# The Association of Ambient Air Pollution with Sleep Apnea: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis

Martha E Billings, MD, MSc<sup>1</sup>, Diane Gold MD, MPH<sup>2,3</sup>, Adam Szpiro PhD<sup>4</sup>, Carrie P. Aaron MD<sup>6</sup>, Neal Jorgensen, MS<sup>4</sup>, Amanda Gassett, MS<sup>5</sup>, Peter J Leary MD MSc<sup>1</sup>, Joel D Kaufman MD, MPH<sup>5</sup> and Susan R. Redline, MD, MPH<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UW Medicine Sleep Center, Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care & Sleep Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard School of Medicine, Boston, MA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA; <sup>4</sup>Department of Statistics, University of Washington, Seattle WA; <sup>5</sup>Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; <sup>6</sup>Department of Medicine, Columbia University, New York, NY

### **Corresponding Author:**

Martha E. Billings, MD, MSc Associate Professor of Medicine Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine University of Washington UW Medicine Sleep Center at Harborview 325 Ninth Avenue, Box 359803 Seattle, WA 98104 mebillin@uw.edu 206.744.4999 (phone) 206.744.8584 (fax)

**Author Contributions:** MB had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. AS, AG, NJ, JK, SR contributed substantially to data collection and analysis. SR, DG, CA, MB contributed substantially to the study design, data interpretation, and the writing of the manuscript.

**Sources of Support**: This research was supported by contracts HHSN268201500003I, N01-HC-95159, N01-HC-95160, N01-HC-95161, N01-HC-95162, N01-HC-95163, N01-HC-95164, N01-HC-95165, N01-HC-95166, N01-HC-95167, N01-HC-95168 and N01-HC-95169 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, and by grants UL1-TR-000040, UL1-TR-001079, and UL1-TR-001420 from NCATS. Additional funding from NHLBI R01 R098433 for MESA Sleep (Redline). This publication was developed under a STAR research assistance agreement, No. RD831697 (MESA Air), awarded by the U.S Environmental Protection Agency. It has not been formally reviewed by the EPA. The views expressed in this document are solely those of the authors and the EPA does not endorse any products or commercial services mentioned in this publication.

**Disclosures:** Each author reports no conflicts of interest with this manuscript. Prior abstract presentations: Association of Professionals Sleep Societies, June 2014 & American Thoracic Society International Meeting, May 2017

Short Title: Ambient air pollution and sleep apnea

Subject Category: 15.7 Sleep Disordered Breathing: Epidemiology

Key Words: obstructive sleep apnea, environmental pollutants, health status disparities

This article has a data supplement, which is accessible from this issue's table of contents online at www.atsjournals.org

Word Count: 3375

#### Abstract

**Rationale:** Air pollution may influence sleep through airway inflammation or autonomic nervous system pathway alterations. Epidemiological studies may provide evidence of relationships between chronic air pollution exposure and sleep apnea.

**Objective**: To determine if ambient-derived pollution exposure was associated with obstructive sleep apnea and objective sleep disruption.

Methods: We analyzed data from a sample of the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis who participated in both the Sleep and Air studies. Mean annual and 5-year exposure levels to nitrogen dioxide and PM<sub>2.5</sub> were estimated at participants' homes using spatio-temporal models based on cohort-specific monitoring. Participants completed in-home full polysomnography and 7 days of wrist actigraphy. Multivariate models, adjusted for demographics, co-morbidities, socio-economic factors and site, assessed if air pollution was associated with sleep apnea (an apnea hypopnea index ≥15) and actigraphy-measured sleep efficiency

**Results:** Participants (n=1974) were an average age 68 (+/- 9) years, 46% male, 36% white, 24% Hispanic, 28% black and 12% Asian. Of these, 48% had sleep apnea and 25% a sleep efficiency  $\leq$  88%. A 10 ppb annual increase in nitrogen dioxide exposure was associated with 39% greater adjusted odds of sleep apnea 95% CI (1.03, 1.87). A 5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> greater annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure was also associated with 60% greater odds of sleep apnea, 95% CI (0.98, 2.62). Sleep efficiency was not associated with air pollution levels in fully adjusted models.

**Conclusions:** Individuals with higher annual NO<sub>2</sub> and  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure levels had greater odds of sleep apnea. These data suggest that, in addition to individual risk factors, environmental factors also contribute to the variation of sleep disorders across groups, possibly contributing to health disparities.

# Abstract Word Count: 266

Sleep disruption and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) are associated with hypertension, diabetes, stroke, ischemic heart disease, cancer, and cardiac death.(1-3) Proposed mechanisms include altered autonomic tone, increased inflammation and metabolic dysregulation. Similarly, air pollution has been linked with cerebrovascular disease, cancer and cardiovascular morbidity and overall mortality, attributed in part to an increased systemic inflammatory response to fine particles.(4-7) Ambient air pollution consists of fine particulate matter as well as gaseous products of combustion (oxides of nitrogen), produced through burning fossil fuels from automobiles emissions to power plants. Although there is increasing interest in the influence of the environment on sleep, there is limited research evaluating the relationship between sleep and air pollution.

Few studies have examined epidemiological effects of air pollution on disorders of the upper airway. Epidemiological studies have typically highlighted the consequences of pollution on the distal airways associated with respiratory disease: chronic obstructive lung disease (COPD) and asthma are all worsened by air pollution;(8-11). High levels of pollutions increase the risk of respiratory infections requiring hospitalization in children and mortality in the elderly.(10) Air pollution exposure can impair pulmonary immune defense and alter normal airway clearance by injury and inflammation of airway mucosa.(12) The proximal upper airways such as the naso-pharynx, may respond similarly with inflammation and edema.(13) Thus, air pollution levels may contribute to OSA risk and severity through upper airway irritation, edema and subsequent narrowing. Prior studies have shown some association of short-term

Page 6 of 34

particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) levels with apnea hypopnea index (AHI) and nocturnal hypoxemia,(14, 15) but were limited by the available air pollution data and lack of racial diversity of the studies.

Air pollution exposures differ geographically and may partially explain difference in lung and sleep health by demographics such as socio-economic status, race and ethnicity. Disadvantaged neighborhoods with low SES residents are often exposed to higher ambient air pollution. In studies in the US and developed countries, a consistent pattern of greater burden of air pollutants was shown to aggregate in lower SES communities.(16) In addition to the upper airway irritation and congestion induced by air pollution, neuro-inflammation and neurotoxicity may also contribute to sleep disruption.(17) Poor air quality may partially explain observations of reduced sleep quality among residents living in low SES neighborhoods, in addition to the effects of poor social cohesion, fear of crime and greater psychosocial stressors.(18-20) Air pollution levels therefore may further contribute to sleep health disparities.

Utilizing a cohort from the Multi-ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA), subjects participating in the MESA AIR and Sleep studies, we investigated if ambient air pollution levels were associated with sleep apnea and sleep disruption. We hypothesized that greater levels of long-term nitrous oxide and particulate matter pollution would be associated with a higher risk of OSA and lower sleep efficiency. We also hypothesized that short-term particulate matter levels would have a similar association with sleep metrics.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Data

The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) is a longitudinal study of cardiovascular disease among adults aged 45-84 years. Subjects without clinical cardiovascular disease at baseline were recruited from the community in six United States cities (Los Angeles, CA; New York City, NY; Chicago, IL; Winston-Salem, NC; St. Paul, MN and Baltimore, MD). The current sample was restricted to those with air pollution data who agreed to participate in the MESA Sleep ancillary study that occurred after the 10-year MESA follow-up (Exam 5 in 2010-2013). The institutional review board at each site approved the study and all participants gave written informed consent.

