



An Official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline: The Diagnosis of Intensive Care Unit–acquired Weakness in Adults

Eddy Fan, Fern Cheek, Linda Chlan, Rik Gosselink, Nicholas Hart, Margaret S. Herridge, Ramona O. Hopkins, Catherine L. Hough, John P. Kress, Nicola Latronico, Marc Moss, Dale M. Needham, Mark M. Rich, Robert D. Stevens, Kevin C. Wilson, Chris Winkelman, Doug W. Zochodne, and Naeem A. Ali; on behalf of the ATS Committee on ICU-acquired Weakness in Adults

THIS OFFICIAL CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE OF THE AMERICAN THORACIC SOCIETY (ATS) WAS APPROVED BY THE ATS BOARD OF DIRECTORS, AUGUST 2014

Rationale: Profound muscle weakness during and after critical illness is termed intensive care unit–acquired weakness (ICUAW).

Objectives: To develop diagnostic recommendations for ICUAW.

Methods: A multidisciplinary expert committee generated diagnostic questions. A systematic review was performed, and recommendations were developed using the Grading, Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach.

Measurement and Main Results: Severe sepsis, difficult ventilator liberation, and prolonged mechanical ventilation are associated with ICUAW. Physical rehabilitation improves outcomes in heterogeneous populations of ICU patients. Because it may not be feasible to provide universal physical rehabilitation, an alternative approach is to identify patients most likely to benefit. Patients with ICUAW may be such a group. Our review identified only one case series of patients with ICUAW who received physical therapy. When compared with a case series of patients with ICUAW who did not

receive structured physical therapy, evidence suggested those who receive physical rehabilitation were more frequently discharged home rather than to a rehabilitative facility, although confidence intervals included no difference. Other interventions show promise, but fewer data proving patient benefit existed, thus precluding specific comment. Additionally, prior comorbidity was insufficiently defined to determine its influence on outcome, treatment response, or patient preferences for diagnostic efforts. We recommend controlled clinical trials in patients with ICUAW that compare physical rehabilitation with usual care and further research in understanding risk and patient preferences.

Conclusions: Research that identifies treatments that benefit patients with ICUAW is necessary to determine whether the benefits of diagnostic testing for ICUAW outweigh its burdens.

Keywords: critical care; intensive care unit–acquired weakness; diagnosis; definitions; critical illness polyneuropathy; critical illness myopathy; critical illness myoneuropathy

Contents

Overview

Introduction

Methods

Guideline Panel

Formulation of Questions and Definition of Important Outcomes

Systematic Review

Developing Recommendations

Results

Definition

Summary of Evidence

Question 1: In Which Critically Ill Patient Groups Does ICUAW Occur with a Clinically Significantly Increased Frequency?

Question 2: What Tests Are Used to Identify ICUAW and How Are They Applied in Critically Ill Patients?

Question 3: How Is Electrophysiological Testing Used in Critically Ill Patients When Making the Diagnosis of ICUAW?

Rationale for Diagnosis Discussion

Lack of Understanding of Mechanisms

Heterogeneity of Critically Ill Populations

Limitations of Diagnostic Tools

Relation between Functional Dependence and Acquired Weakness

This document has an online supplement, which is accessible from this issue's table of contents at www.atsjournals.org

Am J Respir Crit Care Med Vol 190, Iss 12, pp 1437–1446, Dec 15, 2014

Copyright © 2014 by the American Thoracic Society

DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201411-2011ST

Internet address: www.atsjournals.org

Overview

Severe muscle weakness is common among critically ill patients, yet there is no consensus on whether or how to systematically identify patients with intensive care unit–acquired weakness (ICUAW). The guideline development committee began with a systematic review that identified that ICUAW may be more common among ICU patients with severe sepsis as well as those having difficulty being liberated from mechanical ventilation or requiring prolonged mechanical ventilation.

Literature review failed to identify evidence comparing the effects of diagnostic testing versus no diagnostic testing on clinical outcomes. A small case series of patients with ICUAW who received physical therapy was identified, and when compared with a similar series of patients with ICUAW who did not receive physical therapy, it appeared that therapy patients might be discharged home rather than to a rehabilitative facility more frequently. However, the confidence intervals did not exclude no effect. As such, the evidence provides very little confidence in the estimated effects of physical therapy on clinical outcomes in patients with ICUAW. The guideline development committee is certain that additional research is necessary to determine whether intervention improves outcomes in patients with ICUAW, and such evidence is necessary before deciding whether or not routine diagnostic testing for ICUAW is indicated.

Testing for and treatment of ICUAW is a promising management strategy for which, thus far, there is insufficient evidence of benefit to support its use. The committee members believe that further research has the potential for reducing uncertainty about the effects of this management strategy and that the results of such research will be of good value for the anticipated costs. Therefore, to recommend a diagnostic approach to testing for ICUAW, the committee made the following recommendations (Table 1).

Recommendation 1: We recommend well-designed, adequately powered and executed randomized controlled trials comparing physical rehabilitation or other alternative treatments with usual care in patients with ICUAW that measure and report patient-important outcomes.

(strong recommendation, very low-quality evidence)

Recommendation 2: We recommend clinical research to determine the role of prior patient disability in the development of and recovery from ICUAW. (strong recommendation, very low-quality evidence)

Recommendation 3: We recommend clinical research that determines whether or not patients would want to know if they have ICUAW even though no specific therapy currently exists and how patient preferences influence medical decision making or the perception of prognosis. (strong recommendation, very low-quality evidence)

Introduction

It is estimated that 13 to 20 million people annually require life support in intensive care units (ICUs) worldwide (1). In the United States, more than 750,000 people receive mechanical ventilation (2, 3), with almost 300,000 requiring prolonged support (>5 d) annually (3–6). Physical impairment is common in this patient group and may persist for years (7–11). In some patients, physical deficits manifest as profound weakness (12), which is associated with worsened outcomes (7, 13). Multiple series estimate that ~25% of patients who require prolonged mechanical ventilation develop global and persistent weakness (7, 8). Based on this, more than 75,000 patients in the United States and up to 1 million worldwide may develop the syndrome of global weakness termed ICU-acquired weakness (ICUAW).

ICUAW is caused by a variety of different pathologies, including critical illness myopathy, polyneuropathy, or a combination (12, 14). It can lead to prolonged mechanical ventilation (15–17) and hospital stay (7, 8) and increased mortality (7, 13). Many patients recovering from critical illness report physical symptoms that persist for years (10, 11), suggesting they may have experienced ICUAW acutely (18, 19).

Rehabilitative therapy improves short-term patient-centered outcomes in heterogeneous populations of ICU patients (20, 21). Because it may not be feasible in many centers to provide early physical and occupational therapy to all ICU patients (22, 23), an alternative approach

is to identify subtypes of ICU patients who are most likely to benefit from these therapies. Patients with ICUAW may be such a subtype according to very low-quality evidence (7, 8, 24). Initiation of early rehabilitation or an alternative potentially beneficial therapy (25–27) is not the only reason to identify ICUAW, however. A diagnosis of ICUAW prevents unnecessary testing for alternative diagnoses (28) and improves the accuracy of counseling about the anticipated duration of mechanical ventilation and the appropriate timing for transition from intensive to rehabilitative care (11, 19, 23, 29–31).

