data on these or from direct exposure measurement in order to more
accurately assess exposure-response relationships. Third, instead of prospectively
monitoring lifetime exposure, we were only able to estimate long-term exposure
based on recall information on the three most-recent residences of our participants.
This might have resulted in misclassification, especially among clean fuel users who
might have used solid fuels in their early life. However, the recall period covered was
on average 40 years (≥70% of the adulthood in 80% participants) and the exclusion of participants with <20 years of recall information provided gave similar findings with all participants included. Fourth, residual confounding from early-life exposure and ETS is possible, due to the lack of direct early-life exposure data and the relatively crude adjustment on ETS (based on self-reported frequency of exposure).

Nonetheless, the associations observed were consistent across subgroups defined by proxies of early-life exposures (leg length, education level, years of having a refrigerator at home), and additional adjustment for duration of exposure to ETS did not alter the relationship of interest (data not shown). Finally, our study sample has an imbalanced gender ratio (9:1) and one may argue that the findings may not be generalizable to men. However, in the gender-specific analyses (with >26,000 men), we found no evidence of heterogeneity.

In conclusion, in Chinese adults, solid fuel use for cooking was associated with higher risks of admissions and death for both acute and chronic respiratory diseases, with the excess risk seemingly greater for wood than coal users, especially for CLRD, and in those with more prolonged use. A much weaker association with COPD was observed as compared to the earlier meta-analysis estimates used in global disease burden estimation. Moreover, use of ventilated cookstoves and switching to clean fuels were associated with smaller excess risks of some respiratory diseases associated with solid fuel use, reinforcing the need for strengthening the existing global initiatives to improve access to clean energy and to distribute improved cookstoves in communities where a complete switch to cleaner fuels is not yet feasible.
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Table 1. Baseline characteristics of never-smoking participants by long-term primary cooking fuel exposure. *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Always clean</th>
<th>Solid to clean</th>
<th>Always solid</th>
<th>Never cooked regularly</th>
<th>All participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>53,130</td>
<td>66,115</td>
<td>131,270</td>
<td>27,323</td>
<td>277,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.3 (9.5)</td>
<td>50.9 (9.8)</td>
<td>53.0 (10.2)</td>
<td>45.6 (11.2)</td>
<td>50.3 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, %</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residence, %</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal education, %</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income &lt;10,000 yuan/yr, %</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural worker</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factory worker</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-manual worker</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others †</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>36.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current drinker in males, %</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current drinker in females, %</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental tobacco smoke, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 day/ week</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 days/ week</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily or almost everyday</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cookstove ventilation, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All stoves</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some stoves</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body-mass index, kg/m² (SD)</td>
<td>23.8 (3.3)</td>
<td>24.2 (3.4)</td>
<td>23.6 (3.4)</td>
<td>23.7 (3.2)</td>
<td>23.8 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mmHg (SD)</td>
<td>127.9 (19.9)</td>
<td>128.7 (21.4)</td>
<td>130.2 (22.2)</td>
<td>128.4 (20.3)</td>
<td>129.7 (21.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reported poor health, %</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means and percentages were adjusted for age, sex and study area when appropriate. Participants who switched from clean to solid fuels, used unspecified fuels or cooked regularly but stopped were excluded from analysis (n=12,108).
† "Others" in occupation include housewife/ husband, retired, self-employed, unemployed or other unspecified.
Table 2. Incidence rates and adjusted HRs for hospitalization or death from major respiratory diseases by long-term cooking fuel exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major respiratory diseases†</th>
<th>Number of events</th>
<th>Rate (/100,000 person-years) *</th>
<th>HR (95% CI) †</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always clean</td>
<td>2,576</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>1.00 (0.96-1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid to clean</td>
<td>4,575</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>1.14 (1.10-1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always solid</td>
<td>12,672</td>
<td>1,088</td>
<td>1.36 (1.32-1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lower respiratory disease §</td>
<td>1,093</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>1.00 (0.94-1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always clean</td>
<td>2,271</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>1.20 (1.15-1.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid to clean</td>
<td>7,189</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>1.47 (1.41-1.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease ‡</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1.00 (0.89-1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always clean</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>0.96 (0.89-1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid to clean</td>
<td>3,263</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1.10 (1.03-1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute lower respiratory infection¶</td>
<td>1,037</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>1.00 (0.93-1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always clean</td>
<td>1,871</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>1.08 (1.02-1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid to clean</td>
<td>4,416</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>1.16 (1.09-1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute upper respiratory infection **</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1.00 (0.90-1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always clean</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>1.13 (1.04-1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid to clean</td>
<td>1,983</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>1.59 (1.48-1.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other upper respiratory disease ††</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.00 (0.89-1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always clean</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.10 (0.99-1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid to clean</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1.56 (1.40-1.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory death‡‡</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.00 (0.75-1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always clean</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.96 (0.78-1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid to clean</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.56 (1.28-1.89)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Event rates were adjusted for age, sex and study area structure of the China Kadoorie Biobank study population.
† Hazard ratios were stratified for age-at-risk, sex and study area and adjusted for education, household income, occupation, alcohol consumption, body-mass index, environmental tobacco smoke, cookstove ventilation, heating fuel, and length of recall period.
‡ ICD-10 code J00-J06, J12-J18, J30-J39, J40-J47.
§ ICD-10 code J40-47.
‖ ICD-10 code J41-44.
¶ ICD-10 code J12-J18, J20-J22.
** ICD-10 code J00-J06.
†† ICD-10 code J30-J39.
‡‡ ICD-10 code J00-J47, J80-J94, J96-J99.
Figure legend

Figure 1. Kaplan Meier probability of developing specific respiratory disease during follow-up

Figure 2. Adjusted HRs for major respiratory diseases by duration of continuous exposure to solid cooking fuel in never-smokers

Hazard ratios were stratified by age-at-risk (in 5 year groups), sex and study area and adjusted for education, household income, occupation, alcohol consumption, body mass index, environmental tobacco smoke, cookstove ventilation, primary heating fuel exposure and length of recall period. The black boxes represent hazard ratios, with the size inversely proportional to the variance of the logarithm of the hazard ratio, and the horizontal lines represent 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Figure 3. Adjusted HRs for major respiratory diseases by type of primary cooking fuel used in never-smokers

Conventions as in figure 1.

Figure 4. Adjusted HRs of major respiratory diseases associated with primary cooking fuel and use of ventilated cookstoves at baseline

Conventions as in figure 1 except that the HRs were not adjusted for cookstove ventilation and length of recall period.