#### **MESA Air**

Individual air pollution exposure estimates were calculated from data collected from community and Air Quality System monitoring sites in each metropolitan area, (see online supplement). These data were then integrated with detailed geographical data (including residential location, roadway proximity, population density, vegetative index, industrial pollution sources and land use) using hierarchical spatiotemporal modeling. Details of MESA Air recruitment, exposure assessment and methodology for estimating individual-level long-term air pollution exposure have been previously described.(21) Exposures include one and 5-year averages prior to sleep study for  $PM_{2.5}$ , nitrogen dioxide ( $NO_2$ ) and oxides of nitrogen ( $NO_x$ ). As  $NO_x$  distributions were nearly identical to  $NO_2$ , only  $NO_2$  is reported here. Short-term  $PM_{2.5}$  levels were collected for day of the study utilizing city-wide air quality monitoring station data. These data were pre-adjusted for city-specific trends by day of week, calendar day, temperature and dew points using splines to account for seasonality and local meteorology.(22)

#### **MESA Sleep**

Through the MESA Sleep Ancillary study, a proportion of MESA subjects had sleep assessed via one-week actigraphy and in-home full polysomnography as described previously (see online data supplement). (19, 23) Objective sleep disruption was measured by actigraphy; sleep efficiency (the proportion of time asleep over total time in bed) was calculated from 7-day average of scored actigraphy. The apnea hypopnea index (AHI) was calculated by including all apneas and hypopneas with 4% or greater desaturation or an arousal on polysomnogram divided by total sleep time.

#### Covariates

Socio-demographic and co-morbidity data were obtained from the MESA health and medical history surveys at the fifth examination (see online supplement). Depressive symptoms were defined as a Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale >16.(24) To account for neighborhood SES at the current residence, we utilized census-tract level data from the

American Community Survey 5-year estimates from 2007-2011. We created a measure of census tract SES including > 25 percentile of resident families below poverty, >12% of resident males of 25 years or older unemployed and >30% of residents with less than high school education.

#### Analysis

We evaluated for differences in the cohort socio-demographics from the parent MESA sample and by air pollution exposure by quartile, using chi-squared for categorical variables and t-tests for continuous variables. We defined OSA as an AHI  $\geq$  15, a threshold considered moderate to severe.(25) We compared those with OSA to those without by sample characteristics. We defined reduced sleep efficiency as  $\leq$ 88%, corresponding to the lowest 25% percentile of the 7day actigraphy average, given that its distribution was not normal, highly skewed and to improve interpretability.

We examined associations of OSA and reduced sleep efficiency with long-term exposure to ambient air pollution (nitrogen dioxide and PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposures averaged over 1 and 5-years prior to sleep evaluation) and short-term PM<sub>2.5</sub> in multi-variate logistic regression. For interpretability, we modeled NO<sub>2</sub> in units of 10 ppb and PM<sub>2.5</sub> by 5µg/m<sup>3</sup>; we excluded observations with any missing covariates. The simplest model adjusted for age, sex, and BMI, (plus OSA in the sleep efficiency analysis). Model two added the co-variates of individual household income, race/ethnicity, diabetes, hypertension, short sleep duration (in actigraphy

Page 10 of 34

outcome only), smoking status, and neighborhood SES features. These covariates were included as they differed by air pollution and/or sleep apnea. Model three added adjustment for site.

We performed several additional sensitivity analyses to assess the strength of our findings. We evaluated the association of AHI  $\geq$  15 using the 4% oxygen desaturation definition of hypopnea (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid criteria) with air pollution. We evaluated if including use of medications for reflux and evidence of airflow obstruction on spirometry changed the observed associations. We also performed analyses excluding those with mild OSA (AHI 5-15).

In exploratory analysis, we assessed AHI, modeled continuously, in generalized linear models. These models included the same units of air pollution predictors and covariates as the logistic regression models. Site, residential SES and race/ethnicity had high collinearity with air pollution levels (and with each other) precluding assessment of their potential effect modification with one another. We also explored the association of air pollution with sleep apnea when stratified by site.

# Results

Of the 1,974 MESA subjects with both sleep polysomnography data and air pollution data (figure 1), the mean age was 68.4 years (+/- 9.2) and BMI was 28.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (+/- 5.6) with

racial/ethnic, socio-economic and geographic diversity (table 1a). The cohort had greater racial/ethnic diversity but otherwise did not differ significantly from the parent MESA study population by demographics. Air pollution differed substantially by site, with the highest levels and greatest distribution in New York and Los Angeles, lowest in St. Paul and Winston-Salem areas. Pollution levels also differed significantly by race/ethnicity, education level, income level, and neighborhood SES with higher pollution exposure levels observed in minorities, those with high school or less education, lower household income and those living in lower SES neighborhoods.

The median AHI was 14.4 (IQR 7.1-27.4), (table 1b). Those with OSA were, as expected, more often men, obese, former or current smokers, hypertensive, and diabetic but did not differ by income level, education level or residential SES features. OSA was more often identified in those tested in the spring and summer months (April-September). Median NO<sub>2</sub> exposure estimates averaged over 5 years was 14.8 ppb (IQR 10, 23.7) and were well below the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards standard of <53 ppb

(https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naaqs-table). In contrast, the median  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure estimate over 5-years was 12.3µg/m<sup>3</sup> (IQR 11.5, 13.5), above the EPA standard of 12.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### Sleep and Oxides of Nitrogen: NO<sub>2</sub>

There was an association of NO<sub>2</sub> exposure with OSA in fully adjusted models including site

(table 2a), but not in the simpler models. Ten ppb greater NO<sub>2</sub> (averaged over either one or five year) was associated with a nearly 40% greater odds of OSA, 95% CI (1.03, 1.87), figure 2. The association was seen but not significant when using the 4% desaturation criteria for hypopneas (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services definition) for AHI, (online *data supplement table* E1). There was not an association seen with AHI modeled continuously (table E2). When stratified by site, associations persisted only in sites with greater pollution exposure and variation (Los Angeles and New York City), (table E3).

A ten ppb greater  $NO_2$  exposure was associated with 19% greater odds of a low sleep efficiency (table 2b), in minimally adjusted models. With social and residential factors included in the model, the association was no longer significant.

#### Sleep and Particulate Matter: PM<sub>2.5</sub>

An association was observed between one-year average  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure estimates with OSA, but not with 5-year average  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure estimates. In fully adjusted models, each increase in 5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> of annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure was associated with 60% greater odds of OSA (95% CI 0.98, 2.62), figure 2. This was significant when site was not in the model with a narrower confidence interval (table 3a). Short-term  $PM_{2.5}$  levels were not associated with OSA in logistic regression. Using the CMS hypopnea definition (4% oxygen desaturation), each 5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> greater annual  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure was associated with 59% greater odds of CMS AHI ≥15 OSA (table E1). With AHI modeled continuously, there was evidence of association only in the minimally adjusted model (table E2). When stratified by site, only the Los Angeles site demonstrated a clear association of  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure to sleep apnea (table E3).

For 5-year average  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure, each 5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> was associated with 51% greater odds of reduced sleep efficiency, 95% CI (1.09, 2.09) in the age, sex, BMI and OSA only adjusted model. However, there was no evidence of association after adjustment for individual sociodemographics and residential SES (table 3b). One-year  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure levels and short-term  $PM_{2.5}$  levels were not associated with sleep efficiency.

#### **Sensitivity Analyses**

Including use of proton pump inhibitors in the models did not change the association of air pollution with sleep apnea. We also found no difference when spirometry data was included (available in only a subset of the cohort n=1407). When those with mild OSA were excluded (AHI 5-15; n=719), association of OSA with air pollutions remained robust; the odds ratio for NO<sub>2</sub> was 1.52, 95% CI (1.09, 2.12) and for PM<sub>2.5</sub> was 1.65 95% CI (0.97, 2.81), in fully adjusted models.