There is no consensus approach to the diagnosis of ICUAW, including how or when the diagnosis can be made (12, 14, 32). It is also uncertain how electrophysiological studies should be used. To address such uncertainties, a panel was convened in March of 2009. The panel organized the disparate terms and standards used to describe ICUAW and introduced a clinical approach (12). Using the panel's work as our framework (12, 33, 34), we convened a committee to generate specific recommendations about the diagnosis of ICUAW. We asked specific clinical questions, prioritized outcomes, developed an *a priori* search strategy and selection criteria, and then performed a systematic review of the literature. The literature was appraised using the Grading, Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach and then used to formulate and grade clinical recommendations.

Methods

The methods used to develop these guidelines are summarized in Table 2.

Guideline Panel

These guidelines were developed using the GRADE approach in accordance with American Thoracic Society (ATS) policies (35, 36). The Critical Care and Nursing Assemblies of the ATS sponsored the project. Invitations were sent out by the committee chair (N.A.A.) and planning committee (D.M.N. and Roy G. Brower) to an initial list of experts who were asked for nominations. Twenty-two individuals accepted, representing multiple stakeholder

Table 1. Recommendation to Aid in Decisions Regarding Diagnostic Testing for Intensive Care Unit–acquired Weakness

Recommendation	Remarks	Values and Preferences
<p>1. We recommend well-designed, adequately powered and executed randomized controlled trials comparing physical rehabilitation or other alternative treatments with usual care in patients with ICUAW that measure and report patient-important outcomes. (strong recommendation, very low-quality evidence)</p> <p>2. We recommend clinical research to determine the role of prior patient disability in the development of and recovery from ICUAW. (strong recommendation, very low-quality evidence)</p> <p>3. We recommend clinical research that determines whether or not patients would want to know if they have ICUAW even though no specific therapy currently exists and how patient preferences influence medical decision making or the perception of prognosis. (strong recommendation, very low-quality evidence)</p>	<p>The recommendations are strong because the guideline development committee is certain that additional research is necessary to prove whether physical rehabilitation or other interventions improve outcomes in patients with ICUAW, and such evidence is necessary before deciding whether or not routine diagnostic testing for ICUAW is indicated.</p>	<p>These recommendations place a higher value on avoiding potentially burdensome diagnostic testing if it will not lead to improved outcomes and a lower value on an uncertain improvement in the rate of discharges home rather than to a rehabilitative facility.</p>

Definition of abbreviation: ICUAW = intensive care unit–acquired weakness.

disciplines from North America and Europe. Four individuals could not participate, and two members (committee chair [N.A.A.] and academic librarian [F.C.]) were excluded from voting, leaving 16 voting members (*see* Table E1 in the online supplement).

Formulation of Questions and Definition of Important Outcomes

The guideline development committee met to discuss the primary findings from the prior panel (12), review diagnostic issues in ICUAW, and identify important clinical questions (Table 3). The committee discussed what potential benefits patients could experience if an accurate diagnosis was made. Critical beneficial outcomes (i.e., outcomes that alone are sufficient to warrant diagnostic testing) included improved survival or reduced recovery time, with the latter indicated by a shorter duration of mechanical ventilation, reduced length of stay in the ICU or hospital, and/or discharge home rather than to a rehabilitative or long-term medical facility. Less important beneficial outcomes included reduced patient or family anxiety due to incorrect expectations about recovery, more accurate counseling about forthcoming needs for ventilation and rehabilitative services (10, 11, 19), and less unnecessary testing to determine the

cause of delayed ventilator liberation or perceived coma (28). The committee also identified the downsides of diagnostic testing. For manual muscle testing (MMT), the burden of performing a more extensive physical examination and the possibility of inconclusive results from patient or practitioner factors were the identified downsides. For electrophysiological testing, potential downsides included incorrect prognostic expectations for false-positive results and both unnecessary diagnostic uncertainty and delayed initiation of therapy for false-negative results.

Systematic Review

A systematic literature review developed the bibliography for the guideline development process. A single search strategy was used, because each of the questions is related to the diagnosis of ICUAW. A sensitive search strategy was developed by the committee’s medical librarian (F.C.), which combined Medical Subject Headings and various keywords (37). The search strategy shown in Table E2 was initially performed in March of 2009 and then was periodically updated during the development of the guideline. Two panelists (E.F. and N.A.A.) selected relevant studies using the following inclusion criteria: (1) randomized clinical trial, observational study, or case series

(enrolling three or more patients); (2) exclusive enrollment of patients aged 18 years or older; and (3) explicit reporting of diagnostic testing for ICUAW. Disagreement was adjudicated through consensus of the same reviewers. The same two panelists examined the bibliographies of the selected articles and related reviews for additional studies, reviewed the studies, extracted crude data, and appraised the quality of each article.

Developing Recommendations

Recommendations were considered based on the balance of beneficial versus adverse outcomes, quality of evidence, burdens, costs, and patient preferences. If it was unclear whether a particular course of action was favorable or unfavorable even after weighing these factors collectively, a recommendation was made for further research

Results

Definition

ICUAW is a syndrome of generalized limb weakness that develops while the patient is critically ill and for which there is no alternative explanation other than the critical illness itself (12). There is no universally accepted reference standard for

Table 2. Methods Checklist

	Yes	No
Panel assembly		
Included experts for relevant clinical and nonclinical disciplines	X	
Included individual who represents the views of patients and society at large		X
Included a methodologist with appropriate expertise (documented expertise in conducting systematic reviews to identify the evidence base and the development of evidence-based recommendations)	X	
Literature review		
Performed in collaboration with librarian	X	
Searched multiple electronic databases	X	
Reviewed reference lists of retrieved articles	X	
Evidence synthesis		
Applied prespecified inclusion and exclusion criteria	X	
Evaluated included studies for sources of bias	X	
Explicitly summarized benefits and harms	X	
Used GRADE to describe quality of evidence	X	
Generation of recommendations		
Used GRADE to rate the strength of recommendations	X	

Definition of abbreviation: GRADE = Grading, Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation.

ICUAW. The various definitions available in the literature were considered, and their merits were discussed. The Medical Research Council (MRC) muscle strength score was used in the majority of studies reporting strength. As a result, in these guidelines, we consider the reference standard to be an average MRC muscle strength score of less than 4 across all muscles tested as determined by MMT (7).

Summary of Evidence

The initial search, excluding duplicate reports from multiple databases based on title, identified 419 citations. Iterative review yielded 84 unique studies (Figure E1). We focused our analysis on prospective studies with explicit (i.e., reproducible) diagnostic methods. Using these criteria, 31 studies were identified (Table E4). Agreement between abstractors on study

selection was near perfect, with a kappa statistic of 0.91 (38).

