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Airway occlusion pressure to detect potentially injurious inspiratory efforts during assisted ventilation

**Rationale:** Monitoring and controlling respiratory drive and effort may help to minimize lung and diaphragm injury. Airway occlusion pressure (P0.1) is a non-invasive measure of respiratory drive.

**Objectives:** To determine: (1) the ability of P0.1 measured from airway pressure during an occlusion (P0.1\textsubscript{ref}) to detect potentially injurious levels of inspiratory effort; (2) how P0.1 displayed by ventilators (P0.1\textsubscript{vent}) compares to P0.1\textsubscript{ref}; and (3) the ability of P0.1\textsubscript{vent} to detect potentially injurious effort.

**Methods:** Analysis of three studies in patients and one in healthy subjects under assisted ventilation. Performance of P0.1\textsubscript{ref} and P0.1\textsubscript{vent} to detect pre-defined potentially injurious effort was tested using derivation and validation datasets using esophageal pressure-time product as the reference standard for inspiratory effort. Accuracy of P0.1\textsubscript{vent} vs P0.1\textsubscript{ref} was tested primarily on a bench with six ventilators.

**Results:** P0.1\textsubscript{ref} >3.5 cmH\textsubscript{2}O was 80% sensitive and 77% specific for high effort (≥ 200 cmH\textsubscript{2}O.sec/min); P0.1\textsubscript{ref} ≤1.0 cmH\textsubscript{2}O was 100% sensitive and 92% specific for low effort (≤ 50 cmH\textsubscript{2}O.sec/min). On the bench, mean bias for P0.1\textsubscript{vent} was low for most ventilators but precision was variable and lower in ventilators measuring P0.1\textsubscript{vent} without occlusions, underestimating P0.1. In patients, precision of P0.1\textsubscript{vent} was slightly lower (limits of agreement around 1.5 cmH\textsubscript{2}O) but P0.1\textsubscript{vent} correlated well with esophageal pressure-time product (within-patient R\textsuperscript{2}=0.8). Area under Receiver-Operating-Characteristic Curve for P0.1\textsubscript{vent} to detect potentially high and low effort was 0.81 and 0.92, with optimal thresholds of 4.0 (sensitivity 67%, specificity 91%) and 1.3 cmH\textsubscript{2}O (sensitivity 100%, specificity 88%).

**Conclusions:** P0.1 is a reliable bedside tool to detect potentially injurious inspiratory effort.
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