# Discussion

This study reveals an association of chronic exposure to ambient derived air pollution with sleep apnea. Higher average yearly levels of NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> were associated with increased odds

Page 14 of 34

of moderate to severe sleep apnea, independent of race/ethnicity, income, diabetes, hypertension, neighborhood SES and site. The cumulative effects of air pollution exposure over the year may lead to changes to the upper airway. However, PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure averaged over five-years was not associated with sleep apnea, possibly due to chronic adaptations. Exposure to greater average NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels were less robustly associated with lower sleep efficiency. This association attenuated after adjusting for co-morbidities, individual demographics, and residential factors, all known to contribute to sleep quality.(19, 23)

There are several potential mechanisms linking air pollution to sleep. Experimental models in mammals demonstrate that ambient air pollutants cause upper airway edema, inflammation and irritation (26, 27) which may contribute to upper airway obstruction during sleep. Fine particulate material and oxides of nitrogen are associated with chronic rhinosinusitis, non-allergic and allergic rhinitis (26, 28), and excess risk of upper respiratory infections (29, 30). However, most data originate in regions with much higher pollution exposure levels than in this study. PM<sub>2.5</sub> has been shown in vivo to induce inflammatory response in human nasal epithelial cells.(31) These ambient pollutants are also known to contribute to incident obstructive airway disease and respiratory symptoms in the elderly;(32) this may be another mechanism contributing to sleep disordered breathing. Chronic upper airway irritation and inflammation from to air pollution may induce adenoid and tonsillar hypertrophy and consequently upper airway narrowing. Further experimental studies are needed to verify these hypotheses. Fine particulate matter and traffic–related air pollutants

represented by oxides of nitrogen may directly penetrate the central nervous system causing neurotoxicity and neuro-inflammation,(17) which may affect brain areas involved in the regulation of sleep and control of ventilation. Long-term air pollution exposure has been associated with cognitive impairment, neuro-inflammation and neurodegeneration.(17)

Environmental factors in the form of air pollution may increase the risk of OSA and may therefore contribute to sleep health disparities. Prior environmental studies of sleep have predominantly focused on features of the social environment such as low social cohesion, crowding and neighborhood disorder(19, 33, 34) as explanatory factors contributing to poor sleep in low SES neighborhoods and sleep disparities. More recently, the built environment and neighborhood walkability features have been associated with sleep apnea.(35) Neighborhood disadvantage, as quantified by census-track indicators of poverty, low education attainment, and family structure, has been associated with an increased risk of sleep apnea in children,(36-38) but not yet in adults. These pediatric studies postulated that geographic differences in sleep disorders may relate to air quality, but did not have data to address this hypothesis.

Our results are consistent with the few prior studies evaluating air pollution and sleep. A Swiss study found an association of sleep disturbance, measured by electrocardiogram features, with proximity to roadways.(39) Using Sleep Heart Health Study data, Zanobetti *et al* reported an association of short-term PM<sub>10</sub> with an elevation in AHI and reduced sleep efficiency particularly in the summer.(15) Greater air pollution (NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) exposure in Taiwan was also associated with greater AHI and oxygen desaturation index, with a similar

Page 16 of 34

seasonal component of spring and winter.(40) Elevated short-term ozone and temperature were associated with a higher AHI in a European study.(41) Several studies also have reported associations with meteorological conditions such as temperature and humidity.(42) These studies reported strong seasonal variation, perhaps reflecting an interaction of pollution with air temperature, environmental allergens such as pollens and humidity levels. We found a seasonal variation in AHI but no interaction with the association of long-term air pollution exposure and sleep apnea. Our study utilized year-long averages in multiple geographical locations with state of the art modeling to minimize the effect of these regional and temporal variations on pollution.

We observed differences in associations of air pollution with our sleep outcomes depending on exposure durations (daily, annual, five-year). Whether this relates to differences in the biological effects of different exposures or relates to measurement issues is not clear. The lack of clear associations of sleep apnea with 5-year average and short-term PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure may be a falsely null hypothesis due to overall high prevalence of OSA, the lack of air pollution variation within cities and overall low burden of ambient air pollution exposures compared to other urban environments worldwide(43) or to other measurement issues. For example, shortterm exposures were city-wide measures as individual-level estimates were not possible. The null findings for short-term exposure estimates also may reflect the importance of longer-term exposures causing chronic changes in the airway, brain or other tissues. The stronger association between one-year PM<sub>2.5</sub> compared to 5-year PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure estimates similarly may reflect to more airway adaptations with chronic higher pollution exposure over 5-years.

Our primary outcome was based on OSA defined using the more sensitive American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) definition of hypopnea (44) rather than the strict  $\geq$ 4% oxygen desaturation hypopnea criterion specified by the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare services (CMS). The CMS AHI has been criticized as it may under-diagnose in women and nonobese individuals, who are less likely to experience deep desaturations with events.(45) When using the more stringent definition of hypopneas ( $\geq$ 4% desaturation) we observed similar associations for PM<sub>2.5</sub> but less significant associations for NO<sub>2</sub> perhaps reflecting distinct impact of these pollutants on the airway – one leading to more desaturation while the other contributing to arousals. This is consistent with the finding of association of lower sleep efficiency with higher NO<sub>2</sub> exposure levels. These differences may reflect the more subtle pathological effects of air pollution on the upper airway detectable only in the more sensitive AASM definition of hypopnea.

The strengths of this study include the detailed individual air pollution metrics with spatiotemporal modeling, the diversity of the subjects (both in racial/ethnic background and geography), the large sample size gathered from the community, and the use of objective sleep measures. The limitations of the study include the observational nature of the study and assessment of sleep at only one time point, limiting evaluation of causality and a full understanding of how exposure durations influence sleep. Pollution exposure differed substantially by site, with low exposures in some areas, making it difficult to separate site from

Page 18 of 34

pollution effects. Site was also highly co-linear with other socio-demographic features (race/ethnicity, SES). In addition, the MESA participants in our cohort were older, with a mean age of 68.5 years; our findings may not be generalizable to younger individuals. Although our sample was diverse, there was inadequate power to test for race differences. We adjusted for many measured potential confounders, but other factors, including environmental features associated with air pollution, such as noise and light pollution, may explain the observed relationship with sleep disruption. Noise from traffic is also a known sleep disruptor and has been associated with cortical arousals, sleep fragmentation, insomnia and self-reported poor sleep.(46) Traffic noise rather than traffic-associated pollution (NO<sub>2</sub>) may explain some of our observed associations, although relationships remained robust in sensitivity models adjusting for road proximity. Urban living has additional sleep disruptors such as light pollution,(47) which can impact circadian rhythm, melatonin excretion and result in sleep onset delay and fragmentation.

While prior studies have largely focused on individual risk factors for sleep apnea, these data suggest environmental features also contribute to the variation of sleep disorders across groups. This has implications for regulatory standards, public health, environmental justice and health disparities, as higher levels of air pollution are more prevalent in poor, urban areas as seen in this MESA cohort.(48) Populations residing in cities with ambient air pollution above WHO levels may have a greater risk of sleep apnea and sleep disruption, in addition to the other known effects of air pollution on mortality, cardiovascular, pulmonary, and

neurodegenerative diseases and cancer risk.(43, 49-51) Efforts to improve air quality could improve sleep health, decreasing the prevalence and severity of sleep apnea potentially. Furthermore, air quality improvements may reduce sleep health disparities as the poor may be particularly susceptible to air pollution, with less access to protection indoors, lacking air conditioners, air filtration systems, relying on open windows and having greater occupational exposure to the outdoors.

#### Conclusions

This study demonstrates an association of ambient air pollution exposure with sleep apnea. Chronic exposure to greater levels of air pollution may adversely influence breathing during sleep, suggesting possible etiologies of sleep health disparities. Future studies are needed to discern the effects of specific air pollutants from other neighborhood and regional features, to explore possible mechanisms, and to evaluate if improving air quality improves sleep health.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the other investigators, the staff, and the participants of the MESA study for their valuable contributions. A full list of participating MESA investigators and institutions can be found at <a href="http://www.mesa-nhlbi.org">http://www.mesa-nhlbi.org</a>.