The 31 studies (3,905 patients) had a median sample size of 43 (interquartile range [IQR], 25–85). Twenty-eight studies were either observational or case series, and three were randomized trials (Table 4). Twenty-six studies (84%) specifically enrolled patients for the clinical assessment of weakness, with 25 studies (80%) excluding patients with other diagnoses causing weakness. The majority of studies did not have, or did not report, the use of protocolized sedation (96%) or ventilator weaning (88%), which could affect the time to cooperation with a cooperative physical examination. Most studies reported outcomes at ICU (23%) and hospital (55%) discharge. Only six studies (19%) reported any outcome measure (e.g., weakness, quality of life) beyond hospital discharge. The most common reasons for

admission to the ICU were respiratory failure (39%) and sepsis (15%). Patients with ICUAW had a median age of 61 (IQR, 53–65) years and a median Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II score of 20 (IQR, 18–21).

Question 1: In Which Critically Ill Patient Groups Does ICUAW Occur with a Clinically Significantly Increased Frequency?

It has been hypothesized that severe sepsis, difficulty weaning from mechanical ventilation, and prolonged mechanical ventilation are associated with ICUAW. Eleven studies reported data about the prevalence of ICUAW among these populations (Table E5) (7, 8, 17, 39–49). Two of the studies were excluded from our analysis because they lacked a control group (39, 40).

A pooled analysis from seven studies recruiting patients with severe sepsis (262 patients; median, 43; IQR, 28–56) (17, 41, 42, 44–47) indicated that the incidence of significant weakness was significantly higher than that observed in studies of other patient groups (5 studies, 504 patients; median, 95; IQR, 50–136) (64 vs. 30%, $P < 0.001$) (7, 8, 43, 48, 49). However, in four prospective studies (7, 8, 48, 49), the prevalence of sepsis at any time during their presentation was no different whether they developed weakness or not (52% in weak patients vs. 56% of those without weakness, $P = 0.46$). Seven studies found that the duration of mechanical ventilation was longer among patients diagnosed with ICUAW than among patients without ICUAW (median, 25 d [IQR, 12–33 d] vs. 18 d [IQR, 8–18.5 d]; $P = 0.06$) (7, 8, 17, 41, 47–49). This has been confirmed in more recent studies (50). Pooled analysis of 14 studies that enrolled patients after a specific period of mechanical ventilation suggests that the longer the exposure to mechanical ventilation the higher the incidence of ICUAW (33% in studies enrolling patient on ventilation ≤ 5 d vs. 43% in those enrolled after ≥ 7 d, $P = 0.01$) (7, 8, 17, 25, 41, 48, 49, 51–57).

Question 2: What Tests Are Used to Identify ICUAW and How Are They Applied in Critically Ill Patients?

In our systematic review, the most common diagnostic tests for ICUAW were physical examination (84% of studies), EMG (90%

Table 3. Clinical Questions

Clinical questions used in the deliberations of how to make the diagnosis of ICU-acquired weakness
In which critically ill patient groups does ICUAW occur with a significantly increased frequency?
What tests are used to identify ICUAW and how are they applied in critically ill patients?
How is electrophysiological testing used in critically ill patients when making the diagnosis of ICUAW?
What is the recommended practical approach to identifying critically ill patients who develop ICUAW?

Definition of abbreviation: ICUAW = intensive care unit-acquired weakness.

Table 4. Study Characteristics

Characteristic	Studies (N = 31)
No. patients evaluated for ICUAW	
Total	3,095
Patients with ICUAW, no. (%)	1,019 (33)
Per study, median (IQR)	43 (25–75)
Study design, no. (%)	
Prospective cohort study	28 (90)
Randomized controlled trial	3 (10)
Patient enrollment criteria, no. (%)*	
Mechanical ventilation	12 (39)
Failure to wean from mechanical ventilation	2 (6)
SIRS/sepsis and/or multiorgan failure	10 (32)
ALI/ARDS	1 (3)
Clinical assessment of weakness	26 (84)
Other	5 (16)
Exclusion of alternative diagnoses for ICUAW, no. (%)	
Yes	25 (80)
No	3 (10)
Unclear/not reported	3 (10)
Duration of follow-up, no. (%)	
ICU	9 (29)
Hospital	16 (52)
Posthospital discharge	6 (19)

Definition of abbreviations: ALI = acute lung injury; ARDS = acute respiratory distress syndrome; ICUAW = intensive care unit–acquired weakness; IQR = interquartile range; SIRS = systemic inflammatory response syndrome.

*Included studies could have enrolled patients with more than one criterion.

of studies), and nerve conduction studies (NCS) (84% of studies) (Table 5). None of the studies compared two diagnostic approaches; rather, most used the tests sequentially if abnormalities were identified on initial testing.

Twenty-six studies (2,318 patients) evaluated physical examination with MMT to diagnose ICUAW (Table E6) (7, 8, 39, 40, 42–45, 47–49, 51–66). Thirteen of those studies (887 patients) (7, 8, 39, 43, 44, 48, 53, 54, 56, 57, 64–66) used a composite MRC (Table E7) score to define strength. Nine of the studies (669 patients) clearly stated an MRC score threshold to define significant weakness (Table E8) (7, 8, 43, 48, 53, 54, 56, 57, 64). Seven of these studies (494 patients) used less than 80% of

the maximum score as the threshold to diagnose ICUAW (7, 8, 43, 48, 56, 57, 64). Only four studies (7, 8, 43, 53) quantified cooperation before the performance of MMT.

MMT was correlated with EMG/NCS in 12 studies (8, 42, 44, 45, 47, 52, 54, 56, 57, 60, 65, 66). In the aggregate (214 patients), these studies demonstrated that 80% of subjects with abnormal EMG/NCS studies had moderate to severe weakness (varied thresholds). The frequency of clinical weakness did not vary based on the threshold MRC used (77% in MRC threshold vs. 84% in other definitions of weakness, $P=0.2$). The frequency of EMG abnormalities (>95%) did not vary with use of MRC (four studies

Table 5. Diagnostic Methods for Intensive Care Unit–acquired Weakness

Diagnostic Method	Studies (N = 31)
Physical examination	26 (84)
EMG	28 (90)
Nerve conduction studies	26 (84)
Direct muscle stimulation	6 (19)
Muscle biopsy	8 (26)
Nerve biopsy	2 (6)

Data are presented as n (%).

[108 patients]) or other subjective strength scales (eight studies [228 patients]). One study directly compared initial EMG/NCS findings in the ICU with the final clinical diagnosis with MMT. This study showed that the positive predictive value of in ICU EMG for the final diagnosis of weakness was 50%, and its negative predictive value was 89% (57). Other diagnostic studies like muscle or nerve biopsy were used too infrequently to warrant comment.

Question 3: How Is Electrophysiological Testing Used in Critically Ill Patients When Making the Diagnosis of ICUAW?