# References

- 1. Marin JM, Carrizo SJ, Vicente E, Agusti AG. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes in men with obstructive sleep apnoea-hypopnoea with or without treatment with continuous positive airway pressure: an observational study. *Lancet* 2005; 365: 1046-1053.
- 2. Yaggi HK, Concato J, Kernan WN, Lichtman JH, Brass LM, Mohsenin V. Obstructive sleep apnea as a risk factor for stroke and death. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353: 2034-2041.
- 3. Young T, Peppard P. Sleep-disordered breathing and cardiovascular disease: epidemiologic evidence for a relationship. *Sleep* 2000; 23 Suppl 4: S122-126.
- 4. Gold DR, Mittleman MA. New Insights Into Pollution and the Cardiovascular System. 2013.
- 5. Jerrett M, Burnett RT, Beckerman BS, Turner MC, Krewski D, Thurston G, Martin RV, van Donkelaar A, Hughes E, Shi Y, Gapstur SM, Thun MJ, Pope CA. Spatial analysis of air pollution and mortality in california. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013; 188: 593-599.
- 6. Chen H, Goldberg MS, Burnett RT, Jerrett M, Wheeler AJ, Villeneuve PJ. Long-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution and cardiovascular mortality. *Epidemiology* 2013; 24: 35-43.
- 7. Di Q, Wang Y, Zanobetti A, Wang Y, Koutrakis P, Choirat C, Dominici F, Schwartz JD. Air Pollution and Mortality in the Medicare Population. *N Engl J Med* 2017; 376: 2513-2522.
- Rice MB, Ljungman PL, Wilker EH, Dorans KS, Gold DR, Schwartz J, Koutrakis P, Washko GR, O'Connor GT, Mittleman MA. Long-term exposure to traffic emissions and fine particulate matter and lung function decline in the Framingham heart study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015; 191: 656-664.
- 9. Young MT, Sandler DP, DeRoo LA, Vedal S, Kaufman JD, London SJ. Ambient air pollution exposure and incident adult asthma in a nationwide cohort of U.S. women. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014; 190: 914-921.
- 10. Simoni M, Baldacci S, Maio S, Cerrai S, Sarno G, Viegi G. Adverse effects of outdoor pollution in the elderly. 7 2015.
- 11. Laumbach RJ, Kipen HM. Respiratory health effects of air pollution: update on biomass smoke and traffic pollution. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012; 129: 3-11; quiz 12-13.
- 12. Kurt OK, Zhang J, Pinkerton KE. Pulmonary health effects of air pollution. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2016; 22: 138-143.
- 13. Trevino RJ. Air pollution and its effect on the upper respiratory tract and on allergic rhinosinusitis. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1996; 114: 239-241.
- 14. DeMeo DL, Zanobetti A, Litonjua AA, Coull BA, Schwartz J, Gold DR. Ambient air pollution

and oxygen saturation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2004; 170: 383-387.

- 15. Zanobetti A, Redline S, Schwartz J, Rosen D, Patel S, O'Connor GT, Lebowitz M, Coull BA, Gold DR. Associations of PM10 with sleep and sleep-disordered breathing in adults from seven U.S. urban areas. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; 182: 819-825.
- 16. Hajat A, Hsia C, O'Neill MS. Socioeconomic Disparities and Air Pollution Exposure: a Global Review. *Curr Environ Health Rep* 2015; 2: 440-450.
- 17. Calderon-Garciduenas L, Leray E, Heydarpour P, Torres-Jardon R, Reis J. Air pollution, a rising environmental risk factor for cognition, neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration: The clinical impact on children and beyond. *Rev Neurol (Paris)* 2016; 172: 69-80.
- Desantis AS, Diez Roux AV, Moore K, Baron KG, Mujahid MS, Nieto FJ. Associations of neighborhood characteristics with sleep timing and quality: the Multi-Ethnic Study Of Atherosclerosis. *Sleep* 2013; 36: 1543-1551.
- 19. Johnson DA, Simonelli G, Moore K, Billings M, Mujahid MS, Rueschman M, Kawachi I, Redline S, Diez Roux AV, Patel SR. The Neighborhood Social Environment and Objective Measures of Sleep in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Sleep* 2017; 40.
- 20. Simonelli G, Dudley KA, Weng J, Gallo LC, Perreira K, Shah NA, Alcantara C, Zee PC, Ramos AR, Llabre MM, Sotres-Alvarez D, Wang R, Patel SR. Neighborhood Factors as Predictors of Poor Sleep in the Sueno Ancillary Study of the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos. *Sleep* 2017; 40.
- Keller JP, Olives C, Kim SY, Sheppard L, Sampson PD, Szpiro AA, Oron AP, Lindstrom J, Vedal S, Kaufman JD. A unified spatiotemporal modeling approach for predicting concentrations of multiple air pollutants in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution. *Environ Health Perspect* 2015; 123: 301-309.
- 22. Szpiro AA, Sheppard L, Adar SD, Kaufman JD. Estimating acute air pollution health effects from cohort study data. *Biometrics* 2014; 70: 164-174.
- Chen X, Wang R, Zee P, Lutsey PL, Javaheri S, Alcantara C, Jackson CL, Williams MA, Redline S. Racial/Ethnic Differences in Sleep Disturbances: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Sleep* 2015; 38: 877-888.
- 24. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement* 1977; 1: 385-401.
- 25. AASM TF. Sleep-related breathing disorders in adults: recommendations for syndrome definition and measurement techniques in clinical research. The Report of an American Academy of Sleep Medicine Task Force. *Sleep* 1999; 22: 667-689.
- 26. Gulisano M, Marceddu S, Barbaro A, Pacini A, Buiatti E, Martini A, Pacini P. Damage to the nasopharyngeal mucosa induced by current levels of urban air pollution: a field study in

lambs. Eur Respir J 1997; 10: 567-572.

- 27. Ramanathan M, Jr., London NR, Jr., Tharakan A, Surya N, Sussan TE, Rao X, Lin SY, Toskala E, Rajagopalan S, Biswal S. Airborne Particulate Matter Induces Nonallergic Eosinophilic Sinonasal Inflammation in Mice. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2017; 57: 59-65.
- 28. Teng B, Zhang X, Yi C, Zhang Y, Ye S, Wang Y, Tong DQ, Lu B. The Association between Ambient Air Pollution and Allergic Rhinitis: Further Epidemiological Evidence from Changchun, Northeastern China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2017; 14.
- 29. Li YR, Xiao CC, Li J, Tang J, Geng XY, Cui LJ, Zhai JX. Association between air pollution and upper respiratory tract infection in hospital outpatients aged 0-14 years in Hefei, China: a time series study. *Public Health* 2018; 156: 92-100.
- 30. Tam WW, Wong TW, Ng L, Wong SY, Kung KK, Wong AH. Association between air pollution and general outpatient clinic consultations for upper respiratory tract infections in Hong Kong. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e86913.
- 31. Hong Z, Guo Z, Zhang R, Xu J, Dong W, Zhuang G, Deng C. Airborne Fine Particulate Matter Induces Oxidative Stress and Inflammation in Human Nasal Epithelial Cells. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 2016; 239: 117-125.
- 32. Simoni M, Baldacci S, Maio S, Cerrai S, Sarno G, Viegi G. Adverse effects of outdoor pollution in the elderly. *J Thorac Dis* 2015; 7: 34-45.
- 33. Hill TD, Burdette AM, Hale L. Neighborhood disorder, sleep quality, and psychological distress: testing a model of structural amplification. *Health Place* 2009; 15: 1006-1013.
- 34. Johnson DA, Drake C, Joseph CL, Krajenta R, Hudgel DW, Cassidy-Bushrow AE. Influence of neighbourhood-level crowding on sleep-disordered breathing severity: mediation by body size. *J Sleep Res* 2015.
- 35. Billings ME, Johnson DA, Simonelli G, Moore K, Patel SR, Diez Roux AV, Redline S. Neighborhood Walking Environment and Activity Level Are Associated With OSA: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Chest* 2016; 150: 1042-1049.
- Spilsbury JC, Storfer-Isser A, Kirchner HL, Nelson L, Rosen CL, Drotar D, Redline S. Neighborhood disadvantage as a risk factor for pediatric obstructive sleep apnea. J Pediatr 2006; 149: 342-347.
- 37. Wang R, Dong Y, Weng J, Kontos EZ, Chervin RD, Rosen CL, Marcus CL, Redline S. Associations among Neighborhood, Race, and Sleep Apnea Severity in Children. A Six-City Analysis. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2017; 14: 76-84.
- 38. Brouillette RT, Horwood L, Constantin E, Brown K, Ross NA. Childhood sleep apnea and neighborhood disadvantage. *J Pediatr* 2011; 158: 789-795.e781.

- 39. Gerbase MW, Dratva J, Germond M, Tschopp JM, Pepin JL, Carballo D, Kunzli N, Probst-Hensch NM, Adam M, Zemp Stutz E, Roche F, Rochat T. Sleep fragmentation and sleepdisordered breathing in individuals living close to main roads: results from a populationbased study. *Sleep Med* 2014; 15: 322-328.
- 40. Shen YL, Liu WT, Lee KY, Chuang HC, Chen HW, Chuang KJ. Association of PM2.5 with sleepdisordered breathing from a population-based study in Northern Taiwan urban areas. *Environ Pollut* 2017; 233: 109-113.
- 41. Weinreich G, Wessendorf TE, Pundt N, Weinmayr G, Hennig F, Moebus S, Mohlenkamp S, Erbel R, Jockel KH, Teschler H, Hoffmann B. Association of short-term ozone and temperature with sleep disordered breathing. *Eur Respir J* 2015; 46: 1361-1369.
- Cassol CM, Martinez D, da Silva FA, Fischer MK, Lenz Mdo C, Bos AJ. Is sleep apnea a winter disease?: meteorologic and sleep laboratory evidence collected over 1 decade. *Chest* 2012; 142: 1499-1507.
- 43. Organization WH. Ambient Air Pollution: a global assessment of exposure and burden of disease. 2016. Available from: <u>http://www.who.int/phe/health\_topics/outdoorair/en/</u>.
- 44. Berry RB, Budhiraja R, Gottlieb DJ, Gozal D, Iber C, Kapur VK, Marcus CL, Mehra R, Parthasarathy S, Quan SF, Redline S, Strohl KP, Davidson Ward SL, Tangredi MM. Rules for scoring respiratory events in sleep: update of the 2007 AASM Manual for the Scoring of Sleep and Associated Events. Deliberations of the Sleep Apnea Definitions Task Force of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine. J Clin Sleep Med 2012; 8: 597-619.
- 45. Campos-Rodriguez F, Martinez-Garcia MA, Reyes-Nunez N, Selma-Ferrer MJ, Punjabi NM, Farre R. Impact of different hypopnea definitions on obstructive sleep apnea severity and cardiovascular mortality risk in women and elderly individuals. *Sleep Med* 2016; 27-28: 54-58.
- 46. Kim M, Chang SI, Seong JC, Holt JB, Park TH, Ko JH, Croft JB. Road traffic noise: annoyance, sleep disturbance, and public health implications. *Am J Prev Med* 2012; 43: 353-360.
- 47. Cho Y, Ryu SH, Lee BR, Kim KH, Lee E, Choi J. Effects of artificial light at night on human health: A literature review of observational and experimental studies applied to exposure assessment. *Chronobiol Int* 2015; 32: 1294-1310.
- Hajat A, Diez-Roux AV, Adar SD, Auchincloss AH, Lovasi GS, O'Neill MS, Sheppard L, Kaufman JD. Air pollution and individual and neighborhood socioeconomic status: evidence from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Environ Health Perspect* 2013; 121: 1325-1333.
- 49. Health effects of outdoor air pollution. Committee of the Environmental and Occupational Health Assembly of the American Thoracic Society. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996; 153: 3-

50.

- 50. Farmer SA, Nelin TD, Falvo MJ, Wold LE. Ambient and household air pollution: complex triggers of disease. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2014; 307: H467-476.
- 51. Di Q, Wang Y, Zanobetti A, Wang Y, Koutrakis P, Choirat C, Dominici F, Schwartz JD. Air Pollution and Mortality in the Medicare Population. <u>http://dxdoiorg/101056/NEJMoa1702747</u> 2017.

# Figure Legends:

Figure 1: Flow chart detailing the sample included in this analysis from the parent MESA cohort.

Figure 2: Adjusted odds of OSA (AHI≥15) with ambient air pollution, NO2 (in 10 ppb units) and PM2.5 (in 5 µg/m3 units) exposure estimates averaged over one year and 5 years prior to sleep assessment; odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals shown. Logistic regression models adjusted for age, sex, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, race/ethnicity, household income, smoking status, residential SES and site.