Use of electrophysiological testing in clinical practice is variable. In our review, 28 (2,248 patients) and 26 (1,813 patients) studies used EMG and NCS, respectively. The 15 studies that evaluated EMG and/or NCS criteria for ICUAW found varying diagnostic thresholds (Table E9) (8, 17, 25, 39, 41, 44, 48, 49, 55, 56, 58, 59, 65–67). Moreover, five studies (191 patients) that evaluated direct muscle stimulation reported variability in the muscles tested and the threshold used for the diagnosis of ICUAW (39, 56, 59, 65, 66). Studies of EMG or NCS in uncooperative patients tended to perform the tests early during their ICU stay (e.g., Day 2–10), whereas studies in cooperative patients with abnormal MMT tended to perform them only if the abnormalities persisted (e.g., 2–7 d).

Rationale for Diagnosis

Physical and occupational therapist intervention to encourage ambulation reduces the duration of delirium (23), increases ventilator-free days (23), and improves functional status (21), 6-minute-walk distance, and subjective feeling of well-being (20) at hospital discharge in heterogeneous populations of ICU patients. Despite the benefits of physical rehabilitation, it may not be feasible to provide it to all ICU patients. An alternative approach is to provide physical rehabilitation to subtypes of ICU patients who are most likely to benefit (68–70). Patients with ICUAW may be such a group.

The possibility that patients who develop ICUAW might benefit from physical therapy is suggested by two case series. In the first series of 35 patients with ICUAW who received only infrequent physical therapy when deemed necessary

by a treating physician, four patients were able to be discharged home (11%) after their critical illness. Of the remaining 31 patients, 11 (31%) died and 20 (57%) were discharged to a rehabilitative or long-term medical facility (7). In contrast, the second series followed 19 patients with ICUAW who all underwent physical therapy for an average of 30 minutes a day for 5 days a week until discharge and found that 6 patients were able to be discharged home (32%) after their critical illness. Of the remaining 13 patients, 2 (11%) died and 11 (57%) were discharged to a rehabilitative or long-term medical facility (24). The severity of illness was similar in the case series (a Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score of 8 [7] and 6 [24]). Taken together, the case series suggest that physical rehabilitation might be associated with increased probability of discharge to home instead of another facility (relative risk, 2.76), although there were too few events to definitively confirm or exclude an effect (95% confidence interval, 0.88–8.60).

Such evidence is very low quality (Table E3), meaning that the committee has very little confidence in the estimated effect. The very low quality of the evidence reflects that the estimates were derived from case series, comparisons were across series rather than within series, and there were few patients and events. Given the very low-quality evidence that making a diagnosis of ICUAW improves clinical outcomes, the guideline development committee recommends performing well-designed and -executed randomized trials that measure and properly report clinical outcomes of physical rehabilitation in patients with ICUAW. This includes research that improves our understanding of the role of patient factors and comorbidities in the likelihood of developing ICUAW and the response to treatment. Furthermore, the influence of this diagnosis on patient preferences and their perception of how it affects their medical decision making should be determined through future research. The committee is certain that additional research is necessary to prove whether physical therapy improves outcomes in patients with ICUAW, and such evidence is necessary before deciding whether or not routine diagnostic testing for ICUAW is indicated. This should be seen as distinct from the issue of the value of physical rehabilitation in general populations of mechanically

ventilated critically ill patients that has a more direct body of evidence (20, 21, 71) and is not specifically addressed in this document.

Even though the evidence that making a diagnosis of ICUAW improves clinical outcomes is very low, many members of guideline development committee routinely test high-risk ICU patients for ICUAW (i.e., patients with severe sepsis, difficulty being liberated from mechanical ventilation, or receiving prolonged mechanical ventilation). The approach is based on unsystematic clinical observations that making a diagnosis of ICUAW may have beneficial effects that are seldom measured, including the prevention of unnecessary testing for alternative diagnoses (28), earlier initiation of physical and occupational therapy, and increased accuracy of counseling about the anticipated duration of mechanical ventilation, rehabilitative services, and physical recovery after critical illness (11, 19, 23, 29–31). Moreover, the members of the guideline development committee who perform routine diagnostic testing argue that the potential, albeit unproven, benefit of early physical and occupational therapy is sufficient to warrant diagnostic testing, because therapy can be performed without harm to the patient and with minimal burden to providers. In the case series that followed patients with ICUAW who received physical therapy, there were no adverse events reported (24); in two randomized trials of physical therapy in a heterogeneous ICU population, there was only one adverse event reported among 194 patients and more than 600 physical therapy sessions (20, 21). This was confirmed in a more recent systematic review (72). MMT is performed in cooperative patients and electrophysiological testing in uncooperative patients.

Discussion

The committee used state-of-the-art guideline methodology to generate clinical questions, identify and appraise relevant evidence, and consider whether routine diagnostic testing for ICUAW is warranted. The process yielded a clear understanding of current gaps in the available literature, most notably the paucity of evidence that physical rehabilitation (or any alternative therapy) improves clinical outcomes in

patients diagnosed with ICUAW. By generating objective evidence that clinical outcomes can be improved, aggressive efforts aimed to diagnose patients with ICUAW can be justified.

Despite this lack of current evidence, there are several reasons that many members of the guideline development committee perform routine diagnostic testing to identify patients with ICUAW in their clinical practices. First, ICUAW is associated with worse clinical outcomes, and nonrecognition could lead to inappropriate expectations of recovery. Second, many believe that the potential, albeit unproven, benefits of physical therapy outweigh the downsides, because therapy can be performed without harm to the patient and with minimal burden to providers. Third, patients with ICUAW appear at risk for recurrent respiratory failure and nosocomial pneumonia (7, 17) possibly related to reduced neuromuscular reserve (15, 16). Respiratory therapists or others could focus on respiratory support and pulmonary airway clearance in patients with ICUAW to minimize these risks. Finally, a clear phenotypic description of these patients could facilitate further research to explore causes and interventions.

Although there are important reasons to diagnose ICUAW, there are also several limitations to our approach that were discussed during the committee's deliberations. The limitations include our lack of understanding of how to interrupt the pathophysiology that leads to ICUAW, the heterogeneity of critically ill populations, and limitations inherent to the tools available. Finally, the reduced quality of life and poor functional independence of critically ill patients after critical illness needs further research to define the impact of reduced strength on this outcome.

Lack of Understanding of Mechanisms

There has been significant work focused on the cellular alterations in specific causes of ICUAW (32, 73–75); however, such efforts have not resulted in specific pharmacologic interventions. As a result, the advantages of diagnosing ICUAW are less than if one existed. An area that has received considerable attention is the effects of immobility (73, 76), which has led to the promotion of sedative interruption and early rehabilitation therapy in a variety of settings (20, 21, 76–81). However, even these interventions

are limited by an incomplete understanding of the pathophysiology and delayed recognition (82). Additionally, as we learn more about the link between critical illness and persistent physical limitation (83), we must dissect what aspects of critical illness (immobility, inflammation, lack of exercise, cognitive deficits) lead to disability (82, 84).