% ( n) N=1974           Age (years) * mean (SD)         68.4 (9.2)           % Men (n) *         45.9 (905)           BMI (kg/m²) * mean (SD)         28.7 (5.6)           % Married (n) †         60.5 (1,176)           % Smoker (former/current) (n) *†         45.2 (886)           % Depressed (CES-D>16) (n) †         14.4 (279)           % Hypertension *†         57.5 (1,134)           % Diabetic *†         39.9 (780)           % Race/Ethnicity (n) *†         White           White         35.9 (708)           Chinese-American         12.2 (240)           African-American         28.0 (553)           Hispanic         24.0 (473)           Total Family Income (\$) †         n           < 20,000         20.6 (394)           20-49,999         33.8 (647)           50,000-99,999         27.5 (528)           ≥ 100,000         18.2 (348)           Education Level †         n           High School or less         31.6 (622)           Some college/technical/associate         29.1 (574)           Bachelor degree or more         39.3 (774)           Site *†         n           Winston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest)         14.7 (291)           New York City, NY		Total
N=1974           Age (years) * mean (SD)         68.4 (9.2)           % Men (n) *         45.9 (905)           BMI (kg/m²) * mean (SD)         28.7 (5.6)           % Married (n) †         60.5 (1,176)           % Smoker (former/current) (n) *†         45.2 (886)           % Depressed (CES-D>16) (n) †         14.4 (279)           % Hypertension *†         57.5 (1,134)           % Diabetic *†         39.9 (780)           % Race/Ethnicity (n) *†         White           Mhite         35.9 (708)           Chinese-American         12.2 (240)           African-American         28.0 (553)           Hispanic         24.0 (473)           Total Family Income (\$) †         n           < 20,000         20.6 (394)           20-49,999         33.8 (647)           50,000-99,999         27.5 (528)           ≥ 100,000         18.2 (348)           Education Level †         n           High School or less         31.6 (622)           Some college/technical/associate         29.1 (574)           Bachelor degree or more         39.3 (774)           Site *†         n           Winston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest)         14.7 (291)           New York City, NY (Colum		% ( n)
Age (years) * mean (SD)         68.4 (9.2)           % Men (n) *         45.9 (905)           BMI (kg/m²) * mean (SD)         28.7 (5.6)           % Married (n) †         60.5 (1,176)           % Smoker (former/current) (n) *†         45.2 (886)           % Depressed (CES-D>16) (n) †         14.4 (279)           % Hypertension *†         57.5 (1,134)           % Diabetic *†         39.9 (780)           % Race/Ethnicity (n) *†         12.2 (240)           African-American         12.2 (240)           African-American         28.0 (553)           Hispanic         24.0 (473)           Total Family Income (\$) †         n           < 20,000         20.6 (394)           20-49,999         33.8 (647)           50,000-99,999         27.5 (528)           ≥ 100,000         18.2 (348)           Education Level †         n           High School or less         31.6 (622)           Some college/technical/associate         29.1 (574)           Bachelor degree or more         39.3 (774)           Site *†         n           Winston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest)         14.7 (291)           New York City, NY (Columbia)         17.9 (354)           Baltimore, MD (JHU)         18		N=1974
% Men (n) *         45.9 (905)           BMI (kg/m²) * mean (SD)         28.7 (5.6)           % Married (n) †         60.5 (1,176)           % Smoker (former/current) (n) *†         45.2 (886)           % Depressed (CES-D>16) (n) †         14.4 (279)           % Hypertension *†         57.5 (1,134)           % Diabetic *†         39.9 (780)           % Race/Ethnicity (n) *†            White         35.9 (708)           Chinese-American         12.2 (240)           African-American         28.0 (553)           Hispanic         24.0 (473)           Total Family Income (\$) †         n           < 20,000	Age (years) * mean (SD)	68.4 (9.2)
BMI (kg/m²) * mean (SD)         28.7 (5.6)           % Married (n) †         60.5 (1,176)           % Smoker (former/current) (n) *†         45.2 (886)           % Depressed (CES-D>16) (n) †         14.4 (279)           % Hypertension *†         57.5 (1,134)           % Diabetic *†         39.9 (780)           % Race/Ethnicity (n) *†            White         35.9 (708)           Chinese-American         12.2 (240)           African-American         28.0 (553)           Hispanic         24.0 (473)           Total Family Income (\$) †         n           < 20,000	% Men (n) *	45.9 (905)
% Married (n) †       60.5 (1,176)         % Smoker (former/current) (n) *†       45.2 (886)         % Depressed (CES-D>16) (n) †       14.4 (279)         % Hypertension *†       57.5 (1,134)         % Diabetic *†       39.9 (780)         % Race/Ethnicity (n) *†       White         White       35.9 (708)         Chinese-American       12.2 (240)         African-American       28.0 (553)         Hispanic       24.0 (473)         Total Family Income (\$) †       n         < 20,000	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) * mean (SD)	28.7 (5.6)
% Smoker (former/current) (n) *†       45.2 (886)         % Depressed (CES-D>16) (n) †       14.4 (279)         % Hypertension *†       57.5 (1,134)         % Diabetic *†       39.9 (780)         % Race/Ethnicity (n) *†          White       35.9 (708)         Chinese-American       12.2 (240)         African-American       28.0 (553)         Hispanic       24.0 (473)         Total Family Income (\$) †       n         < 20,000	% Married (n) <sup>†</sup>	60.5 (1,176)
% Depressed (CES-D>16) (n) †         14.4 (279)           % Hypertension *†         57.5 (1,134)           % Diabetic *†         39.9 (780)           % Race/Ethnicity (n) *†            White         35.9 (708)           Chinese-American         12.2 (240)           African-American         28.0 (553)           Hispanic         24.0 (473)           Total Family Income (\$) †         n           < 20,000	% Smoker (former/current) (n) *†	45.2 (886)
% Hypertension *†       57.5 (1,134)         % Diabetic *†       39.9 (780)         % Race/Ethnicity (n) *†          White       35.9 (708)         Chinese-American       12.2 (240)         African-American       28.0 (553)         Hispanic       24.0 (473)         Total Family Income (\$) †       n         < 20,000	% Depressed (CES-D>16) (n) <sup>†</sup>	14.4 (279)
% Diabetic *† $39.9 (780)$ % Race/Ethnicity (n) *† $35.9 (708)$ White $35.9 (708)$ Chinese-American $12.2 (240)$ African-American $28.0 (553)$ Hispanic $24.0 (473)$ Total Family Income (\$) †       n         < 20,000	% Hypertension *†	57.5 (1,134)
% Race/Ethnicity (n) *†       ////////////////////////////////////	% Diabetic *†	39.9 (780)
White $35.9 (708)$ Chinese-American $12.2 (240)$ African-American $28.0 (553)$ Hispanic $24.0 (473)$ Total Family Income (\$) †         n           < 20,000	% Race/Ethnicity (n) * <sup>†</sup>	
Chinese-American       12.2 (240)         African-American       28.0 (553)         Hispanic       24.0 (473)         Total Family Income (\$) †       n         < 20,000	White	35.9 (708)
African-American       28.0 (553)         Hispanic       24.0 (473)         Total Family Income (\$) †       n         < 20,000	Chinese-American	12.2 (240)
Hispanic24.0 (473)Total Family Income (\$) †n< 20,000	African-American	28.0 (553)
Total Family Income (\$) †         n           < 20,000	Hispanic	24.0 (473)
< 20,000	Total Family Income (\$) <sup>†</sup>	n
20-49,999 $33.8 (647)$ 50,000-99,999 $27.5 (528)$ ≥ 100,000 $18.2 (348)$ Education Level †nHigh School or less $31.6 (622)$ Some college/technical/associate $29.1 (574)$ Bachelor degree or more $39.3 (774)$ Site *†nWinston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest) $14.7 (291)$ New York City, NY (Columbia) $17.9 (354)$ Baltimore, MD (JHU) $14.6 (289)$ St. Paul, MN (UMN) $17.1 (338)$ Chicago, IL (NWU) $18.9 (373)$ Los Angeles, CA (UCLA) $16.7 (329)$ Census-tract SES †Median (IQR)% Unemployed males >25 yrs old $6 (3, 10)$ % households below poverty $11 (6, 20)$ % less than high school education $19 (10, 32)$ Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n) $34.7 (685)$	< 20,000	20.6 (394)
50,000-99,999       27.5 (528)         ≥ 100,000       18.2 (348)         Education Level †       n         High School or less       31.6 (622)         Some college/technical/associate       29.1 (574)         Bachelor degree or more       39.3 (774)         Site *†       n         Winston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest)       14.7 (291)         New York City, NY (Columbia)       17.9 (354)         Baltimore, MD (JHU)       14.6 (289)         St. Paul, MN (UMN)       17.1 (338)         Chicago, IL (NWU)       18.9 (373)         Los Angeles, CA (UCLA)       16.7 (329)         % Unemployed males >25 yrs old       6 (3, 10)         % households below poverty       11 (6, 20)         % professional occupation       34 (23, 50)         % less than high school education       19 (10, 32) <i>Census tract LOW SES Composite</i> †       % n         % Low SES neighborhood (n)       34.7 (685)	20-49,999	33.8 (647)
≥ 100,00018.2 (348)Education Level †nHigh School or less $31.6$ (622)Some college/technical/associate $29.1$ (574)Bachelor degree or more $39.3$ (774)Site *†nWinston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest) $14.7$ (291)New York City, NY (Columbia) $17.9$ (354)Baltimore, MD (JHU) $14.6$ (289)St. Paul, MN (UMN) $17.1$ (338)Chicago, IL (NWU) $18.9$ (373)Los Angeles, CA (UCLA) $16.7$ (329)Census-tract SES †Median (IQR)% Unemployed males >25 yrs old $6$ (3, 10)% households below poverty $11 (6, 20)$ % less than high school education $19 (10, 32)$ Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n) $34.7$ (685)	50,000-99,999	27.5 (528)
Education Level $\dagger$ nHigh School or less $31.6 (622)$ Some college/technical/associate $29.1 (574)$ Bachelor degree or more $39.3 (774)$ Site *†nWinston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest) $14.7 (291)$ New York City, NY (Columbia) $17.9 (354)$ Baltimore, MD (JHU) $14.6 (289)$ St. Paul, MN (UMN) $17.1 (338)$ Chicago, IL (NWU) $18.9 (373)$ Los Angeles, CA (UCLA) $16.7 (329)$ Census-tract SES $\dagger$ Median (IQR)% Unemployed males >25 yrs old $6 (3, 10)$ % households below poverty $11 (6, 20)$ % less than high school education $19 (10, 32)$ Census tract LOW SES Composite $\dagger$ % n% Low SES neighborhood (n) $34.7 (685)$	≥ 100,000	18.2 (348)
High School or less $31.6 (622)$ Some college/technical/associate $29.1 (574)$ Bachelor degree or more $39.3 (774)$ Site *†nWinston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest) $14.7 (291)$ New York City, NY (Columbia) $17.9 (354)$ Baltimore, MD (JHU) $14.6 (289)$ St. Paul, MN (UMN) $17.1 (338)$ Chicago, IL (NWU) $18.9 (373)$ Los Angeles, CA (UCLA) $16.7 (329)$ Census-tract SES †Median (IQR)% Unemployed males >25 yrs old $6 (3, 10)$ % households below poverty $11 (6, 20)$ % less than high school education $19 (10, 32)$ Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n) $34.7 (685)$	Education Level <sup>†</sup>	n
Some college/technical/associate $29.1 (574)$ Bachelor degree or more $39.3 (774)$ Site *†nWinston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest) $14.7 (291)$ New York City, NY (Columbia) $17.9 (354)$ Baltimore, MD (JHU) $14.6 (289)$ St. Paul, MN (UMN) $17.1 (338)$ Chicago, IL (NWU) $18.9 (373)$ Los Angeles, CA (UCLA) $16.7 (329)$ Census-tract SES †Median (IQR)% Unemployed males >25 yrs old $6 (3, 10)$ % households below poverty $11 (6, 20)$ % less than high school education $19 (10, 32)$ Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n) $34.7 (685)$	High School or less	31.6 (622)
Bachelor degree or more $39.3 (774)$ Site *†nWinston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest) $14.7 (291)$ New York City, NY (Columbia) $17.9 (354)$ Baltimore, MD (JHU) $14.6 (289)$ St. Paul, MN (UMN) $17.1 (338)$ Chicago, IL (NWU) $18.9 (373)$ Los Angeles, CA (UCLA) $16.7 (329)$ Census-tract SES †Median (IQR)% Unemployed males >25 yrs old $6 (3, 10)$ % households below poverty $11 (6, 20)$ % professional occupation $34 (23, 50)$ % less than high school education $19 (10, 32)$ Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n) $34.7 (685)$	Some college/technical/associate	29.1 (574)
Site *†         n           Winston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest)         14.7 (291)           New York City, NY (Columbia)         17.9 (354)           Baltimore, MD (JHU)         14.6 (289)           St. Paul, MN (UMN)         17.1 (338)           Chicago, IL (NWU)         18.9 (373)           Los Angeles, CA (UCLA)         16.7 (329)           Census-tract SES †         Median (IQR)           % Unemployed males >25 yrs old         6 (3, 10)           % households below poverty         11 (6, 20)           % professional occupation         34 (23, 50)           % less than high school education         19 (10, 32)           Census tract LOW SES Composite †         % n           % Low SES neighborhood (n)         34.7 (685)	Bachelor degree or more	39.3 (774)
Winston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest) $14.7 (291)$ New York City, NY (Columbia) $17.9 (354)$ Baltimore, MD (JHU) $14.6 (289)$ St. Paul, MN (UMN) $17.1 (338)$ Chicago, IL (NWU) $18.9 (373)$ Los Angeles, CA (UCLA) $16.7 (329)$ Census-tract SES †Median (IQR)% Unemployed males >25 yrs old $6 (3, 10)$ % households below poverty $11 (6, 20)$ % professional occupation $34 (23, 50)$ % less than high school education $19 (10, 32)$ Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n) $34.7 (685)$	Site *†	n
New York City, NY (Columbia) $17.9 (354)$ Baltimore, MD (JHU) $14.6 (289)$ St. Paul, MN (UMN) $17.1 (338)$ Chicago, IL (NWU) $18.9 (373)$ Los Angeles, CA (UCLA) $16.7 (329)$ Census-tract SES †       Median (IQR)         % Unemployed males >25 yrs old $6 (3, 10)$ % households below poverty $11 (6, 20)$ % professional occupation $34 (23, 50)$ % less than high school education $19 (10, 32)$ Census tract LOW SES Composite †       % n         % Low SES neighborhood (n) $34.7 (685)$	Winston-Salem, NC (Wake Forest)	14.7 (291)
Baltimore, MD (JHU)       14.6 (289)         St. Paul, MN (UMN)       17.1 (338)         Chicago, IL (NWU)       18.9 (373)         Los Angeles, CA (UCLA)       16.7 (329)         Census-tract SES †       Median (IQR)         % Unemployed males >25 yrs old       6 (3, 10)         % households below poverty       11 (6, 20)         % professional occupation       34 (23, 50)         % less than high school education       19 (10, 32)         Census tract LOW SES Composite †       % n         % Low SES neighborhood (n)       34.7 (685)	New York City, NY (Columbia)	17.9 (354)
St. Paul, MN (UMN) $17.1 (338)$ Chicago, IL (NWU) $18.9 (373)$ Los Angeles, CA (UCLA) $16.7 (329)$ Census-tract SES †       Median (IQR)         % Unemployed males >25 yrs old $6 (3, 10)$ % households below poverty $11 (6, 20)$ % professional occupation $34 (23, 50)$ % less than high school education $19 (10, 32)$ Census tract LOW SES Composite †       % n         % Low SES neighborhood (n) $34.7 (685)$	Baltimore, MD (JHU)	14.6 (289)
Chicago, IL (NWU) $18.9 (373)$ Los Angeles, CA (UCLA) $16.7 (329)$ Census-tract SES †Median (IQR)% Unemployed males >25 yrs old $6 (3, 10)$ % households below poverty $11 (6, 20)$ % professional occupation $34 (23, 50)$ % less than high school education $19 (10, 32)$ Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n) $34.7 (685)$	St. Paul, MN (UMN)	17.1 (338)
Los Angeles, CA (UCLA)16.7 (329)Census-tract SES †Median (IQR)% Unemployed males >25 yrs old6 (3, 10)% households below poverty11 (6, 20)% professional occupation34 (23, 50)% less than high school education19 (10, 32)Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n)34.7 (685)	Chicago, IL (NWU)	18.9 (373)
Census-tract SES †Median (IQR)% Unemployed males >25 yrs old6 (3, 10)% households below poverty11 (6, 20)% professional occupation34 (23, 50)% less than high school education19 (10, 32)Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n)34.7 (685)	Los Angeles, CA (UCLA)	16.7 (329)
% Unemployed males >25 yrs old6 (3, 10)% households below poverty11 (6, 20)% professional occupation34 (23, 50)% less than high school education19 (10, 32)Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n)34.7 (685)	Census-tract SES <sup>†</sup>	Median (IQR)
% households below poverty11 (6, 20)% professional occupation34 (23, 50)% less than high school education19 (10, 32)Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n)34.7 (685)	% Unemployed males >25 yrs old	6 (3, 10)
% professional occupation34 (23, 50)% less than high school education19 (10, 32)Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n)34.7 (685)	% households below poverty	11 (6, 20)
% less than high school education19 (10, 32)Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n)34.7 (685)	% professional occupation	34 (23, 50)
Census tract LOW SES Composite †% n% Low SES neighborhood (n)34.7 (685)	% less than high school education	19 (10, 32)
% Low SES neighborhood (n) 34.7 (685)	Census tract LOW SES Composite †	% n
• • • • • • •	% Low SES neighborhood (n)	34.7 (685)