Heterogeneity of Critically Ill Populations

Heterogeneity of critically ill populations is another important barrier, particularly as it pertains to functional recovery, as this is a major concern among patients recovering from critical illness (18, 19, 85). For instance, functional outcomes among survivors of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) can vary based on age and chronic underlying comorbidity (11, 86, 87). This occurs despite similar severity and duration of illness. Younger, previously employed patients with ARDS without comorbidity have improved survival and return to independence when compared with the elderly (9, 85, 88). Recovery may vary because the syndrome has heterogeneous underlying pathology or treatment has influenced the muscles' response to injury (89). Understanding this variability may allow diagnostic efforts to target patients most likely to benefit from diagnosis.

Limitations of Diagnostic Tools

The diagnostic tests used to identify ICUAW are limited by reproducibility, the narrow window during which they can be applied, and the lack of a universally accepted and validated "gold standard." Volitional testing (e.g., MMT), although reliable in cooperative

patients (90), is inherently challenging given the available scales (91) and bias introduced by detection after awakening (91). Despite these limitations, a more reliable test has not emerged.

Relation between Functional Dependence and Acquired Weakness

By defining the long-term impact of critical illness on patients returning to society, the attention paid to developing interventions is likely warranted. The link between ICUAW/muscle strength and physical function (strength, timed walk distance, etc.) and patient-reported quality of life measures has been clearly reported (83). However, given the simultaneous evolution in our understanding of cognitive (92), psychiatric (93), and physical impacts of critical illness, a better understanding of the signal of functional independence is needed to understand how to target physical recovery. This is important as, in the aggregate, functional independence is more readily monitored than any more specific symptom and thus likely to remain a pragmatic target of intervention. Current studies of combined interventions targeting both physical and cognitive performance may be the only way for us to tease apart the relative contribution of each of these domains (71). This understanding would have direct policy implications and would assist clinicians and patients in prioritizing future recommended interventions.

Finally, we have emphasized the assessment of strength in this document as a primary modality of identifying these patients. This was done due to the universal

availability of tests of muscle strength; however, electrophysiology has aided our understanding of this syndrome similar to other diseases like the Guillain-Barré syndrome (94). It is possible that electrophysiology may aid in determining a patient's ability to respond to certain interventions. If this proves true, we should alter the assumption that electrophysiology should be secondary to physical signs of weakness in any diagnostic approach.

In the absence of clarity regarding the issues outlined above, we are unable to explicitly advocate for a systematic approach to identifying patients with ICUAW. In this case, ICU clinicians can only leverage the currently available evidence for the application of early rehabilitation in a broad group of critically ill patients to prevent or ameliorate physical disability. Although these data are significant, some institutions may not be adequately resourced to deliver this comprehensive approach.

This process can and should be revised once more rigorous studies on intervention in ICUAW and comparisons of diagnostic testing have been completed and more clinical data are available. Our document is intended to advance both the clinical and research agendas for ICU practitioners. Standard case identification can quantify the problem of ICUAW and focus existing limited rehabilitative or other resources on these patients (77); however, true benefit needs to be proven first. Until then, we hope this document serves to illustrate what has been learned from the diagnostic strategies used to date and helps promote a better understanding of the clinical problems faced in discussing this complex syndrome. ■

This guideline was prepared by an *ad hoc* subcommittee of the Assembly on Critical Care and the Assembly on Nursing.

Members of the Committee:

NAEEM A. ALI, M.D. (*Chair*)

FERN CHEEK, Ph.D.

LINDA CHLAN, Ph.D., R.N.

EDDY FAN, M.D., Ph.D.

RIK GOSSELINK, Ph.D.

NICHOLAS HART, M.B. B.S., Ph.D.

MARGARET S. HERRIDGE, M.D., M.P.H.

RAMONA O. HOPKINS, Ph.D.

CATHERINE L. HOUGH, M.D., M.S.C.

JOHN P. KRESS, M.D.

NICOLA LATRONICO, M.D.

MARC MOSS, M.D.

DALE M. NEEDHAM, M.D., Ph.D.

MARK M. RICH, M.D., Ph.D.

ROBERT D. STEVENS, M.D.

KEVIN C. WILSON, M.D.

CHRIS WINKELMAN, Ph.D., R.N.

DOUG W. ZOCHODNE, M.D.

Author Disclosures: C.L.H. reported serving as a consultant to TransTech Pharmaceuticals (\$1,000–4,999). J.P.K. reported receipt of lecture fees from Hospira (\$5,000–24,999). K.C.W. reported that he is employed by the American Thoracic Society and holds investment accounts with State Street Bank that are independently managed by Moody Lynch & Company and may have included

healthcare-related holdings within general mutual funds. D.W.Z. reported serving on an advisory committee of Aegera Therapeutics and has a patent pending for regenerative therapy for peripheral nerve damage. N.A.A., F.C., L.C., E.F., R.G., N.H., M.S.H., R.O.H., N.L., M.M., D.M.N., M.M.R., R.D.S., and C.W. reported that they had no financial interests relevant to the document subject matter.

Acknowledgment: The authors thank Roy G. Brower, M.D. for invaluable contributions in formulating some of the initial ideas for this project and document. Dr. Brower was instrumental in recognizing the need to identify the gaps in our understanding of ICUAW and helping gather a team of experts to address it.

References

- Adhikari NK, Fowler RA, Bhagwanjee S, Rubenfeld GD. Critical care and the global burden of critical illness in adults. *Lancet* 2010;376:1339–1346.
- Kahn JM, Goss CH, Heagerty PJ, Kramer AA, O'Brien CR, Rubenfeld GD. Hospital volume and the outcomes of mechanical ventilation. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:41–50.
- Zilberberg MD, Luippold RS, Sulsky S, Shorr AF. Prolonged acute mechanical ventilation, hospital resource utilization, and mortality in the United States. *Crit Care Med* 2008;36:724–730.
- Cox CE, Martinu T, Sathy SJ, Clay AS, Chia J, Gray AL, Olsen MK, Govert JA, Carson SS, Tulskey JA. Expectations and outcomes of prolonged mechanical ventilation. *Crit Care Med* 2009;37:2888–2894, quiz 2904.
- MacIntyre NR, Epstein SK, Carson S, Scheinhorn D, Christopher K, Muldoon S; National Association for Medical Direction of Respiratory Care. Management of patients requiring prolonged mechanical ventilation: report of a NAMDRC consensus conference. *Chest* 2005;128:3937–3954.
- Frutos-Vivar F, Esteban A, Apezteguía C, Anzueto A, Nightingale P, González M, Soto L, Rodrigo C, Raad J, David CM, et al.; International Mechanical Ventilation Study Group. Outcome of mechanically ventilated patients who require a tracheostomy. *Crit Care Med* 2005;33:290–298.
- Ali NA, O'Brien JM Jr, Hoffmann SP, Phillips G, Garland A, Finley JC, Almoosa K, Hejal R, Wolf KM, Lemeshow S, et al.; Midwest Critical Care Consortium. Acquired weakness, handgrip strength, and mortality in critically ill patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;178:261–268.
- De Jonghe B, Sharshar T, Lefaucheur JP, Authier FJ, Durand-Zaleski I, Boussarsar M, Cerf C, Renaud E, Mesrati F, Carlet J, et al.; Groupe de Réflexion et d'Etude des Neuromyopathies en Réanimation. Paresis acquired in the intensive care unit: a prospective multicenter study. *JAMA* 2002;288:2859–2867.
- Herridge MS, Cheung AM, Tansey CM, Matte-Martyn A, Diaz-Granados N, Al-Saidi F, Cooper AB, Guest CB, Mazer CD, Mehta S, et al.; Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. One-year outcomes in survivors of the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:683–693.
- Cheung AM, Tansey CM, Tomlinson G, Diaz-Granados N, Matté A, Barr A, Mehta S, Mazer CD, Guest CB, Stewart TE, et al. Two-year outcomes, health care use, and costs of survivors of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;174:538–544.
- Herridge MS, Tansey CM, Matté A, Tomlinson G, Diaz-Granados N, Cooper A, Guest CB, Mazer CD, Mehta S, Stewart TE, et al.; Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. Functional disability 5 years after acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:1293–1304.
- Stevens RD, Marshall SA, Cornblath DR, Hoke A, Needham DM, de Jonghe B, Ali NA, Sharshar T. A framework for diagnosing and classifying intensive care unit-acquired weakness. *Crit Care Med* 2009;37:S299–S308.
- Sharshar T, Bastuji-Garin S, Stevens RD, Durand MC, Malissin I, Rodriguez P, Cerf C, Outin H, De Jonghe B; Groupe de Réflexion et d'Etude des Neuromyopathies En Réanimation. Presence and severity of intensive care unit-acquired paresis at time of awakening are associated with increased intensive care unit and hospital mortality. *Crit Care Med* 2009;37:3047–3053.
- Schweickert WD, Hall J. ICU-acquired weakness. *Chest* 2007;131:1541–1549.
- De Jonghe B, Bastuji-Garin S, Sharshar T, Outin H, Brochard L. Does ICU-acquired paresis lengthen weaning from mechanical ventilation? *Intensive Care Med* 2004;30:1117–1121.
- De Jonghe B, Bastuji-Garin S, Durand MC, Malissin I, Rodrigues P, Cerf C, Outin H, Sharshar T; Groupe de Réflexion et d'Etude des Neuromyopathies en Réanimation. Respiratory weakness is associated with limb weakness and delayed weaning in critical illness. *Crit Care Med* 2007;35:2007–2015.
- Garnacho-Montero J, Amaya-Villar R, García-Garmendía JL, Madrazo-Osuna J, Ortiz-Leyba C. Effect of critical illness polyneuropathy on the withdrawal from mechanical ventilation and the length of stay in septic patients. *Crit Care Med* 2005;33:349–354.
- Hopkins RO, Suchyta MR, Farrer TJ, Needham D. Improving post-intensive care unit neuropsychiatric outcomes: understanding cognitive effects of physical activity. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;186:1220–1228.
- Krumholz HM. Post-hospital syndrome—an acquired, transient condition of generalized risk. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:100–102.
- Burtin C, Clerckx B, Robbeets C, Ferdinande P, Langer D, Troosters T, Hermans G, Decramer M, Gosselink R. Early exercise in critically ill patients enhances short-term functional recovery. *Crit Care Med* 2009;37:2499–2505.
- Schweickert WD, Pohlman MC, Pohlman AS, Nigos C, Pawlik AJ, Esbrook CL, Spears L, Miller M, Franczyk M, Deprizio D, et al. Early physical and occupational therapy in mechanically ventilated, critically ill patients: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2009;373:1874–1882.
- Lee CM, Fan E. ICU-acquired weakness: what is preventing its rehabilitation in critically ill patients? *BMC Med* 2012;10:115.
- Hodgin KE, Nordon-Craft A, McFann KK, Mealer ML, Moss M. Physical therapy utilization in intensive care units: results from a national survey. *Crit Care Med* 2009;37:561–566, quiz 566–568.
- Nordon-Craft A, Schenkman M, Ridgeway K, Benson A, Moss M. Physical therapy management and patient outcomes following ICU-acquired weakness: a case series. *J Neurol Phys Ther* 2011;35:133–140.
- Van den Berghe G, Schoonheydt K, Bex P, Bruyninckx F, Wouters PJ. Insulin therapy protects the central and peripheral nervous system of intensive care patients. *Neurology* 2005;64:1348–1353.
- Hermans G, Schrooten M, Van Damme P, Berends N, Bouckaert B, De Vooght W, Robberecht W, Van den Berghe G. Benefits of intensive insulin therapy on neuromuscular complications in routine daily critical care practice: a retrospective study. *Crit Care* 2009;13:R5.
- Routsi C, Gerovasili V, Vasileiadis I, Karatzanos E, Pitsolis T, Tripodaki E, Markaki V, Zervakis D, Nanas S. Electrical muscle stimulation prevents critical illness polyneuropathy: a randomized parallel intervention trial. *Crit Care* 2010;14:R74.
- Latronico N, Fenzi F, Recupero D, Guarneri B, Tomelleri G, Tonin P, De Maria G, Antonini L, Rizzuto N, Candiani A. Critical illness myopathy and neuropathy. *Lancet* 1996;347:1579–1582.
- Needham DM, Feldman DR, Kho ME. The functional costs of ICU survivorship. Collaborating to improve post-ICU disability. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;183:962–964.
- Hough CL, Needham DM. The role of future longitudinal studies in ICU survivors: understanding determinants and pathophysiology of weakness and neuromuscular dysfunction. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2007;13:489–496.
- Johnson RF Jr, Gustin J. Acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome requiring tracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation in the intensive care unit: impact on managing uncertainty for patient-centered communication. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 2013;30:569–575.
- Puthuchery Z, Harridge S, Hart N. Skeletal muscle dysfunction in critical care: wasting, weakness, and rehabilitation strategies. *Crit Care Med* 2010;38:S676–S682.
- De Jonghe B, Cook D, Sharshar T, Lefaucheur JP, Carlet J, Outin H. Acquired neuromuscular disorders in critically ill patients: a systematic review. *Groupe de Reflexion et d'Etude sur les Neuromyopathies En Reanimation. Intensive Care Med* 1998;24:1242–1250.
- Stevens RD, Dowdy DW, Michaels RK, Mendez-Tellez PA, Pronovost PJ, Needham DM. Neuromuscular dysfunction acquired in critical illness: a systematic review. *Intensive Care Med* 2007;33:1876–1891.
- Schünemann HJ, Jaeschke R, Cook DJ, Bria WF, El-Solh AA, Ernst A, Fahy BF, Gould MK, Horan KL, Krishnan JA, et al.; ATS Documents Development and Implementation Committee. An official ATS statement: grading the quality of evidence and strength of recommendations in ATS guidelines and recommendations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;174:605–614.

36. Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Brozek J, Glasziou P, Jaeschke R, Vist GE, Williams JW Jr, Kunz R, Craig J, Montori VM, *et al.*; GRADE Working Group. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations for diagnostic tests and strategies. *BMJ* 2008;336:1106–1110.
37. Higgins J, Green S. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions* 4.2.6. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011. Available from: www.cochrane-handbook.org
38. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics* 1977;33:159–174.
39. Trojaborg W, Weimer LH, Hays AP. Electrophysiologic studies in critical illness associated weakness: myopathy or neuropathy—a reappraisal. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2001;112:1586–1593.
40. Tennilä A, Salmi T, Pettilä V, Roine RO, Varpula T, Takkunen O. Early signs of critical illness polyneuropathy in ICU patients with systemic inflammatory response syndrome or sepsis. *Intensive Care Med* 2000;26:1360–1363.
41. Garnacho-Montero J, Madrazo-Osuna J, García-Garmendía JL, Ortiz-Leyba C, Jiménez-Jiménez FJ, Barrero-Almodóvar A, Garnacho-Montero MC, Moyano-Del-Estad MR. Critical illness polyneuropathy: risk factors and clinical consequences. A cohort study in septic patients. *Intensive Care Med* 2001;27:1288–1296.
42. Witt NJ, Zochodne DW, Bolton CF, Grand'Maison F, Wells G, Young GB, Sibbald WJ. Peripheral nerve function in sepsis and multiple organ failure. *Chest* 1991;99:176–184.
43. Nanas S, Kritikos K, Angelopoulos E, Siafaka A, Tsirikli S, Poriasi M, Kanaloupiti D, Kontogeorgi M, Pratikaki M, Zervakis D, *et al.* Predisposing factors for critical illness polyneuropathy in a multidisciplinary intensive care unit. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2008;118:175–181.
44. Khan J, Harrison TB, Rich MM, Moss M. Early development of critical illness myopathy and neuropathy in patients with severe sepsis. *Neurology* 2006;67:1421–1425.
45. Tepper M, Rakic S, Haas JA, Woititz AJ. Incidence and onset of critical illness polyneuropathy in patients with septic shock. *Neth J Med* 2000;56:211–214.
46. Schwarz J, Planck J, Briegel J, Straube A. Single-fiber electromyography, nerve conduction studies, and conventional electromyography in patients with critical-illness polyneuropathy: evidence for a lesion of terminal motor axons. *Muscle Nerve* 1997;20:696–701.
47. Mohr M, Englisch L, Roth A, Burchardi H, Zielmann S. Effects of early treatment with immunoglobulin on critical illness polyneuropathy following multiple organ failure and gram-negative sepsis. *Intensive Care Med* 1997;23:1144–1149.
48. Leijten FS, Harinck-de Weerd JE, Poortvliet DC, de Weerd AW. The role of polyneuropathy in motor convalescence after prolonged mechanical ventilation. *JAMA* 1995;274:1221–1225.
49. Leijten FS, De Weerd AW, Poortvliet DC, De Ridder VA, Ulrich C, Harinck-De Weerd JE. Critical illness polyneuropathy in multiple organ dysfunction syndrome and weaning from the ventilator. *Intensive Care Med* 1996;22:856–861.
50. Connolly BA, Jones GD, Curtis AA, Murphy PB, Douiri A, Hopkinson NS, Polkey MI, Moxham J, Hart N. Clinical predictive value of manual muscle strength testing during critical illness: an observational cohort study. *Crit Care* 2013;17:R229.
51. Thiele RI, Jakob H, Hund E, Tantzky S, Keller S, Kamler M, Herold U, Hagl S. Sepsis and catecholamine support are the major risk factors for critical illness polyneuropathy after open heart surgery. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2000;48:145–150.
52. Amaya-Villar R, Garnacho-Montero J, García-Garmendía JL, Madrazo-Osuna J, Garnacho-Montero MC, Luque R, Ortiz-Leyba C. Steroid-induced myopathy in patients intubated due to exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Intensive Care Med* 2005;31:157–161.
53. de Letter MA, Schmitz PI, Visser LH, Verheul FA, Schellens RL, Op de Coul DA, van der Meché FG. Risk factors for the development of polyneuropathy and myopathy in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med* 2001;29:2281–2286.
54. Campellone JV, Lacomis D, Kramer DJ, Van Cott AC, Giuliani MJ. Acute myopathy after liver transplantation. *Neurology* 1998;50:46–53.
55. Hermans G, Wilmer A, Meersseman W, Milants I, Wouters PJ, Bobbaers H, Bruyninckx F, Van den Berghe G. Impact of intensive insulin therapy on neuromuscular complications and ventilator dependency in the medical intensive care unit. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007;175:480–489.
56. Lefaucheur JP, Nordine T, Rodriguez P, Brochard L. Origin of ICU acquired paresis determined by direct muscle stimulation. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2006;77:500–506.
57. Leijten FS, Poortvliet DC, de Weerd AW. The neurological examination in the assessment of polyneuropathy in mechanically ventilated patients. *Eur J Neurol* 1997;4:124–129.
58. Hough CL, Steinberg KP, Taylor Thompson B, Rubenfeld GD, Hudson LD. Intensive care unit-acquired neuromyopathy and corticosteroids in survivors of persistent ARDS. *Intensive Care Med* 2009;35:63–68.
59. Rich MM, Bird SJ, Raps EC, McCluskey LF, Teener JW. Direct muscle stimulation in acute quadriplegic myopathy. *Muscle Nerve* 1997;20:665–673.
60. Coakley JH, Nagendran K, Yarwood GD, Honavar M, Hinds CJ. Patterns of neurophysiological abnormality in prolonged critical illness. *Intensive Care Med* 1998;24:801–807.
61. Larsson L, Li X, Edström L, Eriksson LI, Zackrisson H, Argentin C, Schiaffino S. Acute quadriplegia and loss of muscle myosin in patients treated with nondepolarizing neuromuscular blocking agents and corticosteroids: mechanisms at the cellular and molecular levels. *Crit Care Med* 2000;28:34–45.
62. Velázquez Pérez L, Jara González JA, Sánchez Cruz G. Peripheral nerve conduction studies in patients with multiple organ failure [in Spanish]. *Rev Neurol* 2003;36:15–20.
63. Thiele RI, Jakob H, Hund E, Genzwuerker H, Herold U, Schweiger P, Hagl S. Critical illness polyneuropathy: a new iatrogenically induced syndrome after cardiac surgery? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 1997;12:826–835.
64. Guarneri B, Bertolini G, Latronico N. Long-term outcome in patients with critical illness myopathy or neuropathy: the Italian multicentre CRIMYNE study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2008;79:838–841.
65. Bednarik J, Lukas Z, Vondracek P. Critical illness polyneuropathy: the electrophysiological components of a complex entity. *Intensive Care Med* 2003;29:1505–1514.
66. Bednarik J, Vondracek P, Dusek L, Moravcova E, Cundrle I. Risk factors for critical illness polyneuropathy. *J Neurol* 2005;252:343–351.
67. Latronico N, Bertolini G, Guarneri B, Botteri M, Peli E, Andreoletti S, Bera P, Luciani D, Nardella A, Vittorielli E, *et al.* Simplified electrophysiological evaluation of peripheral nerves in critically ill patients: the Italian multi-centre CRIMYNE study. *Crit Care* 2007;11:R11.
68. Benbassat J, Bauml R, Heyman SN, Brezis M. Viewpoint: suggestions for a shift in teaching clinical skills to medical students: the reflective clinical examination. *Acad Med* 2005;80:1121–1126.
69. Glick TH. Toward a more efficient and effective neurologic examination for the 21st century. *Eur J Neurol* 2005;12:994–997.
70. Kiderman A, Dratva D, Ever-Hadani P, Cohen R, Brezis M. Limited value of physical examinations in upper respiratory illness: account of personal experience and survey of doctors' views. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2009;15:184–188.
71. Brummel NE, Girard TD, Ely EW, Pandharipande PP, Morandi A, Hughes CG, Graves AJ, Shintani A, Murphy E, Work B. Feasibility and safety of early combined cognitive and physical therapy for critically ill medical and surgical patients: the Activity and Cognitive Therapy in ICU (ACT-ICU) trial. *Intensive Care Med* 2014;40:370–379.
72. Calvo-Ayala E, Khan BA, Farber MO, Ely EW, Boustani MA. Interventions to improve the physical function of ICU survivors: a systematic review. *Chest* 2013;144:1469–1480.
73. Chambers MA, Moylan JS, Reid MB. Physical inactivity and muscle weakness in the critically ill. *Crit Care Med* 2009;37:S337–S346.
74. Supinski GS, Wang W, Callahan LA. Caspase and calpain activation both contribute to sepsis-induced diaphragmatic weakness. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2009;107:1389–1396.
75. Supinski GS, Callahan LA. Double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase activation modulates endotoxin-induced diaphragm weakness. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2011;110:199–205.