 Table 1a: MESA Sleep and Air pollution sample characteristics (n= 1974)

\*Significant difference by OSA (AHI  $\geq$  15 vs. <15), p<0.05 <sup>†</sup> Significant difference by NO<sub>2</sub> quartile

**Table 1b:** MESA Sleep and Air pollution sample characteristics (n= 1974) presented as median and intraquartile range (IQR)

Sleep Metrics: PSG Data	median	IQR
AHI (AASM criterion) events/hr	14.4	7.1, 27.2
AHI (4% desaturation) events/hr	9.1	3.2, 19.7
4% ODI events/hr *	8.2	3.2, 19.2
Nadir saturation *	85	80, 89
% sleep time with <90% saturation	0.63	0.04, 3.32
% OSA (AHI > 15 by AASM) (n) *	48.0%	N=884
Sleep Metrics: Actigraphy Data		
% WASO > 60 min (n) *	10.8%	N=201
% Short sleeper (< 6hrs) (n) *	31%	N=578
Sleep efficiency over 7 days *	90.5	88.0, 92.5
Sleep time over 7 days (hrs)*	6.64	5.74, 7.38
Air pollution levels	median	IQR
5-year NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	14.8	10.0, 23.7
1-year NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	13.0	9.0, 21.4
1-year PM <sub>2.5 (</sub> μg/m <sup>3</sup> )*	11.0	10.3, 12.0
5-year PM <sub>2.5</sub> (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	12.3	11.5, 13.5

\*Significant difference by OSA (AHI > 15 vs.  $\leq$  15), p<0.05

**Table 2:** NO<sub>2</sub> average exposure estimates (in 10 ppb units), over 1 to 5 years prior to sleep assessment, presented as odds ratios (95% Confidence Interval).

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
One-year	N=1961	N=1884	N=1884
<b>NO<sub>2</sub></b> (per 10 ppb)	1.05 (0.95, 1.16)	1.00 (0.88, 1.14)	1.39 (1.03, 1.87)‡
Five-year	N=1948	N=1871	N=1871
<b>NO<sub>2</sub> (</b> per 10 ppb)	1.06 (0.96, 1.17)	1.01 (0.89, 1.15)	1.41 (1.04, 1.92)‡

2A: Outcome of sleep apnea (AHI>15 on PSG) \*

\*Adjusting for age, sex, BMI (model 1); plus diabetes, hypertension, race/ethnicity, household income, smoking status, and residential SES (model 2); plus site (model 3)

		<i>c</i> .				
2B:	Outcome	of reduced	l sleep ei	tticiency (	(≤88% on	actigraphy) <sup>†</sup>

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
1yr prior	N=1854	N=1781	N=1781
NO <sub>2</sub> (per 10 ppb)	1.19 (1.07, 1.33)‡	1.11 (0.96, 1.28)§	1.04 (0.74, 1.44)
5yr prior	N=1842	N=1769	n=1769
NO <sub>2</sub> (per 10 ppb)	1.19 (1.07, 1.33)‡	1.12 (0.97, 1.29) <sup>§</sup>	1.09 (0.77, 1.53)

<sup>†</sup>Adjusted for age, sex, BMI, OSA (AHI≥15) (**model 1**); plus race/ethnicity, income, smoking status, diabetes, hypertension, short sleep duration (< 6 hours), and residential SES (**model 2**); plus site (**model 3**)

<sup>‡</sup>p< 0.05, <sup>§</sup>p<0.10

**Table 3:**  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure estimates (in 5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> units), averaged over 1 to 5 years prior to sleep assessment, presented as odds ratio (95% Confidence Interval). **3A:** Outcome sleep apnea (AHI > 15)

Long-term PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
PM <sub>2.5</sub> 1 yr	N=1928	N=1853	N=1853
per 5 ug/m <sup>3</sup> PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1.79 (1.25, 2.55) ‡	1.63 (1.09, 2.44) <sup>‡</sup>	1.60 (0.98, 2.62) <sup>§</sup>
PM <sub>2.5</sub> 5yr	N=1950	N=1873	N=1873
per 5 ug/m <sup>3</sup> PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1.24 (0.93, 1.65)	1.14 (0.82, 1.58)	1.31 (0.78, 2.20)
Short-term PM <sub>2.5</sub>	N=1916	N=1812	N=1812
PM <sub>2.5</sub> day prior*	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	1.01 (0.98, 1.03)	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)
PM <sub>2.5</sub> day of PSG <sup>*</sup>	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.99 (0.97, 1.02)	0.99 (0.97, 1.02)

\*Adjusted for age, sex, BMI (model 1); plus diabetes, hypertension, race/ethnicity, household income, smoking status, residential SES (model 2); plus site (model 3)

**3B**: Outcome reduced sleep efficiency ( $\leq 88\%$  on actigraphy)<sup>†</sup>

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
PM <sub>2.5</sub> 1yr prior	N=1822	N=1750	N=1750
per 5 ug/m <sup>3</sup> PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1.34 (0.91, 1.98)	1.00 (0.63, 1.58)	0.92 (0.53, 1.60)
PM <sub>2.5</sub> 5yr prior	N=1843	N=1770	N=1770
per 5 ug/m <sup>3</sup> PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1.51 (1.09, 2.09)‡	1.28 (0.88, 1.87)	1.07 (0.59, 1.93)
Short-term PM <sub>2.5</sub>	N=1811	N=1711	
PM <sub>2.5</sub> day prior <sup>*</sup>	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)
PM <sub>2.5</sub> day of PSG <sup>*</sup>	1.01 (0.98, 1.03)	0.99 (0.97, 1.02)	1.01 (0.98, 1.03)

<sup>†</sup>Adjusting for age, sex, BMI, OSA (AHI≥15) (**model 1**); model 1 plus race/ethnicity, income, smoking status, diabetes, hypertension, short sleep duration (< 6 hours) and residential SES (**model 2**); model 2 plus site (**model 3**)

\*Short term PM<sub>2.5</sub> are city-wide levels from day of and day prior to overnight polysomnography. The values have been pre-adjusted for seasonal and meteorological trends

<sup>‡</sup>p< 0.05, <sup>§</sup> p<0.10



# **Figure 2**Adjusted Odds Ratios for air pollution exposures association with OSA (AHI>15)



**Air Pollution Exposure** 

#### **Online Data Supplement**

The Association of Ambient Air Pollution with Sleep Apnea: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis

Martha E Billings, MD, MSc, Diane Gold MD, MPH, Adam Szpiro PhD, Carrie P. Aaron MD, Neal Jorgensen, MS, Amanda Gassett, MS, Peter J Leary MD MSc, Joel D Kaufman MD, MPH and Susan R. Redline, MD, MPH

#### **Methods**

*MESA AIR*: In brief, ambient-source air pollution was assessed by utilizing Environmental Protection Agency operated Air Quality System (AQS) monitors and by deploying more than 7,420 monitors throughout the six MESA metropolitan areas, including some participants' homes. The pollution measurements were integrated with geographical features including residential location, roadway proximity, population density, vegetative index, industrial pollution sources and land use. Dispersion modeling incorporated seasonal and meteorological trends. Participants reported their addresses and estimates were made reflecting time spent at each location if moves occurred in the interval.

*MESA SLEEP*: Eligible MESA subjects not using positive airway pressure, an oral appliance or home oxygen; ineligible n= 147) were invited to participate and 60% agreed (n=2055). Subjects wore an Actiwatch Spectrum actigraph (Philips Respironics, Murrysville, PA) on their non-dominant wrist for one week and underwent one night in-home full polysomnography with a 15-channel device (Somte System; Compumedics Ltd.). Polysomnography and actigraphy studies were scored by a central Sleep Reading Center by certified polysomnologists, blinded to other data. Sleep/wake status for each 30 second epoch of actigraphy data was computed using the Actiware-Sleep v. 5.59 scoring algorithm. Sleep periods were identified by technicians using sleep diaries, light levels, event markers, and activity levels. Sleep efficiency was time spent in sleep over total time in bed, averaged over the 7-day actigraphy recording.

*MESA:* Subjects reported race/ethnicity (White non-Hispanic, Black non-Hispanic, Hispanic and Chinese), cigarette smoking status (never, current/former), education level (categorized as high school or less, some college/associate degree, college degree or more) and household income (categorized as < \$ 25,000, \$25-75,000, > \$75,000). Measured weight and height were used to calculate BMI category ( <25, 25-29.9, 30-40, >40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) at Exam 5.

# <u>Results</u>

**Supplemental table E1:** Association of NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure levels for year prior to PSG using multivariate logistic regression for outcome of CMS AHI≥15 (only 4% desaturation hypopneas), presented as odds ratio (95% CI).

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<u>Annual</u>	N=1983	N=1906	N=1906
<b>NO</b> <sub>2</sub> (per 10 ppb)	1.04 (0.94, 1.15)	0.991 (0.86, 1.14)	1.24 (0.90, 1.71)
	N=1948	N=1873	N=1873
<b>PM<sub>2.5</sub></b> (per 5 μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.86 (1.28, 2.69)‡	1.61 (1.06, 2.46)‡	1.59 (0.94, 1.27) <sup>§</sup>

**Supplemental table E2:** Association of  $NO_2$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure levels for year prior to PSG with apnea hypopnea index (AHI) outcome, using generalized linear models, presented as beta (95% CI).

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
One year prior	N=1961	N=1884	N=1884
<b>NO<sub>2</sub></b> (per 10 ppb)	-0.22 (-0.89, 0.46)	-0.48 (-1.10, 0.13)	0.50 (1.25, 2.24)
	N=1928	N=1853	N=1853
<b>PM<sub>2.5</sub></b> (per 5μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	2.33 (0.35, 4.31) <sup>‡</sup>	1.30 (-0.84, 3.44)	1.22 (-1.34, 3.79)

Adjusting for age, sex, BMI (**model 1**); plus race/ethnicity, hypertension, diabetes, smoking status, household income, and residential SES (**model 2**), and for site (**model 3**);

<sup>‡</sup>p< 0.05, <sup>§</sup> p<0.10

Site	NO <sub>2</sub> (per 10 ppb)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (per 5μg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Winston-Salem, NC n=275	1.07 (0.19, 6.15)	0.57 (0.01, 35.18)
NYC, NY N=342	1.57 (0.99, 2.47) <sup>§</sup>	1.72 (0.74, 4.00)
Baltimore, MD n=267	0.68 (0.27, 1.68)	0.51 (0.11, 2.52)
Minneapolis, MN, n=317	1.39 (0.41, 4.69)	1.57 (0.41, 6.05)
Chicago, IL n=352	1.72 (0.66, 4.48)	2.58 (0.59, 11.18)
Los Angeles, CA n=320	2.89 (1.13, 7.43) ‡	4.15 (0.95, 18.11) <sup>§</sup>

**Supplemental table E3:** Stratified by site: Association of  $NO_2$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure levels for year prior to PSG with OSA (AHI $\geq$ 15), using logistic regression, presented as odds ratio, (95% CI).

Adjusting for age, sex, BMI, race/ethnicity, hypertension, diabetes, smoking status, household income, and residential SES.

<sup>‡</sup>p< 0.05, <sup>§</sup> p<0.10