76. Needham DM. Mobilizing patients in the intensive care unit: improving neuromuscular weakness and physical function. *JAMA* 2008;300:1685–1690.
77. Needham DM, Korupolu R, Zanni JM, Pradhan P, Colantuoni E, Palmer JB, Brower RG, Fan E. Early physical medicine and rehabilitation for patients with acute respiratory failure: a quality improvement project. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2010;91:536–542.
78. Kress JP, Pohlman AS, O'Connor MF, Hall JB. Daily interruption of sedative infusions in critically ill patients undergoing mechanical ventilation. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342:1471–1477.
79. Girard TD, Kress JP, Fuchs BD, Thomason JW, Schweickert WD, Pun BT, Taichman DB, Dunn JG, Pohlman AS, Kinniry PA, et al. Efficacy and safety of a paired sedation and ventilator weaning protocol for mechanically ventilated patients in intensive care (Awakening and Breathing Controlled trial): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2008;371:126–134.
80. Morris PE, Goad A, Thompson C, Taylor K, Harry B, Passmore L, Ross A, Anderson L, Baker S, Sanchez M, et al. Early intensive care unit mobility therapy in the treatment of acute respiratory failure. *Crit Care Med* 2008;36:2238–2243.
81. Bailey P, Thomsen GE, Spuhler VJ, Blair R, Jewkes J, Bezdjian L, Veale K, Rodriguez L, Hopkins RO. Early activity is feasible and safe in respiratory failure patients. *Crit Care Med* 2007;35:139–145.
82. Batt J, dos Santos CC, Cameron JI, Herridge MS. Intensive care unit-acquired weakness: clinical phenotypes and molecular mechanisms. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;187:238–246.
83. Fan E, Dowdy DW, Colantuoni E, Mendez-Tellez PA, Sevransky JE, Shanholtz C, Himmelfarb CR, Desai SV, Ciesla N, Herridge MS, et al. Physical complications in acute lung injury survivors: a two-year longitudinal prospective study. *Crit Care Med* 2014;42:849–859.
84. Batt J, Dos Santos CC, Herridge MS. Muscle injury during critical illness. *JAMA* 2013;310:1569–1570.
85. Dowdy DW, Eid MP, Sedrakyan A, Mendez-Tellez PA, Pronovost PJ, Herridge MS, Needham DM. Quality of life in adult survivors of critical illness: a systematic review of the literature. *Intensive Care Med* 2005;31:611–620.
86. Herridge MS. Legacy of intensive care unit-acquired weakness. *Crit Care Med* 2009;37:S457–S461.
87. Rubenfeld GD, Herridge MS. Epidemiology and outcomes of acute lung injury. *Chest* 2007;131:554–562.
88. Pearmain L, Herridge MS. Outcomes after ARDS: a distinct group in the spectrum of disability after complex and protracted critical illness. *Minerva Anesthesiol* 2013;79:793–803.
89. Hermans G, Casaer MP, Clerckx B, Güiza F, Vanhullebusch T, Derde S, Meersseman P, Derese I, Mesotten D, Wouters PJ, et al. Effect of tolerating macronutrient deficit on the development of intensive-care unit acquired weakness: a subanalysis of the EPaNIC trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013;1:621–629.
90. Hermans G, Clerckx B, Vanhullebusch T, Segers J, Vanpee G, Robbeets C, Casaer MP, Wouters P, Gosselink R, Van Den Berghe G. Interobserver agreement of Medical Research Council sum-score and handgrip strength in the intensive care unit. *Muscle Nerve* 2012;45:18–25.
91. Hough CL, Lieu BK, Caldwell ES. Manual muscle strength testing of critically ill patients: feasibility and interobserver agreement. *Crit Care* 2011;15:R43.
92. Pandharipande PP, Girard TD, Jackson JC, Morandi A, Thompson JL, Pun BT, Brummel NE, Hughes CG, Vasilevskis EE, Shintani AK, et al.; BRAIN-ICU Study Investigators. Long-term cognitive impairment after critical illness. *N Engl J Med* 2013;369:1306–1316.
93. Mikkelsen ME, Christie JD, Lanken PN, Biester RC, Thompson BT, Bellamy SL, Localio AR, Demissie E, Hopkins RO, Angus DC. The adult respiratory distress syndrome cognitive outcomes study: long-term neuropsychological function in survivors of acute lung injury. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;185:1307–1315.
94. Chiò A, Cocito D, Leone M, Giordana MT, Mora G, Mutani R; Piemonte and Valle d'Aosta Register for Guillain-Barré Syndrome. Guillain-Barré syndrome: a prospective, population-based incidence and outcome survey. *Neurology* 2003;60:1146–1150.